

ALGEBRAIC CUNTZ-PIMSNER RINGS

TOKE MEIER CARLSEN AND EDUARD ORTEGA

ABSTRACT. From a system consisting of a ring R , a pair of R -bimodules Q and P and an R -bimodule homomorphism $\psi : P \otimes Q \longrightarrow R$, we construct a $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded ring $\mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$ called the Toeplitz ring and (for certain systems) a $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded quotient $\mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$ of $\mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$ called the Cuntz-Pimsner ring. These rings are the algebraic analogs of the Toeplitz C^* -algebra and the Cuntz-Pimsner C^* -algebra associated to a C^* -correspondence (also called a Hilbert bimodule).

This new construction generalizes for example the algebraic crossed product by a single automorphism, fractional skew monoid ring by a single corner automorphism and Leavitt path algebras. We also describe the structure of the graded ideals of our graded rings in terms of pairs of ideals of the coefficient ring and show that our Cuntz-Pimsner rings satisfies the *Graded Uniqueness Theorem*.

CONTENTS

Introduction	2
The contents of the paper	4
1. The Toeplitz ring	5
1.1. R -systems, covariant representations and the Toeplitz representation	5
1.2. Examples	9
2. The Fock space representation	12
3. Relative Cuntz-Pimsner rings	16
3.1. Condition (FS)	16
3.2. Cuntz-Pimsner invariant representations	19
3.3. Injective and graded covariant representations	23
4. The Graded Uniqueness Theorem	28
5. Cuntz-Pimsner rings	31
6. The Algebraic Gauge-invariant Theorem	35
7. Graded covariant representations	37
7.1. The classification of graded covariant representations of an R -system	37
7.2. Products and coproducts in $\mathcal{C}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$	45
7.3. Graded ideals of $\mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J)$	50
References	55

Date: May 12, 2009.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16D70, 46L35; Secondary 06A12, 06F05, 46L80.

The first named author was partly supported by The Danish Natural Science Research Council and the Research Council of Norway, and the second named author was partially supported by MEC-DGESIC (Spain) through Project MTM2008-06201-C02-01/MTM, and by the Comissionat per Universitats i Recerca de la Generalitat de Catalunya.

INTRODUCTION

In [20] Pimsner introduced a way to construct a C^* -algebra $\mathcal{O}_X$ from a C^* -correspondence X over a C^* -algebra A . These so-called Cuntz-Pimsner algebras have been found to be a class of C^* -algebras that is extraordinarily rich and with numerous examples included in the literature: crossed products by automorphisms, Cuntz algebras, Cuntz-Krieger algebras, C^* -algebras associated to graphs without sinks and Exel-Laca algebras. Later on Katsura [13] improved the construction of Pimsner in the case that the left action on the correspondence is not injective, this for example allows us to include the class of C^* -algebras associated with any graph into the Cuntz-Pimsner algebras class. Consequently the study of the Cuntz-Pimsner algebras has received a lot of attention in recent years, and because information about $\mathcal{O}_X$ is densely codified in X and A , determining how to extract it has been the focus of considerable interest.

It has recently been discovered that many of C^* -algebras which can be constructed as Cuntz-Pimsner algebras have algebraic analogs. For example the crossed product of a ring by an automorphism is the obvious analog of the crossed product of a C^* -algebra of an automorphism. In [6] Ara, González-Barroso, Goodearl and Pardo inspired by a construction in C^* -algebra constructed *fractional skew monoid rings* from actions of monoid on rings by endomorphisms. In [17] Leavitt described a class of F -algebras $L(m, n)$ (where F is an arbitrary algebra) which are universal with respect to an isomorphism property between finite rank modules, i.e. $L(m, n)^n \cong L(m, n)^m$. Later Cuntz [7] (independently) constructed and investigated the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{O}_n$, called the Cuntz algebras. When F is the complex numbers then $\mathcal{O}_n$ can be viewed as a completion, in an appropriate norm, of $L(1, n)$. Soon after the appearance of [7], Cuntz and Krieger [8] described the significantly more general notion of the C^* -algebra of a (finite) matrix A , denoted $\mathcal{O}_A$. In [16] Cuntz-Krieger algebras were generalized to C^* -algebras of locally finite directed graphs, and this construction has later been generalized several time and now apply to arbitrary directed graphs. Inspired by the fractional skew monoid rings and by the graph C^* -algebras, Abrams and Aranda Pino [1] constructed the Leavitt path algebra of a row-finite directed graph. This construction was later generalized to apply to arbitrary directed graphs. The Leavitt path algebras provide a generalization of Leavitt algebras of type $(1, n)$ just in the same way as graph C^* -algebras $C^*(E)$ provide a generalization of Cuntz algebras, and they have recently attracted a great deal of interest (see for example [2, 3, 5, 22]).

It would be interesting and useful to put these rings and algebras in a larger category of rings whose properties can be studied and analyzed from more simple objects, just as it has been done in the C^* -algebraic setting with Cuntz-Pimsner algebras. This is the purpose of this paper.

From a ring R and a triple (P, Q, ψ) , called an R -system, consisting of two R -bimodules P and Q and a R -bimodule homomorphism $\psi : P \otimes Q \longrightarrow R$ we construct a universal $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded ring $\mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}$, called the *Toeplitz ring* associated with (P, Q, ψ) , which contains copies of R , P and Q and which implements the R -bimodule structure of P and Q and the R -bimodule homomorphism ψ . We then, for R -systems satisfying a certain condition which we call **(FS)**, carefully study quotients of $\mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}$ which preserve the $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of $\mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}$. We show that under a mild assumption about the R -system (P, Q, ψ) , there exists a smallest quotient of

$\mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$ which preserve the $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of $\mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$ and which leaves the embedded copy of R intact. We define the *Cuntz-Pimsner ring* $\mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$ of (P, Q, ψ) to be this quotient.

We show that the construction of Cuntz-Pimsner rings is a generalization of, for example, *the crossed product of a ring by an automorphism*, *the Leavitt path algebra of a directed graphs* and of *the fractional skew monoid ring of a corner isomorphism*. We also generalizes the *Graded Uniqueness Theorem* known from Leavitt path algebras to our class of Cuntz-Pimsner rings, and describe the structure of the graded ideals of $\mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$ (and thus of $\mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$, if it exists), in terms of pairs of ideals of R .

We believe that our construction is interesting both from the point of view of algebra and from the point of view of operator algebra. Our construction unifies many interesting classes of rings, and we believe it will provide us with the right frame for studying properties, such as the ideal structure, the K -theory, purely infiniteness, and the real and stable rank of these rings. It is also worth mentioning that the construction of Cuntz-Pimsner algebras have been generalized in several ways in C^* -algebra (see for example [9], [12] and [21]), and there is no reason to believe that the same cannot be done in the algebraic setting. We also expect that other examples of classes of C^* -algebras which can be obtained through the Cuntz-Pimsner construction, such as C^* -algebras associated with subshifts, can be adapted to the algebraic setting through our construction. So this paper is hopefully only the first step on the way of what we hope to be a fruitful adaption of work done in operator algebra to the algebraic setting.

We also believe that if one is only interested in the C^* -algebraic case, then there is some insight to be gained by reading this paper. One reason is that C^* -algebras have some nice properties not shared by all rings. For example a C^* -algebra is always non-degenerate and semiprime. This means that things which automatically work in the C^* -algebraic setting do not necessarily work in the algebraic setting, and we believe that by studying the algebraic case, one gain some insight into why things work the way they do in the operator algebraic case. Here are some of the specific differences between the C^* -algebraic case and the purely algebraic case:

- (1) In the algebraic case we are not just working with a single bimodule equipped with a inner product, but with more general systems consisting of two R -bimodules Q and P connected by a bimodule homomorphism $\psi : P \otimes Q \longrightarrow R$.
- (2) If we are working with a right degenerate ring, then the *Fock space representation* does not have the universal property the *Toeplitz representation* should have. We therefore have to construct the Toeplitz representation in a different way.
- (3) Unlike in the C^* -algebraic case, we do not in the algebraic case automatically have that every representation will induce a representation of the finite rank operators (which correspond to the compact operators) of the R -system in question. We therefore have to introduce a condition on the R -systems we are working with which insures that very representation will induce a representation of the finite rank operators. We do that by introducing the condition we call **(FS)**. This is probably not the optimal condition, but it is quite natural and satisfied by all the interesting examples we consider in this paper.
- (4) Unlike the Toeplitz and Cuntz-Pimsner C^* -algebras, the algebraic Toeplitz and Cuntz-Pimsner rings do not in general carry a *gauge action*. Instead, we have to work with $\mathbb{Z}$ -gradings.

- (5) In the algebraic case, it is not always the case that a representation with all the properties the Cuntz-Pimsner representation should have, exists (that it always exists in the C^* -algebraic case is because every C^* -algebra is semiprime). We think this is an interesting fact on its own, but it means that we in general have to work with relative Cuntz-Pimsner rings instead of Cuntz-Pimsner rings.

Another reason why we believe that our construction is interesting from the point of view of operator algebra is that since we do not have to worry about any norms or topology, our arguments become more tangible than in the C^* -algebraic setting. This allows us for example to put everything into a frame of category theory, something we think makes this whole construction more transparent. We believe that something similar can, and ought to, be done in the C^* -algebraic setting.

The contents of the paper. The contents of this paper can be summarized as follows:

In Section 1 we give some basic definitions and introduce R -systems (P, Q, ψ) (Definition 1.1). We define the category $\mathcal{C}_{(P, Q, \psi)}$ of surjective covariant representations of an R -system (P, Q, ψ) (Definition 1.4) and we prove that this category has an initial object which we call the *Toeplitz representation* (Theorem 1.7). We then introduce some essential examples of this construction, namely R -systems associated with ring automorphisms (Example 1.9) and with oriented graphs (Example 1.10), and we study their Toeplitz representations.

Section 2 defines the ring of adjointable homomorphisms $\mathcal{L}_P(Q)$ (Definition 2.1) as well as its ideal of the finite rank adjointable homomorphisms $\mathcal{F}_P(Q)$ (Definition 2.2) and gives us the *Fock space representation* (Proposition 2.5) which we later show is isomorphic to the Toeplitz representation under certain conditions (Proposition 4.2).

In Section 3 we show that the *Toeplitz ring* $\mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}$, on which the Toeplitz representation of an R -system (P, Q, ψ) lives, comes with a $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading (Proposition 3.1). We then go on to study graded and injective representations of (P, Q, ψ) ; that is representations which are compatible with the $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of $\mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}$ (Definition 3.3) and for which the representation of R is injective (Definition 1.2). To do this we need that representations of (P, Q, ψ) induces representations of $\mathcal{F}_P(Q)$. In contrast to the C^* -algebraic case where a representation of a Hilbert bimodule always induces a representation of the compact operators of the bimodule, a representation of (P, Q, ψ) does not automatically induces a representation of $\mathcal{F}_P(Q)$. We introduce a condition called **(FS)** on (P, Q, ψ) (Definition 3.4) which guarantees that every representation of (P, Q, ψ) induces a representation of $\mathcal{F}_P(Q)$ (Proposition 3.11). Under this condition we define the relative Cuntz-Pimsner ring $\mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(J)$ of an R -system (P, Q, ψ) with respect to an ideal J as a certain quotient of the Toeplitz ring $\mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}$ (Definition 3.16), and we show that the representations of (P, Q, ψ) corresponding to these relative Cuntz-Pimsner rings, up to isomorphism, include all graded and injective representations of (P, Q, ψ) (Remark 3.30).

In Section 4 we use the classification of graded and injective representations obtained in Section 3 to first show that under certain conditions the Fock representation of an R -system is isomorphic to the Toeplitz representation (Proposition 4.2), and we then show that a relative Cuntz-Pimsner ring $\mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(J)$ satisfies the *Graded Uniqueness Theorem* (Definition 4.5) if and only if the ideal J is maximal among the ideals of R for which the corresponding relative Cuntz-Pimsner representation is injective (Theorem 4.7). We also show by example that

there can be more than one such maximal ideal (Example 4.11). This is in contrast to the C^* -algebraic case where there always exists a unique such maximal ideal.

If such a unique maximal ideal exists, then we define the *Cuntz-Pimsner* representation of the R -system in question to be the relative Cuntz-Pimsner representation corresponding to this maximal ideal (Definition 5.1). We do this in Section 5 where we also give conditions under which such a unique maximal ideal exists (Lemma 5.2 and 5.3) and show that several interesting examples satisfy these conditions (Example 5.5, 5.6, 5.7 and 5.8). We then show that the *Cuntz-Pimsner ring*, the ring on which the Cuntz-Pimsner representation lives, automatically satisfies the Graded Uniqueness Theorem (Corollary 5.4) and use this to show that we can construct the Leavitt path algebras (Example 5.8), the crossed product of a ring R by an automorphism (Example 5.5) and the fractional skew monoid ring of a corner isomorphism (Example 5.7) as Cuntz-Pimsner rings.

In Section 6 we generalize the *Algebraic Gauge-Invariant Uniqueness Theorem* of [3] to our Cuntz-Pimsner rings (Corollary 6.3), and thereby to all Leavitt Path algebras (Corollary 6.4).

Finally in Section 7 we extend the classification of graded and injective representations obtained in Section 3 to graded representations which are not necessarily injective (Remark 7.12) and use this classification to give a complete description of the graded ideals of relative Cuntz-Pimsner rings (and thereby of Toeplitz rings, and of Cuntz-Pimsner rings) in terms of certain pairs of ideals of R (Theorem 7.27 and Corollary 7.28 and 7.29).

1. THE TOEPLITZ RING

First we establish the basic definitions for our setting. Throughout the paper we set $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

A ring R is said to be *right (left) non-degenerate* if $rR = 0$ ($Rr = 0$) implies $r = 0$. A ring R is said to be *non-degenerate* if it is both right and left non-degenerate. A non-degenerate has *local units* if for every finite set $\{r_1, \dots, r_n\} \subseteq R$ there exists an idempotent $e \in R$ such that $r_i \in eRe$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Let R be a ring. Given two R -bimodules P and Q we will by $P \otimes Q$ denote the R -balanced tensor product.

1.1. R -systems, covariant representations and the Toeplitz representation.

Definition 1.1. Let R be a ring. An R -system is a triple (P, Q, ψ) where P and Q are R -bimodules, and ψ is a R -bimodule homomorphism from $P \otimes Q$ to R .

Definition 1.2 (Cf. [18, Definition 2.11]). Let R be a ring and (P, Q, ψ) an R -system. We say that a quadruple (S, T, σ, B) is a *covariant representation* of (P, Q, ψ) on B if

- (1) B is a ring,
- (2) $S : P \longrightarrow B$ and $T : Q \longrightarrow B$ are linear maps,
- (3) $\sigma : R \longrightarrow B$ is a ring homomorphism,
- (4) $S(pr) = S(p)\sigma(r)$, $S(rp) = \sigma(r)S(p)$, $T(qr) = T(q)\sigma(r)$ and $T(rq) = \sigma(r)T(q)$ for every $r \in R$, $p \in P$ and $q \in Q$,
- (5) $\sigma(\psi(p \otimes q)) = S(p)T(q)$ for every $p \in P$ and $q \in Q$.

We denote by $\mathcal{R}\langle S, T, \sigma \rangle$ the subring of B generated by $\sigma(R) \cup T(Q) \cup S(P)$. If $\mathcal{R}\langle S, T, \sigma \rangle = B$, then we say that the covariant representation (S, T, σ, B) is *surjective*, and if the ring

homomorphism σ is injective, then we say that the covariant representation (S, T, σ, B) is *injective*.

Examples 1.3.

- (1) Let R be any ring and let $P = Q = R$ be the regular R -bimodules. Defining $\psi : P \otimes Q \longrightarrow R$ by $\psi(p \otimes q) = pq$. We then have that (P, Q, ψ) is an R -system. We can define a covariant representation $(S, T, \sigma, R[t, t^{-1}])$, where $R[t, t^{-1}]$ is the Laurent polynomial ring with coefficients in R , by letting $T(q) = qt$, $S(p) = pt^{-1}$ and $\sigma(r) = r$ for every $p \in P$, $q \in Q$ and $r \in R$. It is easy to check that $(S, T, \sigma, R[t, t^{-1}])$ is indeed a covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) . Observe that this representation is injective and surjective.
- (2) Let $P = Q$ be the $\mathbb{R}$ -module $\mathbb{R}$. Define $\psi : \mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\psi(p \otimes q) = -pq$. We then have that (P, Q, ψ) is an $\mathbb{R}$ -system. We can then define a covariant representation $(S, T, \sigma, \mathbb{C})$ by letting $T(q) = q\mathbf{i}$, $S(p) = p\mathbf{i}$ and $\sigma(r) = r$ for every $p \in P$, $q \in Q$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$. This representation is injective and surjective.
- (3) Let $P = Q$ be the $\mathbb{Z}$ -module $\mathbb{Z}$. Then if given any $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ we define $\psi_a : \mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by $\psi(p \otimes q) = apq$, then (P, Q, ψ_a) is a $\mathbb{Z}$ -system. We can then define a covariant representation $(S, T, \sigma, \mathbb{C})$ by letting $T(q) = q\sqrt{a}$, $S(p) = p\sqrt{a}$ and $\sigma(r) = r$ for every $p \in P$, $q \in Q$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Notice that the representation $(S, T, \sigma, \mathbb{C})$ is injective but not surjective.
- (4) Let V be a K -vector space and let $\mathcal{Q}(-, -) : V \times V \longrightarrow K$ be a non-degenerate quadratic form. Then V is a K -module, and if we let $P = Q = V$ and define $\psi_{\mathcal{Q}} : V \otimes V \longrightarrow K$ by $\psi_{\mathcal{Q}}(p \otimes q) = \mathcal{Q}(p, q)$, then $(P, Q, \psi_{\mathcal{Q}})$ is a K -system. Recall that the Clifford algebra $\mathcal{Cl}(V, \mathcal{Q})$ is the universal unital K -algebra generated by V and with the relation $v^2 = \mathcal{Q}(v, v)\mathbf{1}$ for every $v \in V$. Therefore we can define a covariant representation $(S, T, \sigma, \mathcal{Cl}(V, \mathcal{Q}))$ of $(P, Q, \psi_{\mathcal{Q}})$ by letting $T(v) = v$, $S(v) = v$ and $\sigma(k) = k\mathbf{1}$. This representation is surjective.

Definition 1.4. Let R be a ring and (P, Q, ψ) an R -system. We denote by $\mathcal{C}_{(P, Q, \psi)}$ the category whose objects are surjective covariant representations (S, T, σ, B) of (P, Q, ψ) , and where the class of morphisms between two representations $(S_1, T_1, \sigma_1, B_1)$ and $(S_2, T_2, \sigma_2, B_2)$ is the class of ring homomorphisms $\phi : B_1 \longrightarrow B_2$ such that $\phi \circ T_1 = T_2$, $\phi \circ S_1 = S_2$ and $\phi \circ \sigma_1 = \sigma_2$.

The main purpose of this paper is, for a given R -system (P, Q, ψ) , to study the category $\mathcal{C}_{(P, Q, \psi)}$. First we will show that $\mathcal{C}_{(P, Q, \psi)}$ has an initial object, but we begin with some more definitions and an easy lemma.

Given an R -system (P, Q, ψ) we define recursively the R -bimodules $P^{\otimes n}$ and $Q^{\otimes n}$ by letting $P^1 = P$ and $Q^1 = Q$, and letting $P^{\otimes n} = P^{\otimes n-1} \otimes P$ and $Q^{\otimes n} = Q^{\otimes n-1} \otimes Q$ for $n > 1$. We also let $P^{\otimes 0} = Q^{\otimes 0} = R$. We then define $\psi_0 : P^0 \otimes Q^0 \longrightarrow R$ by

$$r_1 \otimes r_2 \longmapsto r_1 r_2$$

for $r_1, r_2 \in R$, and we let $\psi_1 = \psi$ and define recursively $\psi_n : P^{\otimes n} \otimes Q^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow R$ for $n > 1$ by

$$(p_1 \otimes p_2) \otimes (q_1 \otimes q_2) \longmapsto \psi(p_1 \cdot \psi_{n-1}(p_2 \otimes q_1) \otimes q_2)$$

for $p_2 \in P^{\otimes n-1}$, $p_1 \in P$, $q_1 \in Q^{\otimes n-1}$ and $q_2 \in Q$.

Lemma 1.5. *Let R be ring and (P, Q, ψ) an R -system, and let (S, T, σ, B) be a covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist linear maps $T^n : Q^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow B$ and $S^n : P^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow B$ such that $T^n(q_1 \otimes q_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes q_n) = T(q_1)T(q_2) \cdots T(q_n)$ and $S^n(p_1 \otimes p_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes p_n) = S(p_1)S(p_2) \cdots S(p_n)$.*

Proof. Easily follows from the universal property of tensor products. $\square$

Gradings by the following semigroup will play an important role in this paper.

Definition 1.6. We define $\mathcal{S}$ to be the semigroup $\mathbb{N}_0^2$ with multiplication defined by

$$(m, n)(k, l) = \begin{cases} (m, n - k + l) & \text{if } n \geq k, \\ (m + k - n, l) & \text{if } k \geq n. \end{cases}$$

We are now ready to show that the category $\mathcal{C}_{(P, Q, \psi)}$ has an initial object.

Theorem 1.7 (Cf. [20]). *Let R be a ring and (P, Q, ψ) an R -system. Then there exists an injective and surjective covariant representation $(\iota_P, \iota_Q, \iota_R, \mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)})$ with the following property:*

(TP) *If (S, T, σ, B) is a covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) , then there exists a unique ring homomorphism $\eta_{(S, T, \sigma, B)} : \mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)} \longrightarrow B$ such that $\eta_{(S, T, \sigma, B)} \circ \iota_R = \sigma$, $\eta_{(S, T, \sigma, B)} \circ \iota_Q = T$ and $\eta_{(S, T, \sigma, B)} \circ \iota_P = S$.*

Moreover, $(\iota_P, \iota_Q, \iota_R, \mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)})$ is the, up to isomorphism in $\mathcal{C}_{(P, Q, \psi)}$, unique surjective covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) which possesses the property **(TP)**; in fact, if (S, T, σ, B) is a surjective covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) and $\phi : B \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}$ is a ring homomorphism such that $\phi \circ \sigma = \iota_R$, $\phi \circ S = \iota_P$ and $\phi \circ T = \iota_Q$, then ϕ is an isomorphism.

If we for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\mathcal{T}_{(m, n)} := \text{span}\{\iota_Q^m(q)\iota_P^n(p) \mid q \in Q^{\otimes m}, p \in P^{\otimes n}\}$, and we for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\mathcal{T}_{(k, 0)} := \iota_Q^k(Q^{\otimes k})$ and $\mathcal{T}_{(0, k)} := \iota_P^k(P^{\otimes k})$, and we let $\mathcal{T}_{(0, 0)} := \iota_R(R)$, then $\oplus_{(m, n) \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{T}_{(m, n)}$ is a $\mathcal{S}$ -grading of $\mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}$. The grading $\oplus_{(m, n) \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{T}_{(m, n)}$ is the only $\mathcal{S}$ -grading $\oplus_{(m, n) \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{Y}_{(m, n)}$ of $\mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}$ such that $\iota_R(R) \subseteq \mathcal{Y}_{(0, 0)}$, $\iota_Q(Q) \subseteq \mathcal{Y}_{(1, 0)}$, and $\iota_P(P) \subseteq \mathcal{Y}_{(0, 1)}$.

We call $(\iota_P, \iota_Q, \iota_R, \mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)})$ the Toeplitz representation of (P, Q, ψ) , and $\mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}$ for the Toeplitz ring of (P, Q, ψ) .

Proof. For $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ let $\mathcal{T}_{(m, n)}$ be the free abelian group generated by elements $\{[q, p] \mid q \in Q^{\otimes m}, p \in P^{\otimes n}\}$ satisfying the relations

- $[q, p_1] + [q, p_2] = [q, p_1 + p_2]$ for $q \in Q^{\otimes m}$ and $p_1, p_2 \in P^{\otimes n}$,
- $[q_1, p] + [q_2, p] = [q_1 + q_2, p]$ for $q_1, q_2 \in Q^{\otimes m}$ and $p \in P^{\otimes n}$,
- $[qr, p] = [q, rp]$ for $r \in R$, $q \in Q^{\otimes m}$ and $p \in P^{\otimes n}$.

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\mathcal{T}_{(k, 0)}$ be the abelian group $\{[q] \mid q \in Q^{\otimes k}\}$ with addition defined by $[q_1] + [q_2] = [q_1 + q_2]$ for $q_1, q_2 \in Q^{\otimes k}$ (so $\mathcal{T}_{(k, 0)}$ is just a copy of the abelian group $Q^{\otimes k}$), and let $\mathcal{T}_{(0, k)}$ be the abelian group $\{[p] \mid p \in P^{\otimes k}\}$ with addition defined by $[p_1] + [p_2] = [p_1 + p_2]$ for $p_1, p_2 \in P^{\otimes k}$ (so $\mathcal{T}_{(0, k)}$ is just a copy of the abelian group $P^{\otimes k}$). Finally, let $\mathcal{T}_{(0, 0)}$ be the abelian group $\{[r] \mid r \in R\}$ with addition defined by $[r_1] + [r_2] = [r_1 + r_2]$ for $r_1, r_2 \in R$ (so $\mathcal{T}_{(0, 0)}$ is just a copy of the abelian group R). We let $\mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)} := \oplus_{(m, n) \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{T}_{(m, n)}$. It is not difficult (but a bit tedious) to show that there exists a unique multiplication on $\mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}$ satisfying

- $[r_1][r_2] = [r_1 r_2]$ for $r_1, r_2 \in R$,
- $[r][q] = [rq]$ for $r \in R$, $q \in Q^{\otimes k}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

- $[q][r] = [qr]$ for $q \in Q^{\otimes k}$, $r \in R$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$,
- $[r][p] = [rp]$ for $r \in R$, $p \in P^{\otimes k}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$,
- $[p][r] = [pr]$ for $p \in P^{\otimes k}$, $r \in R$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$,
- $[q][p] = [q, p]$ for $q \in Q^{\otimes k}$, $p \in P^{\otimes l}$, $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$,
- $[p][q] = [\psi_k(p \otimes q)]$ for $q \in Q^{\otimes k}$, $p \in P^{\otimes k}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$,
- $[p][q_1 \otimes q_2] = [\psi_k(p \otimes q_1)q_2]$ for $p \in P^{\otimes k}$, $q_1 \in Q^{\otimes k}$, $q_2 \in Q^{\otimes l}$, $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$,
- $[p_1 \otimes p_2][q] = [p_1, \psi_l(p_2 \otimes q)]$ for $p_1 \in P^{\otimes k}$, $p_2 \in Q^{\otimes l}$, $q \in Q^{\otimes l}$, $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$,
- $[r][q, p] = [rq, p]$ for $r \in R$, $q \in Q^{\otimes k}$, $p \in P^{\otimes l}$, $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$,
- $[q, p][r] = [q, pr]$ for $q \in Q^{\otimes k}$, $p \in P^{\otimes l}$, $r \in R$, $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$,
- $[q_1][q_2, p] = [q_1 \otimes q_2, p]$ for $q_1 \in Q^{\otimes k}$, $q_2 \in Q^{\otimes l}$, $p \in P^{\otimes m}$, $k, l, m \in \mathbb{N}$,
- $[q_1, p][q_2] = [q_1 \psi_l(p \otimes q_2)]$ for $q_1 \in Q^{\otimes k}$, $p \in P^{\otimes l}$, $q_2 \in Q^{\otimes l}$, $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$,
- $[q_1, p_1 \otimes p_2][q_2] = [q_1, p_1 \psi_m(p_2 \otimes q_2)]$ for $q_1 \in Q^{\otimes k}$, $p_1 \in P^{\otimes l}$, $p_2 \in P^{\otimes m}$, $q_2 \in Q^{\otimes m}$, $k, l, m \in \mathbb{N}$,
- $[q_1, p][q_2 \otimes q_3] = [q_1 \psi_l(p \otimes q_2) \otimes q_3]$ for $q_1 \in Q^{\otimes k}$, $p \in P^{\otimes l}$, $q_2 \in Q^{\otimes l}$, $q_3 \in Q^{\otimes m}$, $k, l, m \in \mathbb{N}$,
- $[p_1][q, p_2] = [\psi_k(p_1 \otimes q)p_2]$ for $p_1 \in P^{\otimes k}$, $q \in Q^{\otimes k}$, $p_2 \in P^{\otimes l}$, $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$,
- $[p_1 \otimes p_2][q, p_3] = [p_1 \psi_l(p_2 \otimes q) \otimes p_3]$ for $p_1 \in P^{\otimes k}$, $p_2 \in P^{\otimes l}$, $q \in Q^{\otimes l}$, $p_3 \in P^{\otimes m}$, $k, l, m \in \mathbb{N}$,
- $[p_1][q_1 \otimes q_2, p_2] = [\psi_k(p_1 \otimes q_1)q_2, p_2]$ for $p_1 \in P^{\otimes k}$, $q_1 \in Q^{\otimes k}$, $q_2 \in Q^{\otimes l}$, $p_2 \in P^{\otimes m}$, $k, l, m \in \mathbb{N}$,
- $[q, p_1][p_2] = [q, p_1 \otimes p_2]$ for $q \in Q^{\otimes k}$, $p_1 \in P^{\otimes l}$, $p_2 \in P^{\otimes m}$, $k, l, m \in \mathbb{N}$,
- $[q_1, p_1][q_2, p_2] = [q_1 \psi_l(p_1 \otimes q_2), p_2]$ for $q_1 \in Q^{\otimes k}$, $p_1 \in P^{\otimes l}$, $q_2 \in Q^{\otimes l}$, $p_2 \in P^{\otimes m}$, $k, l, m \in \mathbb{N}$,
- $[q_1, p_1][q_2 \otimes q_3, p_2] = [q_1 \psi_l(p_1 \otimes q_2) \otimes q_3, p_2]$ for $q_1 \in Q^{\otimes k}$, $p_1 \in P^{\otimes l}$, $q_2 \in Q^{\otimes l}$, $q_3 \in Q^{\otimes m}$, $p_2 \in P^{\otimes n}$, $k, l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- $[q_1, p_1 \otimes p_2][q_2, p_3] = [q_1, p_1 \psi_m(p_2 \otimes q_2) \otimes p_3]$ for $q_1 \in Q^{\otimes k}$, $p_1 \in P^{\otimes l}$, $p_2 \in P^{\otimes m}$, $q_2 \in Q^{\otimes m}$, $p_3 \in P^{\otimes n}$, $k, l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

With this $\mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$ becomes a ring.

Let $\iota_R : R \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$ be the map $r \longmapsto [r]$, $\iota_Q : Q \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$ the map $q \longmapsto [q]$, and $\iota_P : P \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$ the map $p \longmapsto [p]$. Then $(\iota_P, \iota_Q, \iota_R, \mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)})$ is an injective and surjective covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) .

Let (S, T, σ, B) be a covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) . Since $\mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$ is generated by $\iota_R(R) \cup \iota_Q(Q) \cup \iota_P(P)$, there can at most be one ring homomorphism $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)} : \mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)} \longrightarrow B$ such that $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)} \circ \iota_R = \sigma$, $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)} \circ \iota_Q = T$ and $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)} \circ \iota_P = S$. For $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ the set $\text{span}\{T^m(q)S^n(p) \mid q \in Q^{\otimes m}, p \in P^{\otimes n}\}$ is a subgroup of B in which the relations

- $T^m(q)S^n(p_1) + T^m(q)S^n(p_2) = T^m(q)S^n(p_1 + p_2)$ for $q \in Q^{\otimes m}$ and $p_1, p_2 \in P^{\otimes n}$,
- $T^m(q_1)S^n(p) + T^m(q_2)S^n(p) = T^m(q_1 + q_2)S^n(p)$ for $q_1, q_2 \in Q^{\otimes m}$ and $p \in P^{\otimes n}$,
- $T^m(qr)S^n(p) = T^m(q)S^n(rp)$ for $r \in R$, $q \in Q^{\otimes m}$ and $p \in P^{\otimes n}$,

are satisfied, so there exists a group homomorphism $\eta_{(m,n)}$ from $\mathcal{T}_{(m,n)}$ to B such that $\eta_{(m,n)}([q, p]) = T^m(q)S^n(p)$ for $q \in Q^{\otimes m}$ and $p \in P^{\otimes n}$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\eta_{(k,0)}$ denote the map T^k , and let $\eta_{(0,k)}$ denote the map S^k . Finally, let $\eta_{(0,0)}$ denote the map σ . Then there exists a linear map $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)} : \mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)} \longrightarrow B$ such that for each $(m, n) \in \mathbb{S}$ the restriction of $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}$ to $\mathcal{T}_{(m,n)}$ is equal to $\eta_{(m,n)}$. It is not difficult to check that $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}$ is multiplicative,

and thus a ring homomorphism. It is clear that $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)} \circ \iota_R = \sigma$, $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)} \circ \iota_Q = T$ and $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)} \circ \iota_P = S$. Thus the representation $(\iota_P, \iota_Q, \iota_R, \mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)})$ possesses property **(TP)**.

If (S, T, σ, B) is a surjective covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) and $\phi : B \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$ is a ring homomorphism such that $\phi \circ \sigma = \iota_R$, $\phi \circ S = \iota_P$ and $\phi \circ T = \iota_Q$, then $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)} \circ \phi(\sigma(r)) = \sigma(r)$ for all $r \in R$, $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)} \circ \phi(S(p)) = S(p)$ for all $p \in P$ and $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)} \circ \phi(T(q)) = T(q)$ for all $q \in Q$. Since B is generated by $\sigma(R) \cup S(P) \cup T(Q)$, it follows that $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)} \circ \phi$ is equal to the identity map of B . One can in a similar way show that $\phi \circ \eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}$ is equal to the identity map of $\mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$. Thus ϕ and $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}$ are each other inverse, and ϕ is an isomorphism.

It is clear that $\mathcal{T}_{(m,n)} = \text{span}\{\iota_Q^m(q)\iota_P^n(p) \mid q \in Q^{\otimes m}, p \in P^{\otimes n}\}$ for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, that $\mathcal{T}_{(k,0)} = \iota_Q^k(Q^{\otimes k})$ and $\mathcal{T}_{(0,k)} = \iota_P^k(P^{\otimes k})$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, that $\mathcal{T}_{(0,0)} = \iota_R(R)$, and that $\oplus_{(m,n) \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{T}_{(m,n)}$ is a $\mathcal{S}$ -grading of $\mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$.

If $\oplus_{(m,n) \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{Y}_{(m,n)}$ is another $\mathcal{S}$ -grading of $\mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$ such that $\iota_R(R) \subseteq \mathcal{Y}_{(0,0)}$, $\iota_Q(Q) \subseteq \mathcal{Y}_{(1,0)}$, and $\iota_P(P) \subseteq \mathcal{Y}_{(0,1)}$, then it follows that $\mathcal{T}_{(m,n)} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}_{(m,n)}$ for each $(m,n) \in \mathcal{S}$, and thus that $\mathcal{T}_{(m,n)} = \mathcal{Y}_{(m,n)}$ for each $(m,n) \in \mathcal{S}$. $\square$

Remark 1.8. It follows from Theorem 1.7 that the Toeplitz representation is an initial object of $\mathcal{C}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$. It also follows that there is a bijective correspondence between covariant representations of an R -system (P, Q, ψ) and ring homomorphisms defined on $\mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$.

1.2. Examples. We end this section by looking at some examples. We will return to these examples later in the paper.

Example 1.9. Let R be a ring and let $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(R)$ be a ring automorphism. Let $P =: R_\varphi$ be the R -bimodule with the right action defined by $p \cdot r = p\varphi(r)$ and the left action defined by $r \cdot p = rp$ for $p \in P$ and $r \in R$. Likewise, let $Q =: R_{\varphi^{-1}}$ be the R -bimodule with the right action defined by $q \cdot r = q\varphi^{-1}(r)$ and the left action defined by $r \cdot q = rq$ for $q \in Q$ and $r \in R$. Thus we can define the following bimodule homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : P \otimes_R Q &\longrightarrow R \\ p \otimes q &\longmapsto p\varphi(q). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that we for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ have that $P^{\otimes n}$ is isomorphic to R_{φ^n} and that $Q^{\otimes n}$ is isomorphic to $R_{\varphi^{-n}}$. We will in the following for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ identify $P^{\otimes n}$ and $Q^{\otimes n}$ with R . We then have that $p_1 \otimes p_2 = p_1\varphi^{n_1}(p_2)$ for $p_1 \in P^{\otimes n_1}$ and $p_2 \in P^{\otimes n_2}$, and that $q_1 \otimes q_2 = q_1\varphi^{-n_1}(q_2)$ for $q_1 \in Q^{\otimes n_1}$ and $q_2 \in Q^{\otimes n_2}$.

Let (S, T, σ, B) be a covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) . For $r \in R$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $[r, n] := S^n(r)$, $[r, -n] := T^n(r)$ and $[r, 0] := \sigma(r) = T^0(r) = S^0(r)$. For $r_1, r_2 \in R$ and

$n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ choose $u_1, u_2 \in R$ such that $ur_1 = r_1$ and $r_2u_2 = r_2$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[r_1, n_1][r_2, n_2] &= S^{n_1}(r_1)S^{n_2}(r_2) = S^{n_1+n_2}(r_1 \otimes r_2) \\
&= S^{n_1+n_2}(r_1\varphi^{n_1}(r_2)) = [r_1\varphi^{n_1}(r_2), n_1 + n_2], \\
[r_1, -n_1][r_2, -n_2] &= T^{n_1}(r_1)T^{n_2}(r_2) = T^{n_1+n_2}(r_1 \otimes r_2) \\
&= T^{n_1+n_2}(r_1\varphi^{-n_1}(r_2)) = [r_1\varphi^{-n_1}(r_2), -n_1 - n_2], \\
[r_1, n_1][r_2, -n_1] &= S^{n_1}(r_1)T^{n_1}(r_2) = \sigma(\psi_{n_1}(r_1 \otimes r_2)) \\
&= \sigma(r_1\varphi^{n_1}(r_2)) = [r_1\varphi^{n_1}(r_2), 0], \\
[r_1, n_1 + n_2][r_2, -n_2] &= [u_1r_1, n_1 + n_2][r_2, -n_2] \\
&= [u_1, n_1][\varphi^{-n_1}(r_1), n_2][r_2, -n_2] \\
&= [u_1, n_1][\varphi^{-n_1}(r_1)\varphi^{n_2}(r_2), 0] \\
&= [u_1r_1\varphi^{n_1+n_2}(r_2), n_1] = [r_1\varphi^{n_1+n_2}(r_2), n_1]
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
[r_1, n_1][r_2, -n_1 - n_2] &= [r_1, n_1][r_2, -n_1][\varphi^{n_1}(u_2), -n_2] \\
&= [r_1\varphi^{n_1}(r_2), 0][\varphi^{n_1}(u_2), -n_2] \\
&= [r_1\varphi^{n_1}(r_2), -n_2]
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $[r_1, k_1][r_2, k_2] = [r_1\varphi^{k_1}(r_2), k_1 + k_2]$ for $r_1, r_2 \in R$ and $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ if k_1 and k_2 both are non-positive, or both are non-negative, or if k_1 is non-negative and k_2 is non-positive. We also have that $[r_1, k] + [r_2, k] = [r_1 + r_2, k]$ for $r_1, r_2 \in R$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

If on the other hand we have a ring B which contains a set of elements $\{[r, k] : r \in R, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ satisfying $[r_1, k] + [r_2, k] = [r_1 + r_2, k]$ and $[r_1, k_1][r_2, k_2] = [r_1\varphi^{k_1}(r_2), k_1 + k_2]$ if k_1 and k_2 both are non-positive, or both are non-negative, or if k_1 is non-negative and k_2 is non-positive, and we define $\sigma : R \longrightarrow B$ by $\sigma(r) = [r, 0]$, $S : P \longrightarrow B$ by $S(p) = [p, 1]$, and $T : Q \longrightarrow B$ by $T(q) = [q, -1]$, then (S, T, σ, B) is a covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) .

Thus $\mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}$ is the universal ring generated by elements $\{[r, k] : r \in R, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ satisfying $[r_1, k] + [r_2, k] = [r_1 + r_2, k]$ and $[r_1, k_1][r_2, k_2] = [r_1\varphi^{k_1}(r_2), k_1 + k_2]$ if k_1 and k_2 both are non-positive, or both are non-negative, or if k_1 is non-negative and k_2 is non-positive. We will in Example 5.5 see that if R has local units then a certain quotient of $\mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}$ (the *Cuntz-Pimsner ring of (P, Q, ψ)*) is isomorphic to the crossed product $R \times_{\varphi} \mathbb{Z}$.

Example 1.10. Let $E = (E^0, E^1)$ be an oriented graph and let F be a commutative unital ring. We define the ring $R := \oplus_{v \in E^0} F_v$ where every F_v is a copy of F , and we denote for each $v \in E^0$ by $\mathbf{1}_v$ the unit of F_v . Observe that R is non-degenerate with local units. We also define $Q := \oplus_{e \in E^1} F_e$ and $P := \oplus_{e \in E^1} F_{\bar{e}}$ where every F_e and $F_{\bar{e}}$ are copies of F with units $\mathbf{1}_e$

and $\mathbf{1}_{\bar{e}}$ respectively, with the following R -bimodule operations:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{e \in E^1} \lambda_e \mathbf{1}_e \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{v \in E^0} s_v \mathbf{1}_v \right) &= \sum_{e \in E^1} \left(\sum_{r(e)=v} \lambda_e s_v \right) \mathbf{1}_e, \\ \left(\sum_{v \in E^0} s_v \mathbf{1}_v \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{e \in E^1} \lambda_e \mathbf{1}_e \right) &= \sum_{e \in E^1} \left(\sum_{s(e)=v} s_v \lambda_e \right) \mathbf{1}_e, \\ \left(\sum_{e \in E^1} \lambda_e \mathbf{1}_{\bar{e}} \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{v \in E^0} s_v \mathbf{1}_v \right) &= \sum_{e \in E^1} \left(\sum_{s(e)=v} \lambda_e s_v \right) \mathbf{1}_{\bar{e}}, \\ \left(\sum_{v \in E^0} s_v \mathbf{1}_v \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{e \in E^1} \lambda_e \mathbf{1}_{\bar{e}} \right) &= \sum_{e \in E^1} \left(\sum_{r(e)=v} s_v \lambda_e \right) \mathbf{1}_{\bar{e}}, \end{aligned}$$

for every $\{s_v\}_{v \in E^0} \subseteq F$ and $\{\lambda_e\}_{e \in E^1} \subseteq F$.

Now if we define the following R -bimodule homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : P \otimes_R Q &\longrightarrow R \\ \left(\sum_{e \in E^1} p_e \mathbf{1}_{\bar{e}} \right) \otimes \left(\sum_{e \in E^1} q_e \mathbf{1}_e \right) &\longmapsto \sum_{v \in E^0} \left(\sum_{r(e)=v} p_e q_e \right) \mathbf{1}_v, \end{aligned}$$

then (P, Q, ψ) is an R -system.

Let (S, T, σ, B) be a covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) and let $p_v := \sigma(\mathbf{1}_v)$ for $v \in E^0$, and let $x_e = T(\mathbf{1}_e)$ and $y_e = S(\mathbf{1}_{\bar{e}})$ for $e \in E^1$. It is easy to check that $\{p_v\}_{v \in E^0}$ is a family of pairwise orthogonal idempotents, and that for all $e, f \in E^1$ we have that $p_{s(e)}x_e = x_e = x_e p_{r(e)}$, $p_{r(e)}y_e = y_e = y_e p_{s(e)}$, and $y_e x_f = \delta_{e,f} p_{r(e)}$. Since R is an F -algebra, and P and Q are F -modules, the ring $\mathcal{R}(S, T, \sigma)$ becomes an F -algebra when we equip it with an F -multiplication of F defined by $\lambda \sigma(r) = \sigma(\lambda r)$, $\lambda S(p) = S(\lambda p)$ and $\lambda T(q) = T(\lambda q)$ for $\lambda \in F$, $r \in R$, $p \in P$ and $q \in Q$.

If on the other hand B is an F -algebra which contains a family $\{p_v\}_{v \in E^0}$ of pairwise orthogonal idempotents and families $\{x_e\}_{e \in E^1}$ and $\{y_e\}_{e \in E^1}$ satisfying for all $e, f \in E^1$ that $p_{s(e)}x_e = x_e = x_e p_{r(e)}$, $p_{r(e)}y_e = y_e = y_e p_{s(e)}$, and $y_e x_f = \delta_{e,f} p_{r(e)}$, and we for $r = \sum_{v \in E^0} s_v \mathbf{1}_v \in R$ let $\sigma(r) := \sum_{v \in E^0} s_v p_v$, for $p = \sum_{e \in E^1} \lambda_e \mathbf{1}_{\bar{e}} \in P$ let $S(p) := \sum_{e \in E^1} \lambda_e x_e$, and for $q = \sum_{e \in E^1} \lambda_e \mathbf{1}_e \in Q$ let $T(q) := \sum_{e \in E^1} \lambda_e y_e$, then (S, T, σ, B) is a covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) .

Thus $\mathcal{T}_E := \mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}$ is the universal F -algebra generated by a set $\{p_v : v \in E^0\}$ of pairwise orthogonal idempotents, together with a set $\{x_e, y_e : e \in E^1\}$ of elements satisfying for $e, f \in E^1$

- (1) $p_{s(e)}x_e = x_e = x_e p_{r(e)}$,
- (2) $p_{r(e)}y_e = y_e = y_e p_{s(e)}$,
- (3) $y_e x_f = \delta_{e,f} p_{r(e)}$.

We will in Example 5.8 see that a certain quotient of $\mathcal{T}_E$ is isomorphic to the Leavitt path algebra $L_F(E)$ associated with the graph E , cf. [1],[2],[3],[5]&[22].

2. THE FOCK SPACE REPRESENTATION

We will in this section for an arbitrary ring R and an arbitrary R -system (P, Q, ψ) construct a representation which we call *the Fock space representation*. This construction is inspired by a similar construction in the C^* -algebra setting, cf. [20] and [13]. We will later show (see Proposition 4.2) that the Fock space representation under certain conditions is isomorphic to the Toeplitz representation.

We begin by establishing some notation which will be used in the rest of the paper.

Definition 2.1. Let R be a ring and (P, Q, ψ) an R -system. Then a right R -module homomorphism $T : Q_R \longrightarrow Q_R$ is called *adjointable with respect to ψ* if there exists a left R -module homomorphism $S : {}_R P \longrightarrow {}_R P$ such that

$$\psi(p \otimes T(q)) = \psi(S(p) \otimes q) \quad \forall p \in P \quad \forall q \in Q.$$

We call S an *adjoint* of T with respect to ψ . We write $\mathcal{L}_P(Q)$ for the set of all the adjointable homomorphisms (with respect to ψ). Notice that without further conditions the adjoint can be non-unique. We denote by $\mathcal{L}_Q(P)$ the set of all the adjoints.

Observe that $\mathcal{L}_P(Q)$ and $\mathcal{L}_Q(P)$ are subrings of $\text{End}(Q_R)$ and $\text{End}({}_R P)$ respectively.

Definition 2.2. Let R be a ring and (P, Q, ψ) an R -system. For every $p \in P$ and $q \in Q$ we define the following homomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \theta_{q,p} : Q_R & \longrightarrow & Q_R \\ x & \longmapsto & q\psi(p \otimes x) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \theta_{p,q} : {}_R P & \longrightarrow & {}_R P \\ y & \longmapsto & \psi(y \otimes q)p \end{array}.$$

Then $\theta_{q,p} \in \mathcal{L}_P(Q)$ and has $\theta_{p,q}$ as an adjoint.

We call these homomorphisms *rank 1 adjointable* homomorphisms, and we denote by $\mathcal{F}_P(Q)$ the linear span of all the rank 1 adjointable homomorphisms. Similarly, we denote by $\mathcal{F}_Q(P)$ the set of all rank 1 adjoints.

Lemma 2.3. Let R be a ring and (P, Q, ψ) an R -system. If $T \in \mathcal{L}_P(Q)$ (with an adjoint S), $p \in P$ and $q \in Q$, then we have that

$$T\theta_{q,p} = \theta_{T(q),p} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_{q,p}T = \theta_{q,S(p)}.$$

Thus $\mathcal{F}_P(Q)$ is a two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{L}_P(Q)$.

Proof. Is easy to check using the definitions. □

Notice that the above result does not depend on the choice of the adjoint. Notice also that by a dual argument we have that $\mathcal{F}_Q(P)$ is a two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{L}_Q(P)$.

Definition 2.4 (Cf. [18, Section 2.2] and [20]). Given a ring R and an R -bimodule Q we define the tensor ring or *Fock ring* $F(Q)$ by

$$F(Q) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} Q^{\otimes n}.$$

Despite the inherited ring structure of $F(Q)$ (see [11] for more information about tensor rings) we are only interested in the R -bimodule structure of $F(Q)$. If (P, Q, ψ) is an R -system, then we can define an R -balanced R -bilinear form

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : F(P) \times F(Q) &\longrightarrow R \\ (\{p_n\}, \{q_n\}) &\longmapsto \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \psi_n(p_n \otimes q_n) \end{aligned}$$

that one can extend to a R -bimodule homomorphism $\psi : F(P) \otimes F(Q) \longrightarrow R$ by the universal property of the tensor product.

Define the ring homomorphism $\phi_\infty : R \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{F(P)}(F(Q))$ assigning to $r \in R$ the adjointable homomorphism $\phi_\infty(r)$ of $F(Q)$ defined by $\phi_\infty(r)(\{q_n\}) = \{rq_n\}$. Notice that $\varphi_\infty(r)$ defined as $\varphi_\infty(r)(\{p_n\}) = \{p_nr\}$ is an adjoint of $\phi_\infty(r)$.

If for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we define $\phi_\infty^n : R \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{P^{\otimes n}}(Q^{\otimes n})$ as $\phi_\infty^n(r)(q_n) = rq_n$, then we can write $\phi_\infty(r)$ in the following matrix form

$$\phi_\infty(r)(\{q_n\}) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_\infty^0(r) & & 0 & & \\ & \phi_\infty^1(r) & & & \\ 0 & & \phi_\infty^2(r) & & \\ & & & \ddots & \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_0 \\ q_1 \\ q_2 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Given an R -system (P, Q, ψ) , for every $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $n \leq m$ and $q \in Q^{\otimes m-n}$, we define the following right R -module homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} T_q^{(n,m)} : Q^{\otimes n} &\longrightarrow Q^{\otimes m} \\ q_n &\longmapsto q \otimes q_n \end{aligned}$$

and the left R -module homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} U_q^{(m,n)} : P^{\otimes m} &\longrightarrow P^{\otimes n} \\ p_1 \otimes p_2 &\longmapsto p_1 \psi_{m-n}(p_2 \otimes q) \end{aligned},$$

where $p_1 \in P^{\otimes n}$ and $p_2 \in P^{\otimes m-n}$.

For $q \in Q$ let $T_q^{(n)} := T_q^{(n,n+1)}$ and $U_q^{(n)} := U_q^{(n+1,n)}$. We define the *creator homomorphism* $T_q : F(Q) \longrightarrow F(Q)$ by

$$T_q(\{q_n\}) := \{0, T_q^{(0)}(q_0), T_q^{(1)}(q_1), \dots\} = \{0, qq_0, q \otimes q_1, \dots\}.$$

Observe that we can write T_q in the following matrix form

$$T_q(\{q_n\}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & \\ T_q^{(0)} & 0 & & & \\ & T_q^{(1)} & 0 & & \\ & & T_q^{(2)} & 0 & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_0 \\ q_1 \\ q_2 \\ q_3 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}.$$

One gets that $T_q \in \mathcal{L}_{F(P)}(F(Q))$ with an adjoint homomorphism $U_q : F(P) \longrightarrow F(P)$ defined by $U_q(\{p_n\}) = \{U_q^{(0)}(p_1), U_q^{(1)}(p_2), \dots\}$ and which can be written in the matrix form

$$U_q(\{p_n\}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & U_q^{(0)} & & & \\ & 0 & U_q^{(1)} & & \\ & & 0 & U_q^{(2)} & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_0 \\ p_1 \\ p_2 \\ p_3 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Similarly, for every $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $n \leq m$ and given any $p \in P^{\otimes m-n}$ we define the following right R -module homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} S_p^{(n,m)} : Q^{\otimes m} &\longrightarrow Q^{\otimes n} \\ q_1 \otimes q_2 &\longmapsto \psi_{m-n}(p \otimes q_1)q_2 \end{aligned},$$

where $q_1 \in Q^{\otimes m-n}$ and $q_2 \in Q^{\otimes n}$, and the left R -module homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} V_p^{(n,m)} : P^{\otimes n} &\longrightarrow P^{\otimes m} \\ p_n &\longmapsto p_n \otimes p. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $S_p^{(n)} := S_p^{(n,n+1)}$ and $V_p^{(n)} := V_p^{(n+1,n)}$ where $p \in P$, and we then define the right R -module homomorphism $S_p : F(Q) \longrightarrow F(Q)$ by $S_p(\{q_n\}) := \{S_p^{(0)}(q_1), S_p^{(1)}(q_2), \dots\}$ which can be written in the following matrix form

$$S_p(\{q_n\}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S_p^{(0)} & & & \\ & 0 & S_p^{(1)} & & \\ & & 0 & S_p^{(2)} & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_0 \\ q_1 \\ q_2 \\ q_3 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}.$$

One gets that $S_p \in \mathcal{L}_{F(P)}(F(Q))$ with an adjoint homomorphism $V_p : F(P) \longrightarrow F(P)$ given by $V_p(\{p_n\}) := \{0, V_p^{(0)}(p_0), V_p^{(1)}(p_1), \dots\}$ and with matrix form

$$V_p(\{p_n\}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & \\ V_p^{(0)} & 0 & & & \\ & V_p^{(1)} & 0 & & \\ & & V_p^{(2)} & 0 & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_0 \\ p_1 \\ p_2 \\ p_3 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proposition 2.5. *Let R be a ring and (P, Q, ψ) an R -system. Denote by $T_{\mathcal{F}}$ the map from Q to $\mathcal{L}_{F(P)}(F(Q))$ given by $q \longmapsto T_q$, by $S_{\mathcal{F}}$ the map from P to $\mathcal{L}_{F(P)}(F(Q))$ given by $p \longmapsto S_p$, and by $\sigma_{\mathcal{F}}$ the map from R to $\mathcal{L}_{F(P)}(F(Q))$ given by $r \longmapsto \phi_{\infty}(r)$, and let $\mathcal{F}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$ be the subring of $\mathcal{L}_{F(P)}(F(Q))$ generated by $T_{\mathcal{F}}(Q) \cup S_{\mathcal{F}}(P) \cup \sigma_{\mathcal{F}}(R)$. Then $(S_{\mathcal{F}}, T_{\mathcal{F}}, \sigma_{\mathcal{F}}, \mathcal{F}_{(P,Q,\psi)})$ is a surjective covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) . This representation is injective if and only if R is right non-degenerate.*

We call $(S_{\mathcal{F}}, T_{\mathcal{F}}, \sigma_{\mathcal{F}}, \mathcal{F}_{(P,Q,\psi)})$ for the Fock space representation of (P, Q, ψ) .

Proof. It is clear that the maps $T_{\mathcal{F}}$, $S_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\sigma_{\mathcal{F}}$ are linear, and that for every $r \in R$, $p \in P$ and $q \in Q$ we have that

$$\phi_{\infty}(r)T_q = T_{rq}, \quad T_q\phi_{\infty}(r) = T_{qr}, \quad \phi_{\infty}(r)S_p = S_{rp}, \quad S_p\phi_{\infty}(r) = S_{pr},$$

from which it follows that $\sigma_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a ring homomorphism and that

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\mathcal{F}}(pr) &= S_{\mathcal{F}}(p)\sigma_{\mathcal{F}}(r), & S_{\mathcal{F}}(rp) &= \sigma_{\mathcal{F}}(r)S_{\mathcal{F}}(p), \\ T_{\mathcal{F}}(rq) &= \sigma_{\mathcal{F}}(r)T_{\mathcal{F}}(q), & T_{\mathcal{F}}(qr) &= T_{\mathcal{F}}(q)\sigma_{\mathcal{F}}(r) \end{aligned}$$

for every $r \in R$, $p \in P$ and $q \in Q$.

Given any $p \in P$ and $q \in Q$ we have for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ that $S_p^{(n)}T_q^{(n)}(q_n) = \psi(p \otimes q)q_n$ for $q_n \in Q^{\otimes n}$, and hence the composition homomorphism S_pT_q gives

$$\begin{aligned} S_pT_q(\{q_n\}) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S_p^{(0)} & 0 & & \\ & 0 & S_p^{(1)} & 0 & \\ & & 0 & S_p^{(2)} & 0 \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & \\ T_q^{(0)} & 0 & & & \\ 0 & T_q^{(1)} & 0 & & \\ & 0 & T_q^{(2)} & 0 & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_0 \\ q_1 \\ q_2 \\ q_3 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S_p^{(0)} & 0 & & \\ & 0 & S_p^{(1)} & 0 & \\ & & 0 & S_p^{(2)} & 0 \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ qq_0 \\ q \otimes q_1 \\ q \otimes q_2 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \psi(p \otimes q)q_0 \\ \psi(p \otimes q)q_1 \\ \psi(p \otimes q)q_2 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = \phi_{\infty}(\psi(p \otimes q))(\{q_n\}), \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that $\sigma_{\mathcal{F}}(\psi(p \otimes q)) = S_{\mathcal{F}}(p)T_{\mathcal{F}}(q)$ for every $p \in P$ and $q \in Q$. Thus $(S_{\mathcal{F}}, T_{\mathcal{F}}, \sigma_{\mathcal{F}}, \mathcal{F}_{(P,Q,\psi)})$ is a surjective covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) .

Finally it is clear that $(S_{\mathcal{F}}, T_{\mathcal{F}}, \sigma_{\mathcal{F}}, \mathcal{F}_{(P,Q,\psi)})$ is injective if and only if R is right non-degenerate. $\square$

Notation 2.6. Let us denote by B^{op} the opposite ring of B . Given $a, b \in B^{op}$ we write $a \cdot b$ for the product of a and b in B^{op} . Thus $a \cdot b = ba$.

Remark 2.7. Let R be a ring and let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system. We could define an *anti-representation* of (P, Q, ψ) to be a quadruple (V, U, η, B^{op}) where B is a ring, $\eta : R \rightarrow B^{op}$ is an ring homomorphism, $U : Q \rightarrow B^{op}$ and $V : P \rightarrow B^{op}$ are linear maps, and $U(qr) = U(q) \cdot \eta(r)$, $U(rq) = \eta(r) \cdot U(q)$, $V(rp) = \eta(r) \cdot V(p)$, $V(pr) = V(p) \cdot \eta(r)$ and $V(p) \cdot U(q) = \eta(\psi(p \otimes q))$ for every $r \in R$, $q \in Q$ and $p \in P$. If we then denoted by $U_{\mathcal{F}^{\sharp}}$ the map from Q to $\mathcal{L}_{F(Q)}(F(P))$ given by $q \mapsto U_q$, by $V_{\mathcal{F}^{\sharp}}$ the map from P to $\mathcal{L}_{F(Q)}(F(P))$ given by $p \mapsto V_p$, and by $\eta_{\mathcal{F}^{\sharp}}$ the map from R to $\mathcal{L}_{F(Q)}(F(P))$ given by $r \mapsto \varphi_{\infty}(r)$, then $(V_{\mathcal{F}^{\sharp}}, U_{\mathcal{F}^{\sharp}}, \eta_{\mathcal{F}^{\sharp}}, (\mathcal{F}_{(P,Q,\psi)}^{\sharp})^{op})$ would be an anti-representation of (P, Q, ψ) , where $\mathcal{F}_{(P,Q,\psi)}^{\sharp}$ is the subring of $\mathcal{L}_{F(Q)}(F(P))$ generated by $U_{\mathcal{F}^{\sharp}}(Q) \cup V_{\mathcal{F}^{\sharp}}(P) \cup \eta_{\mathcal{F}^{\sharp}}(R)$.

Notice that in general the rings $\mathcal{F}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{(P,Q,\psi)}^{\sharp}$ are not isomorphic. For example if R is a right non-degenerate ring, but not a left non-degenerate ring, then if we consider the R -system (P, Q, ψ) where $P = Q = 0$ and ψ is the zero homomorphism, we have that $\mathcal{F}_{(P,Q,\psi)} \cong R$ and $\mathcal{F}_{(P,Q,\psi)}^{\sharp} \cong R/I$ where $I = \{r \in R : Rr = 0\}$.

3. RELATIVE CUNTZ-PIMSNER RINGS

The Toeplitz representation of an R -system (P, Q, ψ) is in general too big to be an attractive representation of (P, Q, ψ) . We will in this section study a certain subclass of covariant representations of (P, Q, ψ) and, for R -systems satisfying the condition **(FS)** defined below, completely classify these representations up to isomorphism in $\mathcal{C}_{(P, Q, \psi)}$. We begin by describing this class of representations.

Remember (cf. Theorem 1.7) that $\mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}$ comes with a $\mathcal{S}$ -grading $\oplus_{(m, n) \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{T}_{(m, n)}$ where $\mathcal{S}$ is the semigroup defined in Definition 1.6. It will often be more convenient to work with a $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading instead of this $\mathcal{S}$ -grading.

Proposition 3.1. *Let R be a ring and let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system. If we for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $\mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}^{(k)} = \oplus_{(m, n) \in \mathcal{S}, m-n=k} \mathcal{T}_{(m, n)}$, then $\oplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}^{(n)}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of $\mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}$. The grading $\oplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}^{(n)}$ is the only $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading $\oplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{Y}^{(n)}$ of $\mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}$ for which $\iota_R(R) \subseteq \mathcal{Y}^{(0)}$, $\iota_Q(Q) \subseteq \mathcal{Y}^{(1)}$, and $\iota_P(P) \subseteq \mathcal{Y}^{(-1)}$.*

Proof. It easily follows from Theorem 1.7 that $\oplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}^{(n)}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of $\mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}$ and that $\iota_R(R) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}^{(0)}$, $\iota_Q(Q) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}^{(1)}$, and $\iota_P(P) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}^{(-1)}$.

Suppose $\oplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{Y}^{(n)}$ is another $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of $\mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}$ and that $\iota_R(R) \subseteq \mathcal{Y}^{(0)}$, $\iota_Q(Q) \subseteq \mathcal{Y}^{(1)}$, and $\iota_P(P) \subseteq \mathcal{Y}^{(-1)}$. Then $\mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}^{(n)} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}^{(n)}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ from which it follows that $\mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}^{(n)} = \mathcal{Y}^{(n)}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. $\square$

Proposition 3.2. *Let R be a ring, (P, Q, ψ) an R -system, (S, T, σ, B) a surjective covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) , and let $\eta_{(S, T, \sigma, B)} : \mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)} \longrightarrow B$ be the ring homomorphism from Theorem 1.7. If $\oplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} B^{(n)}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of B such that $\sigma(R) \subseteq B^{(0)}$, $T(Q) \subseteq B^{(1)}$ and $S(P) \subseteq B^{(-1)}$, then $\eta_{(S, T, \sigma, B)}(\mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}^{(n)}) = B^{(n)}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. If $\oplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} B^{(n)}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of B such that $\sigma(R) \subseteq B^{(0)}$, $T(Q) \subseteq B^{(1)}$ and $S(P) \subseteq B^{(-1)}$, then $\eta_{(S, T, \sigma, B)}(\mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}^{(n)}) \subseteq B^{(n)}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that $\oplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \eta_{(S, T, \sigma, B)}(\mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}^{(n)})$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of B , and thus that $\eta_{(S, T, \sigma, B)}(\mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}^{(n)}) = B^{(n)}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. $\square$

Definition 3.3. Let R be a ring and (P, Q, ψ) an R -system. A surjective covariant representation (S, T, σ, B) of (P, Q, ψ) is *graded* if there exists a $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading $\oplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} B^{(n)}$ of B such that $\sigma(R) \subseteq B^{(0)}$, $T(Q) \subseteq B^{(1)}$, and $S(P) \subseteq B^{(-1)}$.

The aim of this section is to classify all surjective, injective and graded representations of an R -system. Unfortunately, we do not know how to do that for general R -systems, but only for R -systems satisfying a condition we have chosen to call **(FS)** and which is defined below. This condition is probably not the optimal one, but many interesting examples do satisfy this condition.

3.1. Condition (FS). We will now introduce the condition **(FS)** and show some fundamental results for R -systems satisfying this condition.

Definition 3.4. Let R be a ring. An R -system (P, Q, ψ) is said to satisfy condition **(FS)** if for every finite set $\{q_1, \dots, q_n\} \subseteq Q$ and $\{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \subseteq P$ there exist $\Theta \in \mathcal{F}_P(Q)$ and $\Delta \in \mathcal{F}_Q(P)$ such that $\Theta(q_i) = q_i$ and $\Delta(p_j) = p_j$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$ respectively.

Example 3.5. Observe that condition **(FS)** appears in a natural context. Let Q be an R -bimodule such that Q_R is a finitely generated projective right R -module. Then define $P := Q^* = \text{Hom}_R(Q_R, R)$. We then have that P is an R -bimodule such that ${}_R P$ is a finitely generated projective left R -module with $P^* = Q^{**} = Q$. Therefore we can define

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : P \otimes_R Q &\longrightarrow R \\ f \otimes q &\longmapsto f(q). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that by the Dual Basis Lemma there exist $q_1, \dots, q_n \in Q$ and $f_1, \dots, f_n \in P$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n q_i f_i(q) = q$ for every $q \in Q$. Dually and since $P^* = Q$, there exist $p_1, \dots, p_m \in Q$ and $g_1, \dots, g_m \in P^* = Q$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^m g_j(p) p_j = p$ for every $p \in P$, from where condition **(FS)** follows.

Definition 3.6. Let R be a ring. An R -system (P, Q, ψ) is *non-degenerate* if whenever $\psi(p \otimes q) = 0$ for every $p \in P$ then $q = 0$, and whenever $\psi(p \otimes q) = 0$ for every $q \in Q$ then $p = 0$.

Notice that if (P, Q, ψ) is non-degenerate then every $T \in \mathcal{L}_P(Q)$ has a unique adjoint.

Lemma 3.7. Let R be a ring and (P, Q, ψ) an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)**. Then (P, Q, ψ) is non-degenerate.

Proof. Let $\psi(p \otimes q) = 0$ for every $p \in P$. Then by condition **(FS)** there exists $\Theta = \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_{q_i, p_i} \in \mathcal{F}_P(Q)$ such that $q = \Theta(q) = \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_{q_i, p_i}(q) = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \psi(p_i \otimes q) = 0$. Thus (P, Q, ψ) is non-degenerate. $\square$

Observe that if R is right non-degenerate then $\psi_0 : P^{\otimes 0} \otimes Q^{\otimes 0} \longrightarrow R$ is non-degenerate. For general $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we need the condition **(FS)**.

Lemma 3.8. Let R be a ring and (P, Q, ψ) an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)**. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that the R -system $(P^{\otimes n}, Q^{\otimes n}, \psi_n)$ satisfies condition **(FS)**.

Proof. We will prove by induction that $\psi_n : P^{\otimes n} \otimes Q^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow R$ satisfies condition **(FS)** for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By hypothesis (P, Q, ψ) satisfies **(FS)**. Now suppose that $(P^{\otimes n-1}, Q^{\otimes n-1}, \psi_{n-1})$ satisfies condition **(FS)**. Let $q_1^1 \otimes q_1^2, \dots, q_m^1 \otimes q_m^2 \in Q^{\otimes n}$ where $q_1^1, \dots, q_m^1 \in Q$ and $q_1^2, \dots, q_m^2 \in Q^{\otimes n-1}$. Since (P, Q, ψ) satisfies condition **(FS)** there exists $\Theta_1 = \sum_{j=1}^l \theta_{a_j, b_j} \in \mathcal{F}_P(Q)$ with $a_j \in Q$ and $b_j \in P$ for every $j = 1, \dots, l$ such that $\Theta_1(q_i^1) = q_i^1$ for every $i = 1, \dots, m$. Now since $(P^{\otimes n-1}, Q^{\otimes n-1}, \psi_{n-1})$ satisfies condition **(FS)**, by induction hypothesis, there exists $\Theta_2 = \sum_{k=1}^t \theta_{c_k, d_k} \in \mathcal{F}_{P^{\otimes n-1}}(Q^{\otimes n-1})$ with $c_k \in Q^{\otimes n-1}$ and $d_k \in P^{\otimes n-1}$ for every $k = 1, \dots, t$ such that $\Theta_2(\psi(b_j \otimes q_i^1) q_i^2) = \psi(b_j \otimes q_i^1) q_i^2$ for every $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, \dots, l$. Then define

$$\Theta = \sum_{j=1}^l \sum_{k=1}^t \theta_{a_j \otimes c_k, d_k \otimes b_j} \in \mathcal{F}_{P^{\otimes n}}(Q^{\otimes n}).$$

It is then straightforward to check that $\Theta(q_i^1 \otimes q_i^2) = q_i^1 \otimes q_i^2$ for every $i = 1, \dots, m$. Therefore $(P^{\otimes n}, Q^{\otimes n}, \psi_n)$ satisfies condition **(FS)**. $\square$

Lemma 3.9. Let R be a ring and let (S, T, σ, B) be a covariant representation of a R -system (P, Q, ψ) satisfying condition **(FS)**. If σ is injective, then so are T^n and S^n for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let $q \in Q^{\otimes n}$ such that $T^n(q) = 0$. Then for every $p \in P^{\otimes n}$ we have that $0 = S^n(p)T^n(q) = \sigma(\psi_n(p \otimes q))$, and since σ is injective, it follows that $\psi_n(p \otimes q) = 0$ for every $p \in P^{\otimes n}$, and it then follows from the non-degeneracy of ψ_n (cf. Lemma 3.7 and 3.8) that $q = 0$. Similarly one can check that S^n is injective. $\square$

Definition 3.10. Let R be a ring and (P, Q, ψ) an R -system. We define the ring homomorphism $\Delta : R \longrightarrow \text{End}_R(Q_R)$ and the ring homomorphism $\Gamma : R \longrightarrow \text{End}_R({}_R P)^{op}$ by

$$\Delta(r)(q) = rq, \quad \Gamma(r)(p) = pr$$

for $r \in R$, $p \in P$ and $q \in Q$.

Notice that for every $r \in R$ we have that $\Gamma(r)$ is the adjoint of $\Delta(r)$, and thus that $\Delta(r) \in \mathcal{L}_P(Q)$ and $\Gamma(r) \in \mathcal{L}_Q(P)$.

Proposition 3.11 (Cf. [15, Lemma 2.2] and [20]). *Let R be a ring and (P, Q, ψ) an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)** and let (S, T, σ, B) be a covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) . Then there exist a unique ring homomorphism $\pi_{T,S} : \mathcal{F}_P(Q) \longrightarrow B$ such that $\pi_{T,S}(\theta_{q,p}) = T(q)S(p)$ for $p \in P$ and $q \in Q$, and a unique ring homomorphism $\chi_{S,T} : \mathcal{F}_Q(P) \longrightarrow B^{op}$ such that $\chi_{S,T}(\theta_{p,q}) = S(p) \cdot T(q)$ for $p \in P$ and $q \in Q$. These maps satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{T,S}(\Delta(r)\Theta) &= \sigma(r)\pi_{T,S}(\Theta) & \pi_{T,S}(\Theta\Delta(r)) &= \pi_{T,S}(\Theta)\sigma(r) \\ \chi_{S,T}(\Gamma(r)\Omega) &= \sigma(r) \cdot \chi_{S,T}(\Omega) & \chi_{S,T}(\Omega\Gamma(r)) &= \chi_{S,T}(\Omega) \cdot \sigma(r) \\ \pi_{T,S}(\Theta)T(q) &= T(\Theta(q)) & S(p) \cdot \chi_{S,T}(\Omega) &= S(\Omega(p)) \end{aligned}$$

for $r \in R$, $p \in P$, $q \in Q$, $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}_Q(P)$ and $\Theta \in \mathcal{F}_P(Q)$. If $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}_Q(P)$ is the adjoint of $\Theta \in \mathcal{F}_P(Q)$, then $\pi_{T,S}(\Theta) = \chi_{S,T}(\Omega)$. Moreover $\pi_{T,S}(\mathcal{F}_P(Q)) = \chi_{S,T}(\mathcal{F}_Q(P)) = \text{span}\{T(q)S(p) : q \in Q, p \in P\}$, and if σ is injective, then $\pi_{T,S}$ and $\chi_{S,T}$ are injective too.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{F}_P(Q) = \text{span}\{\theta_{q,p} : p \in P, q \in Q\}$, there can at most be one ring homomorphism from $\mathcal{F}_P(Q)$ to B which for all $p \in P$ and $q \in Q$ sends $\theta_{q,p}$ to $T(q)S(p)$.

Assume $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n \in P$, $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n \in Q$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \theta_{q_i, p_i} = 0$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^n q_i \psi(p_i \otimes z) = 0$ for every $z \in Q$. By condition **(FS)** there exists $\Theta = \sum_{j=1}^k \theta_{e_j, f_j} \in \mathcal{F}_Q(P)$ such that

$$\Theta(p_i) = \sum_{j=1}^k \theta_{e_j, f_j}(p_i) = \sum_{j=1}^k \psi(p_i \otimes f_j) e_j = p_i$$

for every $i = 1, \dots, n$. We then have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n T(q_i)S(p_i) &= \sum_{i=1}^n T(q_i)S(\Theta(p_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^n T(q_i)S\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \psi(p_i \otimes f_j) e_j\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k T(q_i \psi(p_i \otimes f_j)) S(e_j) = \sum_{j=1}^k T\left(\sum_{i=1}^n q_i \psi(p_i \otimes f_j)\right) S(e_j) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

since $\sum_{i=1}^n q_i \psi(p_i \otimes f_j) = 0$ for every $j = 1, \dots, k$. Thus there exists a linear map $\pi_{T,S} : \mathcal{F}_P(Q) \longrightarrow B$ which for $p \in P$ and $q \in Q$ sends $\theta_{q,p}$ to $T(q)S(p)$.

Let $r \in R$, $p \in P$ and $q \in Q$. Then we have

$$\pi_{T,S}(\Delta(r)\theta_{q,p}) = \pi_{T,S}(\theta_{rq,p}) = T(rq)S(p) = \sigma(r)T(q)S(p) = \sigma(r)\pi_{T,S}(\theta_{q,p}),$$

from which it follows that $\pi_{T,S}(\Delta(r)\Theta) = \sigma(r)\pi_{T,S}(\Theta)$ for every $\Theta \in \mathcal{F}_P(Q)$. One can in a similar way show that $\pi_{T,S}(\Theta\Delta(r)) = \pi_{T,S}(\Theta)\sigma(r)$ for every $\Theta \in \mathcal{F}_P(Q)$.

Let $p \in P$ and $q, q' \in Q$. Then we have

$$\pi_{T,S}(\theta_{q,p})T(q') = T(q)S(p)T(q') = T(q)\sigma(\psi(p \otimes q')) = T(q\psi(p \otimes q')) = T(\theta_{q,p}(q'))$$

from which it follows that $\pi_{T,S}(\Theta)T(q') = T(\Theta(q'))$ for all $\Theta \in \mathcal{F}_P(Q)$.

If $p \in P$, $q \in Q$ and $\Theta \in \mathcal{F}_P(Q)$, then we have

$$\pi_{T,S}(\Theta)\pi_{T,S}(\theta_{q,p}) = \pi_{T,S}(\Theta)T(q)S(p) = T(\Theta(q))S(p) = \pi_{T,S}(\theta_{\Theta(q),p}) = \pi_{T,S}(\Theta\theta_{q,p})$$

from which it follows that $\pi_{T,S}(\Theta)\pi_{T,S}(\Theta') = \pi_{T,S}(\Theta\Theta')$ for all $\Theta' \in \mathcal{F}_P(Q)$. Thus $\pi_{T,S}$ is a ring homomorphism.

Now suppose that $\sigma : R \rightarrow B$ is injective and let $\sum_{i=1}^n \theta_{q_i, p_i} \in \mathcal{F}_P(Q)$ with $\pi_{T,S}(\sum_{i=1}^n \theta_{q_i, p_i}) = \sum_{i=1}^n T(q_i)S(p_i) = 0$. Then for every $p \in P$ and $q \in Q$ we have that

$$0 = S(p) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n T(q_i)S(p_i) \right) T(q) = \sigma \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \psi(p \otimes q_i)\psi(p_i \otimes q) \right).$$

Since σ is injective it follows that $\sum_{i=1}^n \psi(p \otimes q_i)\psi(p_i \otimes q) = \psi(p \otimes \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \psi(p_i \otimes q)) = 0$ for every $p \in P$ and $q \in Q$. By Lemma 3.7 ψ is non-degenerate, so it follows that $\sum_{i=1}^n q_i \psi(p_i \otimes q) = 0$ for every $q \in Q$. Thus $\sum_{i=1}^n \theta_{q_i, p_i} = 0$ which proves that $\pi_{T,S}$ is injective.

The existence and uniqueness of $\chi_{S,T}$ and that $\chi_{S,T}$ is a ring homomorphism and has the properties $\chi_{S,T}(\Gamma(r)\Omega) = \sigma(r) \cdot \chi_{S,T}(\Omega)$, $\chi_{S,T}(\Omega\Gamma(r)) = \chi_{S,T}(\Omega) \cdot \sigma(r)$ and $S(p) \cdot \chi_{S,T}(\Omega) = S(\Omega(p))$ for $r \in R$, $p \in P$ and $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}_Q(P)$, and that $\chi_{S,T}$ is injective if σ is injective, can be proved in a similar way.

If $p \in P$ and $q \in Q$, then $\theta_{p,q}$ is the adjoint of $\theta_{q,p}$ and $\pi_{T,S}(\theta_{q,p}) = T(q)S(p) = S(p) \cdot T(q) = \chi_{S,T}(\theta_{p,q})$. It follows that if $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}_Q(P)$ is the adjoint of $\Theta \in \mathcal{F}_P(Q)$, then $\pi_{T,S}(\Theta) = \chi_{S,T}(\Omega)$.

Finally we see that $\pi_{T,S}(\mathcal{F}_P(Q)) = \text{span}\{T(q)S(p) : p \in P, q \in Q\} = \chi_{S,T}(\mathcal{F}_Q(P))$. $\square$

Notation 3.12. To avoid too heavy notation, we will often when working with a given R -system (P, Q, ψ) satisfying condition **(FS)** let π denote $\pi_{\iota_Q^n, \iota_P^n}$ and let χ denote $\chi_{\iota_P^n, \iota_Q^n}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We will then view π as a map from $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_{P^{\otimes n}}(Q^{\otimes n})$ to $\mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$ and χ as a map from $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_{Q^{\otimes n}}(P^{\otimes n})$ to $\mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)}^{\text{op}}$.

Remark 3.13. Let R be a ring and (P, Q, ψ) an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)**. If $(S_1, T_1, \sigma_1, B_1)$ and $(S_2, T_2, \sigma_2, B_2)$ are two covariant representations of (P, Q, ψ) and $\phi : B_1 \rightarrow B_2$ is a ring homomorphism such that $\phi \circ T_1 = T_2$, $\phi \circ S_1 = S_2$ and $\phi \circ \sigma_1 = \sigma_2$, then $\phi \circ \pi_{T_1, S_1} = \pi_{T_2, S_2}$ and $\phi \circ \chi_{S_1, T_1} = \chi_{S_2, T_2}$.

3.2. Cuntz-Pimsner invariant representations. As already mentioned, the aim of this section is to classify all injective and graded representation of an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)**. We will now for a given R -system (P, Q, ψ) satisfying condition **(FS)** construct a family of surjective, injective and graded representation of (P, Q, ψ) . We will later show that up to isomorphism this family of surjective, injective and graded representation of (P, Q, ψ) contains all surjective, injective and graded representation of (P, Q, ψ) .

Definition 3.14. Let R be a ring and let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)**. We say that a two-sided ideal J of R is ψ -compatible if $J \subseteq \Delta^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_P(Q))$, and we say that a ψ -compatible two-sided ideal J of R is *faithful* if $J \cap \ker \Delta = \{0\}$.

Definition 3.15. Let R be a ring and let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)**. For a ψ -compatible two-sided ideal J of R , we define $\mathcal{T}(J)$ to be the minimal two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$ that contains $\{\iota_R(x) - \pi(\Delta(x)) \mid x \in J\}$.

Definition 3.16 (Cf. [10, Proposition 1.3] and [18, Proposition 2.18]). Let R be a ring, let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)** and let J be a ψ -compatible two-sided ideal of R . We define the *Cuntz-Pimsner ring relative to the ideal J* to be the quotient ring $\mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J) := \mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)} / \mathcal{T}(J)$. We denote by ρ_J the quotient map $\rho_J : \mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J)$.

Definition 3.17 (Cf. [10, Definition 1.1]). Let R be a ring, let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)** and let J be a ψ -compatible two-sided ideal of R . A covariant representation (S, T, σ, B) of (P, Q, ψ) is said to be *Cuntz-Pimsner invariant representation relative to J* if $\pi_{T,S}(\Delta(x)) = \sigma(x)$ for every $x \in J$.

The following theorem gives a complete characterization of $\mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J)$.

Theorem 3.18 (Cf. [10, Proposition 1.3]). *Let R be a ring, let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)** and let J be a ψ -compatible two-sided ideal of R . Let $\iota_R^J := \rho_J \circ \iota_R$, $\iota_Q^J := \rho_J \circ \iota_Q$ and $\iota_P^J := \rho_J \circ \iota_P$. Then $(\iota_P^J, \iota_Q^J, \iota_R^J, \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J))$ is a surjective covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) which is Cuntz-Pimsner invariant representation relative to J with the following property:*

(CP) *If (S, T, σ, B) is a covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) which is Cuntz-Pimsner invariant relative to J , then there exists a unique ring homomorphism*

$$\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}^J : \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J) \longrightarrow B$$

such that $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}^J \circ \iota_R^J = \sigma$, $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}^J \circ \iota_Q^J = T$ and $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}^J \circ \iota_P^J = S$.

*The representation $(\iota_P^J, \iota_Q^J, \iota_R^J, \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J))$ is the, up to isomorphism in $\mathcal{C}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$, unique surjective covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) which is Cuntz-Pimsner invariant representation relative to J and which possesses the property **(CP)**; in fact if (S, T, σ, B) is a surjective covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) which is Cuntz-Pimsner invariant representation relative to J and $\phi : B \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J)$ is a ring homomorphism such that $\phi \circ \sigma = \iota_R^J$, $\phi \circ S = \iota_P^J$ and $\phi \circ T = \iota_Q^J$, then ϕ is an isomorphism.*

We have moreover that the ring homomorphism ι_R^J is injective if and only if J is faithful, and that the representation $(\iota_P^J, \iota_Q^J, \iota_R^J, \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J))$ is graded.

We call $(\iota_P^J, \iota_Q^J, \iota_R^J, \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J))$ the Cuntz-Pimsner representation of (P, Q, ψ) relative to J .

Remark 3.19. If we for a ring R , an R -system (P, Q, ψ) satisfying condition **(FS)**, and a ψ -compatible two-sided ideal J of R , let $\mathcal{C}_{(P,Q,\psi)}^J$ be the subcategory of $\mathcal{C}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$ consisting of all surjective covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) which are Cuntz-Pimsner invariant representation relative to J , then it follows from Theorem 3.18 that $(\iota_P^J, \iota_Q^J, \iota_R^J, \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}^J)$ is an initial object in $\mathcal{C}_{(P,Q,\psi)}^J$.

To prove Theorem 3.18 we need a definition, a lemma and a proposition:

Definition 3.20. Let R be a ring, let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system and let (S, T, σ, B) be a surjective and graded covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) . It follows from Proposition 3.2

and Definition 3.3 that there is a unique $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading $\oplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} B^{(n)}$ of B such that $\sigma(R) \subseteq B^{(0)}$, $T(Q) \subseteq B^{(1)}$ and $S(P) \subseteq B^{(-1)}$.

A two-sided ideal I of B is said to be *graded* if $\oplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} I^{(n)}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of I where $I^{(n)} := I \cap B^{(n)}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is not difficult to show that in this case $\oplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \wp_I(B^{(n)})$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of the quotient ring B/I where $\wp_I$ denotes the quotient map from B to B/I and that the covariant representation $(S_I, T_I, \sigma_I, B/I)$ where $T_I := \wp_I \circ T$, $S_I = \wp_I \circ S$ and $\sigma_I = \wp_I \circ \sigma$, is graded.

For $(m, n) \in \mathcal{S}$ let $\mathcal{P}_{(m,n)}$ denote the projection of $\mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$ onto $\mathcal{T}_{(m,n)}$ given by the $\mathcal{S}$ -grading $\oplus_{(k,l) \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{T}_{(k,l)}$ (cf. Theorem 1.7).

Lemma 3.21 (Cf. [18, Lemma 2.20]). *Let R be a ring, let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)** and let J be a ψ -compatible two-sided ideal of R . For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let*

$$\mathcal{T}^{(n)}(J) = \text{span} \left(\{ \iota_Q^k(q)(\iota_R(x) - \pi(\Delta(x))) \iota_P^l(p) : x \in J, q \in Q^{\otimes k}, p \in P^{\otimes l}, \right. \\ \left. k, l \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } k - l = n \} \cup \{ \iota_Q^k(q)(\iota_R(x) - \pi(\Delta(x))) : x \in J, q \in Q^{\otimes n} \} \right)$$

and

$$\mathcal{T}^{(-n)}(J) = \text{span} \left(\{ \iota_Q^k(q)(\iota_R(x) - \pi(\Delta(x))) \iota_P^l(p) : x \in J, q \in Q^{\otimes k}, p \in P^{\otimes l}, \right. \\ \left. k, l \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } l - k = n \} \cup \{ (\iota_R(x) - \pi(\Delta(x))) \iota_P(p) : x \in J, p \in P^{\otimes n} \} \right),$$

and let

$$\mathcal{T}^{(0)}(J) = \text{span} \left(\{ \iota_Q^k(q)(\iota_R(x) - \pi(\Delta(x))) \iota_P^k(p) : x \in J, q \in Q^{\otimes k}, p \in P^{\otimes k}, k \in \mathbb{N} \} \right. \\ \left. \cup \{ \iota_R(x) - \pi(\Delta(x)) : x \in J \} \right).$$

Then we have that $\mathcal{T}^{(m)}(J) = \mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)}^{(m)} \cap \mathcal{T}(J)$ for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and that $\oplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{T}^{(m)}(J)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of $\mathcal{T}(J)$. Thus $\mathcal{T}(J)$ is a graded two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$.

We furthermore have that the following holds for every $x \in \mathcal{T}(J)$:

- (1) $\mathcal{P}_{(0,0)}(x) \in \iota_R(J)$,
- (2) there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x \iota_Q^m(q) = 0$ for every $m \geq n$ and every $q \in Q^{\otimes m}$.

Proof. It is clear that $\mathcal{T}^{(m)}(J) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)}^{(m)} \cap \mathcal{T}(J)$ for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is also clear that $\oplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)}^{(m)} \cap \mathcal{T}(J)) \subseteq \mathcal{T}(J)$.

If $x \in J$, $q \in Q$ and $p \in P$, then we have that

$$(3.1) \quad (\iota_R(x) - \pi(\Delta(x))) \iota_Q(q) = \iota_Q(xq) - \iota_Q(\Delta(x)q) = \iota_Q(xq) - \iota_Q(xq) = 0,$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_P(p)(\iota_R(x) - \pi(\Delta(x))) &= \iota_P(p)(\iota_R(x) - \chi(\Gamma(x))) \\ &= \iota_P(px) - \iota_P(\Gamma(x)p) = \iota_P(px) - \iota_P(px) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that $\oplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{T}^{(m)}(J)$ is a two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$. Since $\{ \iota_R(x) - \pi(\Delta(x)) : x \in J \} \subseteq \mathcal{T}^{(0)}(J)$, it follows that $\mathcal{T}(J) \subseteq \oplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{T}^{(m)}(J)$. Thus we have that

$$(3.2) \quad \oplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{T}^{(m)}(J) = \mathcal{T}(J)$$

and that $\mathcal{T}^{(m)}(J) = \mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)}^{(m)} \cap \mathcal{T}(J)$ for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $x \in \mathcal{T}(J)$. That (1) holds directly follows from (3.2), and that (2) holds directly follows from (3.1) and (3.2). $\square$

Proposition 3.22 (Cf. [18, Proposition 2.21]). *Let R be a ring, let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)** and let J be a faithful ψ -compatible two-sided ideal of R . Then the ring homomorphism $\rho : R \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)} / \mathcal{T}(J)$ given by $\rho(r) = \iota_R(r) + \mathcal{T}(J)$ is injective.*

Proof. Assume that $r \in R$ and that $\iota_R(r) \in \mathcal{T}(J)$. It follows from Lemma 3.21 that there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\iota_R(r)\iota_Q^m(q) = 0$ for every $m \geq n$ and every $q \in Q^{\otimes m}$. We will show that we can choose n to be equal to 1. We will do that by showing that if $n > 1$ and $\iota_R(r)\iota_Q^n(q) = 0$ for every $q \in Q^{\otimes n}$, then $\iota_R(r)\iota_Q^{n-1}(q) = 0$ for every $q \in Q^{\otimes n-1}$. So assume that $n > 1$ and $\iota_R(r)\iota_Q^n(q) = 0$ for every $q \in Q^{\otimes n}$. Let $q \in Q^{\otimes n-1}$, then we have that for every $q' \in Q$

$$\iota_Q^n(rq \otimes q') = \iota_R(r)\iota_Q^n(q \otimes q') = 0.$$

Since ι_Q^n is injective (cf. Lemma 3.9), it follows that $rq \otimes q' = 0$. Hence for every $p \in P^{\otimes n-1}$ and every $p' \in P$ we have that

$$\psi(p' \otimes \psi_{n-1}(p \otimes rq)q') = \psi_n((p' \otimes p) \otimes (rq \otimes q')) = 0.$$

The above holds for every $p' \in P$, so by Lemma 3.7 we have that

$$\psi_{n-1}(p \otimes rq)q' = 0.$$

Since the last equation holds for every $q' \in Q$, it follows that $\psi_{n-1}(p \otimes rq) \in \ker \Delta$ for every $p \in P^{\otimes n-1}$. We have that $\iota_P^{n-1}(p)\iota_R(r)\iota_Q^{n-1}(q) \in \mathcal{T}(J)$, so it follows from Lemma 3.21 that

$$\iota_R(\psi_{n-1}(p \otimes rq)) = \mathcal{P}_0(\iota_P^{n-1}(p)\iota_R(r)\iota_Q^{n-1}(q)) \in \iota_R(J).$$

Thus $\psi_{n-1}(p \otimes rq) \in J \cap \ker \Delta = \{0\}$ for all $p \in P^{n-1}$, so by Lemma 3.7 and 3.8 we have that $rq = 0$. Hence $\iota_R(r)\iota_Q^{n-1}(q) = 0$.

Thus $\iota_Q(\Delta(r)q) = \iota_R(r)\iota_Q(q) = 0$ for every $q \in Q$. From the injectivity of ι_Q (cf. Lemma 3.9) it follows that $r \in \ker \Delta$. Then by Lemma 3.21 we have that $\iota_R(r) = \mathcal{P}_{(0,0)}(\iota_R(r)) \in \iota_R(J)$. Therefore $r \in J \cap \ker \Delta = \{0\}$, which shows that $r = 0$ as desired. $\square$

It follows from Lemma 3.21 and Proposition 3.22 that if R is a ring, (P, Q, ψ) is an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)** and J is a faithful ψ -compatible two-sided ideal of R , then $\mathcal{T}(J)$ is a graded two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}$ which satisfies that $\iota_R(R) \cap \mathcal{T}(J) = \{0\}$. We will show (see Remark 4.1) that every graded two-sided ideal I of $\mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}$ such that $\iota_R(R) \cap I = \{0\}$ is of this form.

Proof of Theorem 3.18. It is clear that $(\iota_P^J, \iota_Q^J, \iota_R^J, \mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}^J)$ is a covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) which is Cuntz-Pimsner invariant representation relative to J , and that it possesses property **(CP)** follows from Theorem 1.7 and the definition of $\mathcal{T}(J)$ and $(\iota_P^J, \iota_Q^J, \iota_R^J, \mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}^J)$.

If (S, T, σ, B) is a surjective covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) which is Cuntz-Pimsner invariant representation relative to J and $\phi : B \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(J)$ is a ring homomorphism such that $\phi \circ \sigma = \iota_R^J$, $\phi \circ S = \iota_P^J$ and $\phi \circ T = \iota_Q^J$, then $\eta_{(S, T, \sigma, B)}^J \circ \phi(\sigma(r)) = \sigma(r)$ for all $r \in R$, $\eta_{(S, T, \sigma, B)}^J \circ \phi(S(p)) = S(p)$ for all $p \in P$ and $\eta_{(S, T, \sigma, B)}^J \circ \phi(T(q)) = T(q)$ for all $q \in Q$, and since B is generated by $\sigma(R) \cup S(P) \cup T(Q)$, it follows that $\eta_{(S, T, \sigma, B)}^J \circ \phi$ is equal to the identity

map of B . One can in a similar way show that $\phi \circ \eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}^J$ is equal to the identity map of $\mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J)$. Thus ϕ and $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}^J$ are each other inverse, and ϕ is an isomorphism.

If J is faithful, then it follows from Proposition 3.22 that ι_R^J is injective. If $x \in J \cap \ker \Delta$, then $\iota_R^J(x) = 0$; so if J is not faithful, then ι_R^J is not injective.

It follows directly from Lemma 3.21 that $(\iota_P^J, \iota_Q^J, \iota_R^J, \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J))$ is graded. $\square$

3.3. Injective and graded covariant representations. Let R be a ring and (P, Q, ψ) an R -system which satisfies condition **(FS)**. We will, as mentioned at previously, show that every surjective, injective and graded covariant representations of (P, Q, ψ) is isomorphic to $(\iota_P^J, \iota_Q^J, \iota_R^J, \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J))$ for some faithful ψ -compatible two-sided ideal J of R .

Definition 3.23. Let R be a ring, (P, Q, ψ) an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)** and let (S, T, σ, B) be a covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) . We define

$$J_{(S,T,\sigma,B)} := \{r \in R : \sigma(r) \in \pi_{T,S}(\mathcal{F}_P(Q))\}.$$

Lemma 3.24 (Cf. [13, Proposition 3.3]). *Let R be a ring and let (S, T, σ, B) be an injective covariant representation of an R -system (P, Q, ψ) that satisfies condition **(FS)**. Then $r \in R$ is in $J_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}$ if and only if $r \in \Delta^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_P(Q))$ and $\sigma(r) = \pi_{T,S}(\Delta(r))$.*

Proof. It is obvious that if $r \in \Delta^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_P(Q))$ and $\sigma(r) = \pi_{T,S}(\Delta(r))$, then $r \in J_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}$.

If $\Theta \in \mathcal{F}_P(Q)$ and $\sigma(r) = \pi_{T,S}(\Theta)$, then we have for every $q \in Q$ that

$$T(rq) = \sigma(r)T(q) = \pi_{T,S}(\Theta)T(q) = T(\Theta(q)),$$

and since T is injective (cf. Lemma 3.9 and 3.7), it follows that $rq = \Theta(q)$. Hence $\Delta(r) = \Theta$. $\square$

Remark 3.25. Let R be a ring, (P, Q, ψ) an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)**, let J be a ψ -compatible two-sided ideal of R , and let (S, T, σ, B) be an injective covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) . Then it follows from Lemma 3.24 that (S, T, σ, B) is Cuntz-Pimsner invariant with respect to J if and only if $J \subseteq J_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}$.

Lemma 3.26. *Let R be a ring, (P, Q, ψ) an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)** and let (S, T, σ, B) be a covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) . Then $J_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}$ is a ψ -compatible two-sided ideal of R . If (S, T, σ, B) is injective, then $J_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}$ is faithful.*

Proof. It easily follows from Proposition 3.11 that $J_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}$ is a two-sided ideal of R and it is ψ -compatible by construction. If $x \in J_{(S,T,\sigma,B)} \cap \ker \Delta$ and (S, T, σ, B) is injective, then it follows from Lemma 3.24 that $\sigma(x) = \pi_{T,S}(\Delta(x)) = 0$, and since σ is injective, it follows that $x = 0$. Thus $J_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}$ is faithful if (S, T, σ, B) is injective. $\square$

Notation 3.27. To avoid too heavy notation, we will often when working with a given R -system (P, Q, ψ) satisfying condition **(FS)** and a faithful ψ -compatible two-sided ideal J of R , let π^J denote $\pi_{(\iota_Q^J)^n, (\iota_P^J)^n}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We will then view π as a map from $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_{P^{\otimes n}}(Q^{\otimes n})$ to $\mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J)$.

Proposition 3.28. *Let R be a ring, let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)** and let J be a faithful ψ -compatible two-sided ideal of R . Then $J = J_{(\iota_P^J, \iota_Q^J, \iota_R^J, \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J))}$.*

Proof. If $x \in J$, then $\iota_R^J(x) = \pi^J(\Delta(x)) \in \pi^J(\mathcal{F}_P(Q))$, and so $x \in J_{(\iota_P^J, \iota_Q^J, \iota_R^J, \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J))}$.

If $x \in J_{(\iota_P^J, \iota_Q^J, \iota_R^J, \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J))}$, then it follows from Lemma 3.24 that $x \in \Delta^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_P(Q))$ and $\iota_R^J(x) = \pi^J(\Delta(x))$. So $\iota_R(x) - \pi(\Delta(x)) \in \mathcal{T}(J)$, and we then get from Lemma 3.21 that $\iota_R(x) = \mathcal{P}_{(0,0)}(\iota_R(x) - \pi(\Delta(x))) \in \iota_R(J)$, and thus that $x \in J$. $\square$

We are now ready to show that every surjective, injective and graded covariant representation of an R -system (P, Q, ψ) satisfying condition **(FS)** is isomorphic to $(\iota_P^J, \iota_Q^J, \iota_R^J, \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J))$ for some faithful ψ -compatible two-sided ideal J of R .

Theorem 3.29. *Let R be a ring, let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)**, let J be a two-sided ideal of R such that $J \subseteq \Delta^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_P(Q))$ and $J \cap \ker \Delta = \{0\}$, and let (S, T, σ, B) be a covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) . Then we have:*

- (1) *If there exists a ring homomorphism $\eta : \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J) \longrightarrow B$ such that $\eta \circ \iota_Q^J = T$, $\eta \circ \iota_P^J = S$ and $\eta \circ \iota_R^J = \sigma$, then the representation (S, T, σ, B) is Cuntz-Pimsner invariant with respect to J .*
- (2) *If the representation (S, T, σ, B) is Cuntz-Pimsner invariant with respect to J , then there exists a unique ring homomorphism $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}^J : \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J) \longrightarrow B$ such that $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}^J \circ \iota_Q^J = T$, $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}^J \circ \iota_P^J = S$ and $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}^J \circ \iota_R^J = \sigma$.*
- (3) *If the representation (S, T, σ, B) is Cuntz-Pimsner invariant with respect to J , then there the ring homomorphism $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}$ is an isomorphism if and only if (S, T, σ, B) is surjective, injective and graded and $J = J_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}$.*

For the proof of Theorem 3.29 we need some lemmas, but before we introduce them, let us notice that the promised classification of all surjective, injective and graded covariant representations of a given R -system (P, Q, ψ) satisfying condition **(FS)** follows from Lemma 3.26 and Theorem 3.29.

Remark 3.30. Let R be a ring and let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)**. It follows from Lemma 3.26 and Theorem 3.29 that every surjective, injective and graded covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) is isomorphic to $(\iota_P^J, \iota_Q^J, \iota_R^J, \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J))$ for some faithful ψ -compatible two-sided ideal J of R . And it follows from Remark 3.13 and Proposition 3.28 that if J_1 and J_2 are two faithful ψ -compatible two-sided ideals of R , then there exists a ring homomorphism ϕ from $\mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J_1)$ to $\mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J_2)$ satisfying $\phi \circ \iota_Q^{J_1} = \iota_Q^{J_2}$, $\phi \circ \iota_P^{J_1} = \iota_P^{J_2}$ and $\phi \circ \iota_R^{J_1} = \iota_R^{J_2}$ if and only if $J_1 \subseteq J_2$.

We will now introduce and prove the lemmas which we will use in the proof of Theorem 3.29.

Lemma 3.31. *Let R be a ring and (P, Q, ψ) an R -system. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $T \in \mathcal{L}_{P^{\otimes n}}(Q^{\otimes n})$. Then there is a unique $T \otimes 1_Q \in \mathcal{L}_{P^{\otimes n+1}}(Q^{\otimes n+1})$ such that $(T \otimes 1_Q)(q \otimes q') = T(q) \otimes q'$ for $q \in Q^{\otimes n}$ and $q' \in Q$.*

Proof. It easily follows from the universal property of tensor products that there exists a unique map $T \otimes 1_Q : Q^{\otimes n+1} \longrightarrow Q^{\otimes n+1}$ which for all $q \in Q^{\otimes n}$ and $q' \in Q$ maps $q \otimes q'$ to $T(q) \otimes q'$. Likewise, if S denote an adjoint of T , then there is a unique map $1_P \otimes S : P^{\otimes n+1} \longrightarrow$

$P^{\otimes n+1}$ which for all $p \in P^{\otimes n}$ and $p' \in P$ maps $p' \otimes p$ to $p' \otimes S(p)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{n+1}((p' \otimes p) \otimes (T(q) \otimes q')) &= \psi(p' \psi_n(p \otimes T(q)) \otimes q') = \psi(p' \psi_n(S(p) \otimes q) \otimes q') \\ &= \psi_{n+1}((p' \otimes S(p)) \otimes (q \otimes q')) \end{aligned}$$

for $p' \in P$, $p \in P^{\otimes n}$, $q' \in Q$ and $q \in Q^{\otimes n}$, from which it follows that $1_P \otimes S$ is an adjoint of $T \otimes 1_Q$ and thus that $T \otimes 1_Q \in \mathcal{L}_{P^{\otimes n+1}}(Q^{\otimes n+1})$ (and $1_P \otimes S \in \mathcal{L}_{Q^{n+1}}(P^{n+1})$). $\square$

The following abuse of notation will be convenient in the following.

Notation 3.32. Let R be a ring and (P, Q, ψ) an R -system. If $n = 0$, then we will on occasions let $\mathcal{F}_{P^{\otimes n}}(Q^{\otimes n})$ denote R , and we will for $T \in \mathcal{L}_{P^{\otimes n}}(Q^{\otimes n})$ use $T \otimes 1_Q$ to denote $\Delta(T)$.

Lemma 3.33. Let R be a ring, (P, Q, ψ) an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)**, let (S, T, σ, B) a covariant representation and let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then

$$\pi_{T^{n+1}, S^{n+1}}((\Theta_1 \otimes 1_Q)\Theta_2) = \pi_{T^n, S^n}(\Theta_1)\pi_{T^{n+1}, S^{n+1}}(\Theta_2)$$

for $\Theta_1 \in \mathcal{F}_{P^{\otimes n}}(Q^{\otimes n})$ and $\Theta_2 \in \mathcal{F}_{P^{\otimes n+1}}(Q^{\otimes n+1})$.

Proof. If $n = 0$, then the result follows directly from Proposition 3.11. Assume that $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is enough to prove the lemma in the case where $\Theta_2 = \theta_{q \otimes q', p}$ and $q \in Q^{\otimes n}$, $q' \in Q$ and $p \in P^{\otimes n+1}$. In that case $(\Theta_1 \otimes 1_Q)\theta_{q \otimes q', p} = \theta_{\Theta_1(q) \otimes q', p}$, so it follows from Proposition 3.11 that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{T^{n+1}, S^{n+1}}((\Theta_1 \otimes 1_Q)\theta_{q \otimes q', p}) &= \pi_{T^{n+1}, S^{n+1}}(\theta_{\Theta_1(q) \otimes q', p}) = T(\Theta_1(q) \otimes q')S(p) \\ &= T(\Theta_1(q))T(q')S(p) = \pi_{T^n, S^n}(\Theta_1)T(q)T(q')S(p) \\ &= \pi_{T^n, S^n}(\Theta_1)T(q \otimes q')S(p) = \pi_{T^n, S^n}(\Theta_1)\pi_{T^{n+1}, S^{n+1}}(\theta_{\Theta_1(q) \otimes q', p}). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Lemma 3.34. Let R be a ring, let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system, let (S, T, σ, B) be a surjective and graded covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) and let H be a two-sided ideal of B . If H is generated as a two-sided ideal of B by $H \cap B^{(0)}$, then H is graded. If (P, Q, ψ) satisfies condition **(FS)** and H is graded, then H is generated as a two-sided ideal of B by $H \cap B^{(0)}$.

Proof. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ let

$$\begin{aligned} H^{(n)} &= \text{span} \left(\bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \{y x z \mid y \in B^{(m)}, x \in H \cap B^{(0)}, z \in B^{(n-m)}\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \cup \{x z \mid x \in H \cap B^{(0)}, z \in B^{(n)}\} \cup \{y x \mid y \in B^{(n)}, x \in H \cap B^{(0)}\} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and let

$$H^{(0)} = H \cap B^{(0)}.$$

Then $H^{(n)} \subseteq B^{(n)}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and it is not difficult to show that $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H^{(n)}$ is a graded two-sided ideal of B which contains $H \cap B^{(0)}$, and that every two-sided ideal of B which contains $H \cap B^{(0)}$ also contains $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H^{(n)}$. So if H is generated by $H \cap B^{(0)}$, then it is equal to $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H^{(n)}$ and thus graded.

For the last assertion assume that H is graded and that (P, Q, ψ) satisfies condition **(FS)**. We will show that $H = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H^{(n)}$. Since H is graded it is enough to show that if $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and

$x \in H \cap B^{(n)}$, then $x \in H^{(n)}$. If $n > 0$ and $x \in H \cap B^{(n)}$, then there exists $q_0, q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k \in Q^{\otimes n}$ and $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k \in B^{(0)}$ such that $x = T^n(q_0) + \sum_{i=1}^k T^n(q_i)y_i$. It follows from Lemma 3.8 that there exist $q'_1, q'_2, \dots, q'_l \in Q^{\otimes n}$ and $p'_1, p'_2, \dots, p'_l \in P^{\otimes n}$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^l q'_j \psi_n(p'_j \otimes q_i) = q_i$ for $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, k\}$. We then have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^l T^n(q'_j)S^n(p'_j)x &= \sum_{j=1}^l T^n(q'_j)S^n(p'_j)T^n(q_0) + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^l T^n(q'_j)S^n(p'_j)T^n(q_i)y_i \\ &= T^n(q_0) + \sum_{i=1}^k T^n(q_i)y_i = x, \end{aligned}$$

and that $S^n(p'_j)x \in H^{(0)}$ for every $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$, from which it follows that $x \in H^{(n)}$. One can in a similar way show that if $n < 0$ and $x \in H \cap B^{(n)}$, then $x \in H^{(n)}$. Thus we have for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ that if $x \in H \cap B^{(n)}$, then $x \in H^{(n)}$, from which it follows that $H = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H^{(n)}$. $\square$

Lemma 3.35. *Let R be a ring, (P, Q, ψ) an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)** and let H be a two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}$. Then we have that*

$$J_H := \{r \in \Delta^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_P(Q)) \mid \iota_R(r) - \pi(\Delta(r)) \in H\}$$

is a ψ -compatible two-sided ideal of R and $\mathcal{T}(J_H) \subseteq H$. If in addition H is graded and $H \cap \iota_R(R) = \{0\}$, then J_H is faithful and $\mathcal{T}(J_H) = H$.

Proof. It directly follows from Proposition 3.11 that J_H is a two-sided ideal of R , and it is ψ -compatible by construction. It follows directly from the definition of $\mathcal{T}(J_H)$ that $\mathcal{T}(J_H) \subseteq H$.

Assume that H is graded and $H \cap \iota_R(R) = \{0\}$. If $x \in J_H \cap \ker \Delta$, then $\iota_R(x) = \iota_R(x) - \pi(\Delta(r)) \in \mathcal{T}(J_H) \subseteq H$ and so $x = 0$ proving that $J_H \cap \ker \Delta = \{0\}$.

We will then prove that $H \subseteq \mathcal{T}(J_H)$. It follows from Lemma 3.34 that it is enough to show that $H \cap \mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}^{(0)} \subseteq \mathcal{T}(J_H)$. It follows from Theorem 1.7 and Proposition 3.1 and 3.11 that $\mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}^{(0)} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \pi(\mathcal{F}_{P^{\otimes i}}(Q^{\otimes i}))$ (where we let $\mathcal{F}_{P^{\otimes 0}}(Q^{\otimes 0}) = R$ and $\pi : \mathcal{F}_{P^{\otimes 0}}(Q^{\otimes 0}) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)} = \iota_R$), so it is enough to prove that the following inclusion holds

$$(3.3) \quad H \cap \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^n \pi(\mathcal{F}_{P^{\otimes i}}(Q^{\otimes i})) \right) \subseteq \mathcal{T}(J_H),$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We will prove that (3.3) holds by induction over n .

First we notice that $H \cap (\pi(\mathcal{F}_{P^{\otimes 0}}(Q^{\otimes 0}))) = H \cap \iota_R(R) = \{0\} \subseteq \mathcal{T}(J_H)$, proving that (3.3) holds for $n = 0$.

Assume now that $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and that (3.3) holds. Let $\Theta_i \in \mathcal{F}_{P^{\otimes i}}(Q^{\otimes i})$ for $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n+1\}$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \pi(\Theta_i) \in H$. We want to prove that $\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \pi(\Theta_i) \in \mathcal{T}(J_H)$. Let $\rho_H : \mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}/H$ denote the quotient map, and let $\sigma_H := \rho_H \circ \iota_R$, $T_H := \rho_H \circ \iota_Q$ and $S_H := \rho_H \circ \iota_P$. Then $(S_H, T_H, \sigma_H, \mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}/H)$ is an injective covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) and $\rho_H \circ \pi = \pi_{T_H, S_H}$. We then have that $\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \pi_{T_H, S_H}^i(\Theta_i) = \rho_H(\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \pi(\Theta_i)) = 0$. Choose $q_j \in Q^{\otimes n}$, $p_j \in P^{\otimes n}$, $q'_j \in Q$, $p'_j \in P$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $\Theta_{n+1} = \sum_{j=1}^m \theta_{q_j \otimes q'_j, p'_j \otimes p_j}$ and $a_h \in Q^{\otimes n}$, $b_h \in P^{\otimes n}$ for $h \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ such that $\sum_{h=1}^l \theta_{a_h, b_h}(q_j) = q_j$ for every $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. We then have that $\sum_{h=1}^l (\theta_{a_h, b_h} \otimes 1_Q) \Theta_{n+1} = \Theta_{n+1}$. Let $\Theta =$

$\left(\sum_{h=1}^l \theta_{a_h, b_h}\right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \Theta_i \otimes 1_{Q^{\otimes n-i}}\right) \in \mathcal{F}_{P^{\otimes n}}(Q^{\otimes n})$. It follows from Lemma 3.33 that we then have that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{T_H^n, S_H^n}(\Theta) &= \pi_{T_H^n, S_H^n} \left(\left(\sum_{h=1}^l \theta_{a_h, b_h} \right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \Theta_i \otimes 1_{Q^{\otimes n-i}} \right) \right) = \pi_{T_H^n, S_H^n} \left(\sum_{h=1}^l \theta_{a_h, b_h} \right) \sum_{i=0}^n \pi_{T_H^i, S_H^i}(\Theta_i) \\ &= -\pi_{T_H^n, S_H^n} \left(\sum_{h=1}^l \theta_{a_h, b_h} \right) \pi_{T_H^{n+1}, S_H^{n+1}}(\Theta_{n+1}) = -\pi_{T_H^{n+1}, S_H^{n+1}} \left(\sum_{h=1}^l (\theta_{a_h, b_h} \otimes 1_Q) \Theta_{n+1} \right) \\ &= -\pi_{T_H^{n+1}, S_H^{n+1}}(\Theta_{n+1}), \end{aligned}$$

so $\sum_{i=0}^n \pi_{T_H^i, S_H^i}(\Theta_i) - \pi_{T_H^n, S_H^n}(\Theta) = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \pi_{T_H^i, S_H^i}(\Theta_i) = 0$, and therefore $\sum_{i=0}^n \pi(\Theta_i) - \pi(\Theta) \in H$. Thus it follows from the induction assumption that $\sum_{i=0}^n \pi(\Theta_i) - \pi(\Theta) \in \mathcal{T}(J_H)$. Therefore it is enough to prove that $\pi(\Theta) + \pi(\Theta_{n+1}) \in \mathcal{T}(J_H)$.

Choose $q_j \in Q^{\otimes n}$, $p_j \in P^{\otimes n}$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $\Theta = \sum_{j=1}^m \theta_{q_j, p_j}$ and $q'_h \in Q^{\otimes n}$, $p'_h \in P^{\otimes n}$, $q''_h \in Q$, $p''_h \in P$ for $h \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ such that $\Theta_{n+1} = \sum_{h=1}^l \theta_{q'_h \otimes q''_h, p'_h \otimes p''_h}$. Now since $(P^{\otimes n}, Q^{\otimes n}, \psi_n)$ satisfies condition **(FS)** there exist $a_r \in Q^{\otimes n}$ and $b_r \in P^{\otimes n}$ for $r \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ such that $\sum_{r=1}^s \theta_{a_r, b_r}(q_j) = q_j$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, and $\sum_{r=1}^s \theta_{a_r, b_r}(q'_h) = q'_h$ for all $h \in \{1, \dots, l\}$. There also exist $c_t \in P^{\otimes n}$ and $d_t \in Q^{\otimes n}$ for $t \in \{1, \dots, v\}$ such that $\sum_{t=1}^v \theta_{c_t, d_t}(p_j) = p_j$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, and $\sum_{t=1}^v \theta_{c_t, d_t}(p'_h) = p'_h$ for all $h \in \{1, \dots, l\}$.

Then we have

$$\sum_{r=1}^s \iota_Q^n(a_r) \iota_P^n(b_r) (\pi(\Theta) + \pi(\Theta_{n+1})) \sum_{t=1}^v \iota_Q^n(d_t) \iota_P^n(c_t) = \pi(\Theta) + \pi(\Theta_{n+1}),$$

so it is enough to prove that $\iota_P^n(b)(\pi(\Theta) + \pi(\Theta_{n+1})) \iota_Q^n(d) \in \mathcal{T}(J_H)$ for every $b \in P^{\otimes n}$ and $d \in Q^{\otimes n}$. Let $r = \psi_n(b \otimes \Theta(d)) \in R$. We then have that

$$\sigma_H(r) = S_H(b) \pi_{T_H, S_H}(\Theta) T_H(d) = -S_H(b) \pi_{T_H, S_H}(\Theta_{n+1}) T_H(d) \in \pi_{T_H, S_H}(\mathcal{F}_P(Q)),$$

such it follows from Lemma 3.24 that $r \in \Delta^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_P(Q))$ and $\sigma_H(r) = \pi_{T_H, S_H}(\Delta(r))$. Hence $r \in J_H$. Thus

$$\iota_P^n(b)(\pi(\Theta) + \pi(\Theta_{n+1})) \iota_Q^n(d) = \iota_P^n(b) \pi(\Theta) \iota_Q^n(d) + \iota_P^n(b) \pi(\Theta_{n+1}) \iota_Q^n(d) = \iota_R(r) - \pi(\Delta(r)) \in \mathcal{T}(J_H).$$

□

Proof of Theorem 3.29. (1): If there exists a ring homomorphism $\eta : \mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(J) \longrightarrow B$ such that $\eta \circ \iota_Q^J = T$, $\eta \circ \iota_P^J = S$ and $\eta \circ \iota_R^J = \sigma$, and $x \in J$, then $\sigma(x) = \eta(\iota_R^J(x)) = \eta(\pi^J(\Delta(x))) = \pi_{T, S}(\Delta(x))$, which proves that the representation (S, T, σ, B) is Cuntz-Pimsner invariant with respect to J .

(2): If the representation (S, T, σ, B) is Cuntz-Pimsner invariant with respect to J , then the existence and uniqueness of $\eta_{(S, T, \sigma, B)}^J$ follows from Proposition 3.18.

(3): Assume that $\eta_{(S, T, \sigma, B)}^J$ is an isomorphism. Then $\sigma = \eta_{(S, T, \sigma, B)}^J \circ \iota_R^J$ is injective, and

$$\oplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \eta_{(S, T, \sigma, B)}^J(\mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}^{(n)}(J))$$

is a $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of B such that

$$\sigma(R) \subseteq \eta_{(S, T, \sigma, B)}^J(\mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}^{(0)}(J)),$$

$$T(Q) \subseteq \eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}^J(\mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}^{(1)}(J)) \text{ and}$$

$$S(P) \subseteq \eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}^J(\mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}^{(-1)}(J)).$$

Hence (S, T, σ, B) is injective, surjective and graded. If $x \in J$, then we have that

$$\sigma(x) = \eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}^J(\iota_R^J(x)) = \eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}^J(\pi^J(\Delta(x))) = \pi_{T,S}(\Delta(x)),$$

and thus $x \in J_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}$. If $x \in J_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}$, then it follows from Lemma 3.24 that $x \in \Delta^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_P(Q))$ and

$$\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}^J(\iota_R^J(x)) = \sigma(x) = \pi_{T,S}(\Delta(x)) = \eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}^J(\pi^J(\Delta(x))),$$

and since $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}^J$ is injective, it follows that $\iota_R^J(x) = \pi^J(\Delta(x))$. It follows that $\iota_R(x) - \pi(\Delta(x)) \in \mathcal{T}(J)$, and we then get from Lemma 3.21 that $\iota_R(x) = \mathcal{P}_{(0,0)}(\iota_R(x) - \pi(\Delta(x))) \in \iota_R(J)$, and thus that $x \in J$. Hence $J = J_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}$.

Assume then that (S, T, σ, B) is surjective, injective and graded and that $J = J_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}$. Then $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}^J$ is surjective. Let $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)} : \mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)} \rightarrow B$ be as in Theorem 1.7. Then $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)} = \eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}^J \circ \rho_J$, so $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}^J$ is injective if $\ker \eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)} = \ker \rho_J = \mathcal{T}(J)$. Let $H = \ker \eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}$. Then H is a graded two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$ and $H \cap \iota_R(R) = \{0\}$, so it follows from Lemma 3.35 that $\mathcal{T}(J_H) = H$. It easily follows from Lemma 3.24 that $J = J_{(S,T,\sigma,B)} = J_H$, so we have that $\ker \eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)} = H = \mathcal{T}(J_H) = \mathcal{T}(J)$ as desired. $\square$

4. THE GRADED UNIQUENESS THEOREM

We will in this section look at some consequences of the classification of the surjective, injective and graded representations of an R -system (P, Q, ψ) satisfying condition **(FS)**. We begin by noticing that we get a description of all graded two-sided ideal H of $\mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$ satisfying $\iota_R(R) \cap H = \{0\}$, and then that the Fock space representation of (P, Q, ψ) is isomorphic to the Toeplitz representation if R is right non-degenerate and (P, Q, ψ) satisfies condition **(FS)**. Finally we will characterize the faithful ψ -compatible two-sided ideals J of R for which $\mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J)$ satisfies the *Graded Uniqueness Theorem*, cf. [22, Theorem 4.8].

Remark 4.1. Let R be a right non-degenerate ring and let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)**. It easily follows from Lemma 3.24 that if H is a graded two-sided of $\mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$ satisfying $\iota_R(R) \cap H = \{0\}$, then $J_H = J_{(\iota_P^{J_H}, \iota_Q^{J_H}, \iota_R^{J_H}, \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J_H))}$. Thus it follows from Proposition 3.28 and Lemma 3.35 that

$$H \longmapsto J_H \quad J \longmapsto \mathcal{T}(J)$$

is an order preserving bijective correspondence between the set of graded two-sided ideal H of $\mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$ satisfying $\iota_R(R) \cap H = \{0\}$, and the set of faithful ψ -compatible two-sided ideals J of R .

We will later (cf. Corollary 7.28) classify all graded two-sided ideals of $\mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$.

We will now show that the Fock space representation of an R -system (P, Q, ψ) is isomorphic to the Toeplitz representation if R is right non-degenerate and (P, Q, ψ) satisfies condition **(FS)**.

Proposition 4.2. *Let R be a right non-degenerate ring and let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)**. Then the Fock space representation $(S_{\mathcal{F}}, T_{\mathcal{F}}, \sigma_{\mathcal{F}}, \mathcal{F}_{(P,Q,\psi)})$ of (P, Q, ψ) is isomorphic to the Toeplitz representation $(\iota_P, \iota_Q, \iota_R, \mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)})$.*

Proof. To ease the notation let $T = T_{\mathcal{F}}$, $S = S_{\mathcal{F}}$, $\sigma = \sigma_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $B = \mathcal{F}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$. It follows from Theorem 1.7 that there exists a unique ring homomorphism $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)} : \mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)} \longrightarrow B$ such that $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)} \circ \iota_R = \sigma$, $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)} \circ \iota_Q = T$ and $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)} \circ \iota_P = S$.

For each $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ let ι_m denote the inclusion of $Q^{\otimes m}$ into $F(Q)$. It is easy to check that if $x \in \mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)}^{(n)}$ where $n \geq -m$, then $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}(x)\iota_m(Q^{\otimes m}) \subseteq Q^{\otimes n+m}$. It follows that (S, T, σ, B) is graded. It follows from the right non-degeneracy of R that the covariant representation (S, T, σ, B) is injective.

Let $q \in Q$ and $p \in P$. Then $\pi_{T,S}(\theta_{q,p}) = T(q)S(p)$ acts as the zero map on $\iota_0(R)$. Thus it follows that if $\Theta \in \mathcal{F}_P(Q)$, then $\pi_{T,S}(\Theta)$ acts as the zero map on $\iota_0(R)$. If $r \in R$, then it follows from the right non-degeneracy of R that if $\sigma(r) = \phi_{\infty}(r)$ acts as the zero map on $\iota_0(R)$, then $r = 0$. Thus $J_{(S,T,\sigma,0)} = 0$, and it follows from Theorem 3.29 that $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}$ is an isomorphism from $\mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$ to $\mathcal{F}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$. $\square$

Remark 4.3. Let R be a ring and (P, Q, ψ) an R -system. It is clear that it is a necessary condition for the the Fock space representation of (P, Q, ψ) to be isomorphic to the Toeplitz representation is that R is right non-degenerate. The following example shows that it is not in general sufficient. This is in contrast to the C^* -algebraic case where the Fock representation is always isomorphic to the universal Toeplitz representation, cf. [13, Proposition 6.5]

Example 4.4. Let $R = Q = P = \mathbb{Z}$, let R act on the left and the right on Q and P by multiplication, and let $\psi : P \otimes Q \longrightarrow R$ be the zero map. Then R is a non-degenerate ring, and (P, Q, ψ) is an R -system. It is easy to check that $S_{\mathcal{F}}$ is the zero map.

Let $B = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}$, and for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ let e_n be the element of B given by $e_n(m)$ is 1 if and only if $n = m$ and 0 otherwise. We turn B into a ring by using the usual addition and defining a multiplication by

$$e_m e_n = \begin{cases} e_{m+n} & \text{if } nm \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } nm < 0. \end{cases}$$

We define maps $\sigma : R \longrightarrow B$ by $\sigma(r) = r e_0$, $S : P \longrightarrow B$ by $S(p) = p e_{-1}$ and $T : Q \longrightarrow B$ by $T(q) = q e_1$. It is easy to check that (S, T, σ, B) is a covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) . Since $S \neq 0$, it follows that $\iota_P \neq 0$ (in fact, it is not difficult to show that (S, T, σ, B) is isomorphic to the Toeplitz representation of (P, Q, ψ)). Thus, the Fock space representation cannot be isomorphic to the Toeplitz representation in this example.

We now define what it means for a relative Cuntz-Pimsner ring of an R -system to satisfy the *Graded Uniqueness Theorem*, and then characterize when it does that.

Definition 4.5 (cf. [22, Theorem 4.8]). Let R be a ring, (P, Q, ψ) an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)** and let J be a faithful ψ -compatible two-sided ideal of R . We say that the relative Cuntz-Pimsner ring $\mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J)$ satisfies the *Graded Uniqueness Theorem* if and only if the following holds:

If B is a $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded ring and $\eta : \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J) \longrightarrow B$ is a graded ring homomorphism such that $\eta \circ \iota_R^J$ is injective, then η is injective.

Definition 4.6. Let R be a ring, and let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)**. A faithful ψ -compatible two-sided ideal J of R is called *maximal* if $J = J'$ for any faithful ψ -compatible two-sided ideal J' of R satisfying $J \subseteq J'$.

Theorem 4.7. *Let R be a ring and let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)**. Let $\mathcal{C}_{(P,Q,\psi)}^{inj,grad}$ be the subcategory of $\mathcal{C}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$ consisting of all surjective, injective and graded covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) . Let J be a two-sided ideal of R such that $J \subseteq \Delta^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_P(Q))$ and $J \cap \ker \Delta = 0$. Then the following three statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *The Cuntz-Pimsner ring $\mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J)$ of (P, Q, ψ) relative to J satisfies the Graded Uniqueness Theorem.*
- (2) *The Cuntz-Pimsner representation $(\iota_P^J, \iota_Q^J, \iota_R^J, \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J))$ of (P, Q, ψ) relative to J is minimal in $\mathcal{C}_{(P,Q,\psi)}^{inj,grad}$ in the sense that if (S, T, σ, B) is a surjective, injective and graded representation of (P, Q, ψ) and $\eta : \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J) \rightarrow B$ is a homomorphism such that $\eta \circ \iota_Q^J = T$, $\eta \circ \iota_P^J = S$ and $\eta \circ \iota_R^J = \sigma$, then η is an isomorphism.*
- (3) *J is maximal.*

Proof. If B is a $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded ring and $\eta : \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J) \rightarrow B$ is a graded ring homomorphism such that $\eta \circ \iota_R^J$ is injective, and we let $T = \eta \circ \iota_Q^J$, $S = \eta \circ \iota_P^J$ and $\sigma = \eta \circ \iota_R^J$, then (S, T, σ, B) is a surjective, injective and graded representation of (P, Q, ψ) . The equivalence of (1) and (2) easily follows from this.

The equivalence of (2) and (3) follows from Remark 3.30. $\square$

Definition 4.8. Let R be a ring, and let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)**. A faithful ψ -compatible two-sided ideal J of R is called *uniquely maximal* if $J' \subseteq J$ for any ψ -compatible two-sided ideal J' of R .

Remark 4.9. Let R be a ring, and let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)**. It is clear that if J is a uniquely maximal faithful ψ -compatible two-sided of R , then it is the only maximal faithful ψ -compatible two-sided of R . The standard argument using Zorn's Lemma shows that every faithful ψ -compatible two-sided ideal of R is contained in a maximal faithful ψ -compatible two-sided ideal of R . Thus if there only is one maximal faithful ψ -compatible two-sided of R , then this ideal is automatically uniquely maximal.

Remark 4.10. Let R be a ring and let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)**. It follows from Remark 3.30 that if J is a faithful ψ -compatible two-sided ideal of R , then $(\iota_P^J, \iota_Q^J, \iota_R^J, \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J))$ is a final object of $\mathcal{C}_{(P,Q,\psi)}^{inj,grad}$ if and only if J is uniquely maximal. If such a J exists, then it would be natural to define the Cuntz-Pimsner ring of the R -system (P, Q, ψ) to be $\mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J)$ (and we will do that in Definition 5.1), however, as the following example shows, such a J does not in general exist (in contrast to the C^* -algebraic case where one always can use the analog of the ideal $(\ker \Delta)^\perp \cap \Delta^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_P(Q))$ cf. [13]).

Example 4.11. Let $R = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}$ be a ring with multiplication defined by

$$(x, y, z) \cdot (x', y', z') := (xx', xy' + yx', xz' + zx').$$

Notice that R is a unital ring with unit $(1, 0, 0)$.

Let $\delta : R \rightarrow R$ be a map defined as $\delta(x, y, z) = (x, y - z, 0)$. We claim that δ is a ring homomorphism. Indeed, let $(x, y, z), (x', y', z') \in R$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(x, y, z)\delta(x', y', z') &= (x, y - z, 0)(x', y' - z', 0) = (xx', x(y' - z') + x'(y - z), 0) \\ &= (xx', xy' + yx' - (xz' + zx'), 0) = \delta(xx', xy' + yx', xz' + zx') \\ &= \delta((x, y, z)(x', y', z')). \end{aligned}$$

Let $P = Q = \{(x, y, 0) : x \in \mathbb{Z}, y \in \mathbb{R}\} \subseteq R$, and endow $P = Q$ with the following R -bimodule structure: Given $p \in P$, $q \in Q$ and $r \in R$ let

$$\begin{aligned} p \cdot r &= p\delta(r) & r \cdot p &= \delta(r)p \\ q \cdot r &= q\delta(r) & r \cdot q &= \delta(r)q. \end{aligned}$$

Finally let $\psi : P \otimes_R Q \longrightarrow R$ be defined by $\psi(p \otimes q) = pq$. We will now check that the R -system (P, Q, ψ) satisfies property **(FS)**. Indeed, if $q \in Q$ then

$$(1, 0, 0) \cdot \psi((1, 0, 0) \otimes q) = (1, 0, 0) \cdot q = q,$$

and if $p \in P$ then

$$\psi(p \otimes (1, 0, 0)) \cdot (1, 0, 0) = p \cdot (1, 0, 0) = p.$$

It easy to check that

$$\Delta^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_P(Q)) = R \quad \text{and} \quad \ker \Delta = \{(0, z, z) : z \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Now we define

$$J_1 := \{(0, y, 0) : y \in \mathbb{R}\} \quad \text{and} \quad J_2 := \{(0, 0, z) : z \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Now we will prove that both J_1 and J_2 are maximal faithful ψ -compatible two-sided ideals of R . Let J be a faithful ψ -compatible two-sided ideals of R such that $J_1 \subseteq J$ and assume that there exists $0 \neq (x, y, z) \in J \setminus J_1$. Then $(x, 0, z) \in J$, with either x or z are nonzero. If $x = 0$, then $z \neq 0$ and then $(0, z, z) \in J \cap \ker \Delta$, but if $x \neq 0$ then $(0, 0, 1)(x, 0, z) = (0, 0, x) \in J$ and hence $0 \neq (0, x, x) \in J \cap \ker \Delta$, a contradiction. Thus J_1 is maximal. We can do the same to prove that J_2 is also maximal.

Notice that J_1 and J_2 are clearly non-isomorphic, however we can not deduce from this that their associated relative Cuntz-Pimsner rings are non-isomorphic.

5. CUNTZ-PIMSNER RINGS

Let R be a ring, let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)**, and let J be a uniquely maximal faithful ψ -compatible two-sided ideal of R . In view of Remark 4.10 it is natural to define $\mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(J)$ to be the *Cuntz-Pimsner ring* of (P, Q, ψ) . We will do that now.

Definition 5.1. Let R be a ring and let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)**. If there exists a uniquely maximal faithful ψ -compatible two-sided ideal J of R , then we define the *Cuntz-Pimsner ring* of (P, Q, ψ) to be the ring

$$\mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)} := \mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(J)$$

and we let

$$(\iota_P^{CP}, \iota_Q^{CP}, \iota_R^{CP}, \mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)})$$

denote the covariant representation $(\iota_P^J, \iota_Q^J, \iota_R^J, \mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(J))$ and call it the *Cuntz-Pimsner representation* of (P, Q, ψ) . We let $p_r := \iota_R^{CP}(r)$ for $r \in R$, $y_p := \iota_P^{CP}(p)$ for $p \in P$ and $x_q := \iota_Q^{CP}(q)$ for $q \in Q$.

It follows from Remark 4.10 that $\mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$, if it exists, is the (up to isomorphism) unique final object of $\mathcal{C}_{(P,Q,\psi)}^{inj,grad}$. It can also be described as the smallest quotient of $\mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$ which preserves the $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of $\mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$ and which leaves the embedded copy of R intact.

It follows from Example 4.11 that it is not always the case that there exists a uniquely maximal faithful ψ -compatible two-sided ideal of R . We will now describe a condition which will guarantee the existence of such an ideal. This condition is satisfied by many interesting examples, see Example 5.5 – 5.8.

If J is a two-sided ideal of a ring R , then we let $J^\perp$ denote the two-sided ideal $\{x \in R : \forall y \in J : xy = yx = 0\}$. The following lemma is then obvious.

Lemma 5.2. *Let R be a ring and let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system which satisfies condition **(FS)**. If $(\Delta^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_P(Q)) \cap (\ker \Delta)^\perp) \cap \ker \Delta = \{0\}$, then $J =: \Delta^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_P(Q)) \cap (\ker \Delta)^\perp$ is a uniquely maximal faithful ψ -compatible two-sided ideal of R . Thus the Cuntz-Pimsner ring of (P, Q, ψ) is defined in this case.*

A ring R is said to be *semiprime* if whenever I is a two-sided ideal of R such that $I^2 = \{0\}$, then $I = \{0\}$. A two-sided ideal I is said to be *semiprime* if whenever there exists a two-sided ideal J with $J^2 \subseteq I$, then $J \subseteq I$. Equivalently I is a semiprime ideal if and only if R/I is a semiprime ring. Observe that in particular every C^* -algebra A is semiprime and every closed ideal I of A is also semiprime (since it is a C^* -algebra itself).

Lemma 5.3. *Let R be a ring which is semiprime, and let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system which satisfies condition **(FS)**. Then $(\ker \Delta)^\perp \cap \ker \Delta = \{0\}$.*

Proof. It is clear that $(\ker \Delta)^\perp \cap \ker \Delta$ is a two-sided ideal of R satisfying $((\ker \Delta)^\perp \cap \ker \Delta)^2 = \{0\}$. Thus $(\ker \Delta)^\perp \cap \ker \Delta = \{0\}$. $\square$

Thus when R is semiprime, then $\Delta^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_P(Q)) \cap (\ker \Delta)^\perp$ is a uniquely maximal faithful ψ -compatible two-sided ideal of R for every R -system (P, Q, ψ) and the Cuntz-Pimsner ring $\mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$ is defined.

Before we look at some examples where the Cuntz-Pimsner ring is defined, we notice that it directly follows from Theorem 4.7 that if the Cuntz-Pimsner ring of an R -system is defined, then it satisfies the Graded Uniqueness Theorem.

Corollary 5.4 (The Graded Uniqueness Theorem). *Let R be a ring and let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system which satisfies condition **(FS)**, and assume that there exists a uniquely maximal faithful ψ -compatible two-sided ideal of R . If A is a $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded ring and $\eta : \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)} \rightarrow A$ is a graded ring homomorphism with $\eta(p_r) \neq 0$ for every $r \in R \setminus \{0\}$, then η is injective.*

Example 5.5. Let us return to the Example 1.9. We saw that if R is a ring, $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(R)$, $P = R_\varphi$, $Q = R_{\varphi^{-1}}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : P \otimes_R Q &\longrightarrow R \\ p \otimes q &\longmapsto p\varphi(q), \end{aligned}$$

then (P, Q, ψ) is a R -system.

Assume that R has local units. If $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n \in Q$ and $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m \in P$ then there exists an idempotent $e \in R$ such that $eq_i = q_i$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $p_j e = p_j$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ (we are here viewing the q_i 's and the p_j 's as elements of R and using the multiplication of R). We then have that $\theta_{e, \varphi(e)}(q_i) = e\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(e)\varphi(q_i)) = ee q_i = q_i$ for all

$i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $\theta_{e, \varphi^{-1}(e)}(p_j) = p_j \varphi(\varphi^{-1}(e))e = p_j ee = p_j$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Thus (P, Q, ψ) satisfies condition **(FS)**. Observe that we in this case have that $\Delta^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_P(Q)) = R$ because $\Delta(r) = \theta_{u, \varphi(r)}$ for every $r \in R$ and $u \in R$ with $ur = ru = r$. Notice also that Δ is injective, so R is a uniquely maximal faithful ψ -compatible two-sided ideal. Thus the Cuntz-Pimsner ring of the R -system (P, Q, ψ) exists and is equal to $\mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(R)$.

We saw in Example 1.9 that if (S, T, σ, B) is a covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) and we for every $r \in R$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ let $(r, n) = S^n(r)$, $[r, -n] = T^n(r)$ and $[r, 0] = \sigma(r)$, then $[r_1, k] + [r_2, k] = [r_1 + r_2, k]$ for $r_1, r_2 \in R$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $[r_1, k_1][r_2, k_2] = [r_1 \varphi^{k_1}(r_2), k_1 + k_2]$ for $r_1, r_2 \in R$ and $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ if k_1 and k_2 both are non-positive, or both are non-negative, or if k_1 is non-negative and k_2 is non-positive. If in addition (S, T, σ, B) is Cuntz-Pimsner invariant relative to R , then we have for $r_1, r_2, u_1, u_2 \in R$ where $r_2 u_1 = r_2$ and $u_2 r_1 = r_1$, and $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ that

$$\begin{aligned} [r_1, -n_1][r_2, n_1] &= T^{n_1}(r_1)S^{n_1}(r_2) = \pi_{S^{n_1}, T^{n_1}}(\theta_{r_1, r_2}) \\ &= \sigma(r_1 \varphi^{-n_1}(r_2)) = [r_1 \varphi^{-n_1}(r_2), 0], \\ [r_1, -n_1][r_2, n_1 + n_2] &= [r_1, -n_1][r_2, n_1][\varphi^{-n_1}(u_1), n_2] \\ &= [r_1 \varphi^{-n_1}(r_2), 0][\varphi^{-n_1}(u_1), n_2] \\ &= [r_1 \varphi^{-n_1}(r_2) \varphi^{-n_1}(u_1), n_2] = [r_1 \varphi^{-n_1}(r_2), n_2], \\ [r_1, -n_1 - n_2][r_2, n_1] &= [u_2, -n_2][\varphi^{n_2}(r_1), -n_1][r_2, n_1] \\ &= [u_2, -n_2][\varphi^{n_2}(r_1) \varphi^{n_1}(r_2), 0] \\ &= [u_2 r_1 \varphi^{-n_1 - n_2}(r_2), -n_2] = [r_1 \varphi^{-n_1 - n_2}(r_2), -n_2]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $[r_1, k_1][r_2, k_2] = [r_1 \varphi^{k_1}(r_2), k_1 + k_2]$ for $r_1, r_2 \in R$ and $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

If on the other hand we have a ring B which contains a set of elements $\{[r, k] : r \in R, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ satisfying $[r_1, k] + [r_2, k] = [r_1 + r_2, k]$ and $[r_1, k_1][r_2, k_2] = [r_1 \varphi^{k_1}(r_2), k_1 + k_2]$, and we define $\sigma : R \longrightarrow B$ by $\sigma(r) = [r, 0]$, $S : P \longrightarrow B$ by $S(p) = [p, 1]$, and $T : Q \longrightarrow B$ by $T(q) = [q, -1]$, then (S, T, σ, B) is a covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) which is Cuntz-Pimsner invariant relative to R .

Thus $\mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}$ is the universal ring generated by elements $\{[r, k] : r \in R, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ satisfying $[r_1, k] + [r_2, k] = [r_1 + r_2, k]$ and $[r_1, k_1][r_2, k_2] = [r_1 \varphi^{k_1}(r_2), k_1 + k_2]$; i.e., $\mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}$ is isomorphic to the crossed product $R \rtimes_{\varphi} \mathbb{Z}$.

We will return to this example in Example 7.30.

Example 5.6. Let R be a ring and let $\alpha : R \longrightarrow R$ be a ring homomorphism. Let $P := \text{span}\{r_1 \alpha(r_2) \mid r_1, r_2 \in R\}$ be the R -module with left action defined by $r \cdot p = rp$ and right action defined by $p \cdot r = p\alpha(r)$ for $r \in R$ and $p \in P$, and let $Q := \text{span}\{\alpha(r_1)r_2 \mid r_1, r_2 \in R\}$ be the R -module with left action defined by $r \cdot q = \alpha(r)q$ and right action defined by $q \cdot r = qr$ for $r \in R$ and $q \in Q$. Finally let $\psi : P \otimes Q \longrightarrow R$ be the bimodule homomorphism defined by $\psi(p \otimes q) = pq$. Then (P, Q, ψ) is an R -system.

If (S, T, σ, B) is a covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) , then $S(p)\sigma(r) = S(p\alpha(r))$, $\sigma(r)S(p) = S(rp)$, $T(q)\sigma(r) = T(qr)$, $\sigma(r)T(q) = T(\alpha(r)q)$ and $S(p)T(q) = \sigma(pq)$ for $p \in P$, $q \in Q$ and $r \in R$ where we view p and q as elements of R and use the multiplication of R .

It is not difficult to show that if R has local units, then (P, Q, ψ) satisfies condition **(FS)**, $\Delta^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_P(Q)) = R$ and that $\ker \Delta = \{0\}$. Thus the Cuntz-Pimsner ring of (P, Q, ψ) is defined

in this case and is equal to $\mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(R)$. If in addition α is injective and $\alpha(r_1)r_2\alpha(r_3) \in \alpha(R)$ for all $r_1, r_2, r_3 \in R$, then a covariant representation (S, T, σ, B) of (P, Q, ψ) is Cuntz-Pimsner invariant relative to R if and only if $T(q)S(p) = \sigma(\alpha^{-1}(qp))$ for all $p \in P$ and $q \in Q$.

It is not difficult to see that if α is an automorphism and R has local units, then $\mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$ is isomorphic to the crossed product $R \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$, cf. Example 5.5.

Example 5.7. Given a unital ring R and a ring isomorphism $\alpha : R \rightarrow eRe$ where e is an idempotent of R . Ara, González-Barroso, Goodearl and Pardo have in [6] defined the *fractional skew monoid ring* of the system (R, α) to be the universal unital ring $R[t_+, t_-; \alpha]$ generated by elements t_+, t_- and $\{\phi(r) \mid r \in R\}$ satisfying that $\phi : R \rightarrow R[t_+, t_-; \alpha]$ is a unital ring homomorphism and that the relations

$$t_-t_+ = 1, \quad t_+t_- = \phi(e), \quad rt_- = t_-\alpha(r) \quad \text{and} \quad t_+r = \alpha(r)t_+$$

hold for all $r \in R$. This construction is an exact algebraic analog of the construction of the crossed product of a C^* -algebra by an endomorphism introduced by Paschke [19]. In fact, if A is a C^* -algebra and the corner isomorphism α is a $*$ -homomorphism, then Paschke's C^* -crossed product, which he denotes $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{N}$, is just the completion of $A[t_+, t_-; \alpha]$ in a suitable norm. The Cuntz-Krieger rings, crossed products by automorphisms and Leavitt path algebras of finite graphs without sinks are examples of fractional skew monoid rings among many others (see [6]). As an important advance in the study of this class of rings, in [6, Theorem 5.3] conditions for $R[t_+, t_-; \alpha]$ being a simple and purely infinite ring are given, and in [4] the K_1 of fractional skew monoid rings is computed.

We will now show that the fractional skew monoid ring $R[t_+, t_-; \alpha]$ is isomorphic, as a $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded ring, to $\mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$ where (P, Q, ψ) is the R -system considered in Example 5.6. First we notice that if $r_1, r_2, r_3 \in R$, then $\alpha(r_1)r_2\alpha(r_3) \in eReReRe \subseteq eRe = \alpha(R)$. Define $S : P \rightarrow R[t_+, t_-; \alpha]$ and $T : Q \rightarrow R[t_+, t_-; \alpha]$ by $S(p) = \phi(p)t_+$ and $T(q) = t_-\phi(q)$. It is then easy to check that $(S, T, \phi, R[t_+, t_-; \alpha])$ is a surjective covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) which is Cuntz-Pimsner invariant relative to R , cf. Example 5.6. Thus it follows from Theorem 3.18 that there exists a ring homomorphism $\eta : \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)} \rightarrow R[t_+, t_-; \alpha]$ such that $\eta(p_r) = \phi(r)$, $\eta(y_p) = \phi(p)t_+$ and $\eta(x_q) = t_-\phi(q)$ for $r \in R$, $p \in P$ and $q \in Q$. It follows from [6, Proposition 1.6 and Corollary 1.11] that η is graded and that $\eta(p_r) \neq 0$ for $r \neq 0$, so η is injective and thus an isomorphism according to Corollary 5.4.

Example 5.8. Let us return to the Example 1.10. Given $q = (\sum_{e \in E^1} \lambda_e \mathbf{1}_e) \in Q$ we let

$$\text{Supp}(\sum_{e \in E^1} \lambda_e \mathbf{1}_e) := \{e \in E^1 : \lambda_e \neq 0\}.$$

Notice that $|\text{Supp}(q)| < \infty$. Given $q_1, \dots, q_n \in Q$ we have that the homomorphism

$$\Theta = \sum_{e \in \text{Supp}(q_1) \cup \dots \cup \text{Supp}(q_n)} \theta_{\mathbf{1}_e, \mathbf{1}_{\bar{e}}} \in \mathcal{F}_P(Q)$$

satisfies $\Theta(q_i) = q_i$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Similarly, we have that there for $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n \in P$ exists a homomorphism $\Delta \in \mathcal{F}_Q(P)$ such that $\Delta(p_i) = p_i$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Thus the R -system (P, Q, ψ) satisfies the condition **(FS)**.

Now it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_P(Q)) &= \text{span}_F\{\mathbf{1}_v : |s^{-1}(v)| < \infty\}, \\ \ker \Delta &= \text{span}_F\{\mathbf{1}_v : |s^{-1}(v)| = 0\}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $(\ker \Delta)^\perp = \text{span}_F\{\mathbf{1}_v : |s^{-1}(v)| > 0\}$, and thus that $(\Delta^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_P(Q)) \cap (\ker \Delta)^\perp) \cap \ker \Delta = \{0\}$. Hence the Cuntz-Pimsner ring of (P, Q, ψ) is defined in this case and is equal to $\mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(\Delta^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_P(Q)) \cap (\ker \Delta)^\perp)$.

We saw in Example 1.10 that if (S, T, σ, B) be a covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) and we let $p_v := \sigma(\mathbf{1}_v)$ for $v \in E^0$, and $x_e = T(\mathbf{1}_e)$ and $y_e = S(\mathbf{1}_{\bar{e}})$ for $e \in E^1$, then $\mathcal{R}\langle S, T, \sigma \rangle$ becomes a F -algebra when we equip it with an F -multiplication of F defined by $\lambda\sigma(r) = \sigma(\lambda r)$, $\lambda S(p) = S(\lambda p)$ and $\lambda T(q) = T(\lambda q)$ for $\lambda \in F$, $r \in R$, $p \in P$ and $q \in Q$. Then $\{p_v\}_{v \in E^0}$ is a family of pairwise orthogonal idempotents such that we for all $e, f \in E^1$ have that $p_{s(e)}x_e = x_e = x_ep_{r(e)}$, $p_{r(e)}y_e = y_e = y_ep_{s(e)}$, and $y_ex_f = \delta_{e,f}p_{r(e)}$. If in addition (S, T, σ, B) is Cuntz-Pimsner invariant relative to $\Delta^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_P(Q)) \cap (\ker \Delta)^\perp = \text{span}_F\{\mathbf{1}_v : 0 < |s^{-1}(v)| < \infty\}$, then we have for $v \in E^0$ with $0 < |s^{-1}(v)| < \infty$ that

$$p_v = \sigma(\mathbf{1}_v) = \pi_{T,S}(\Delta(\mathbf{1}_v)) = \pi_{T,S}\left(\sum_{e \in s^{-1}(v)} \theta_{\mathbf{1}_e, \mathbf{1}_{\bar{e}}}\right) = \sum_{e \in s^{-1}(v)} T(\mathbf{1}_e)S(\mathbf{1}_{\bar{e}}) = \sum_{e \in s^{-1}(v)} x_e y_e.$$

On the other hand, let B be an F -algebra which contains a family $\{p_v\}_{v \in E^0}$ of pairwise orthogonal idempotents and families $\{x_e\}_{e \in E^1}$ and $\{y_e\}_{e \in E^1}$ satisfying $p_{s(e)}x_e = x_e = x_ep_{r(e)}$, $p_{r(e)}y_e = y_e = y_ep_{s(e)}$, and $y_ex_f = \delta_{e,f}p_{r(e)}$ for all $e, f \in E^1$. Then for $r = \sum_{v \in E^0} s_v \mathbf{1}_v \in R$ let $\sigma(r) := \sum_{v \in E^0} s_v p_v$, for $p = \sum_{e \in E^1} \lambda_e \mathbf{1}_{\bar{e}} \in P$ let $S(p) := \sum_{e \in E^1} p_e y_e$, and for $q = \sum_{e \in E^1} \lambda_e \mathbf{1}_e \in Q$ let $T(q) := \sum_{e \in E^1} q_e x_e$, we have that (S, T, σ, B) is a covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) which is Cuntz-Pimsner invariant relative to $\Delta^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_P(Q)) \cap (\ker \Delta)^\perp$.

Thus $\mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}$ is the universal F -algebra generated by a set $\{p_v : v \in E^0\}$ of pairwise orthogonal idempotents, together with a set $\{x_e, y_e : e \in E^1\}$ of elements satisfying

- (1) $p_{s(e)}x_e = x_e = x_ep_{r(e)}$ for $e \in E^1$,
- (2) $p_{r(e)}y_e = y_e = y_ep_{s(e)}$ for $e \in E^1$,
- (3) $y_ex_f = \delta_{e,f}p_{r(e)}$ for $e, f \in E^1$,
- (4) $p_v = \sum_{e \in s^{-1}(v)} x_e y_e$ for $v \in E^0$ with $0 < |s^{-1}(v)| < \infty$.

I.e., $\mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}$ is isomorphic to the Leavitt path $L_F(E)$ algebra associated with E , cf. [1],[2],[3],[5]&[22]. Thus we recover from Corollary 5.4 the Graded Uniqueness Theorem [22, Theorem 4.8] for Leavitt path algebras.

We will return to this example in Example 7.31.

6. THE ALGEBRAIC GAUGE-INVARIANT THEOREM

We saw in Example 5.5 that our Graded Uniqueness Theorem (Corollary 5.4) is a generalization of the Graded Uniqueness Theorem for Leavitt path algebras ([22, Theorem 4.8]). We will now generalize the Algebraic Gauge-Invariant Uniqueness Theorem for row finite graphs ([3, Theorem 1.8]) to Cuntz-Pimsner rings and thereby to all directed graphs.

Proposition 6.1 (Cf. [10, Proposition 1.3] and [20, Remark 1.2(2)]). *Let R be an (associative) F -algebra where F is a field, and let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)***

and let J be a ψ -compatible two-sided ideal of R . Then there exists for every $t \in F^*$ (F^* denotes the multiplication group of F) a unique automorphism τ_t^J on $\mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J)$ satisfying $\tau_t^J(\iota_R^J(r)) = \iota_R^J(r)$, $\tau_t^J(\iota_P^J(p)) = t\iota_P^J(p)$ and $\tau_t^J(\iota_Q^J(q)) = t^{-1}\iota_Q^J(q)$ for $r \in R$, $p \in P$ and $q \in Q$.

The action

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^J : F^* &\longrightarrow \text{Aut}_F(\mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J)) \\ t &\longmapsto \tau_t^J \end{aligned}$$

is called the gauge action of F on $\mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J)$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J)$ is generated by $\{\iota_R^J(r) : r \in R\} \cup \{\iota_P^J(p) : p \in P\} \cup \{\iota_Q^J(q) : q \in Q\}$, it follows that a ring homomorphism defined on $\mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J)$ is uniquely determined by its values on $\{\iota_R^J(r) : r \in R\} \cup \{\iota_P^J(p) : p \in P\} \cup \{\iota_Q^J(q) : q \in Q\}$.

Let $t \in F^*$. For $r \in R$, $p \in P$ and $q \in Q$ let $\sigma(r) = \iota_R^J(r)$, $S(p) = t\iota_P^J(p)$ and $T(q) = t^{-1}\iota_Q^J(q)$. Then $(S, T, \sigma, \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J))$ is a covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) which is Cuntz-Pimsner invariant relative to J . Thus there exists a homomorphism $\tau_t^J : \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J)$ such that $\tau_t^J(\iota_R^J(r)) = \iota_R^J(r)$, $\tau_t^J(\iota_P^J(p)) = t\iota_P^J(p)$ and $\tau_t^J(\iota_Q^J(q)) = t^{-1}\iota_Q^J(q)$ for $r \in R$, $p \in P$ and $q \in Q$.

If $t_1, t_2 \in F^*$ and $r \in R$, $p \in P$ and $q \in Q$, then $\tau_{t_1}^J \circ \tau_{t_2}^J(\iota_R^J(r)) = \tau_{t_1 t_2}^J(\iota_R^J(r))$, $\tau_{t_1}^J \circ \tau_{t_2}^J(\iota_P^J(p)) = \tau_{t_1 t_2}^J(\iota_P^J(p))$, and $\tau_{t_1}^J \circ \tau_{t_2}^J(\iota_Q^J(q)) = \tau_{t_1 t_2}^J(\iota_Q^J(q))$, so $\tau_{t_1}^J \circ \tau_{t_2}^J = \tau_{t_1 t_2}^J$. We have in particular that $\tau_t^J \circ \tau_{t^{-1}}^J = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J)}$, so τ_t^J is an automorphism. $\square$

Theorem 6.2. Let F be an infinite field, R an (associative) F -algebra, and let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)**. Assume that J is a maximal faithful ψ -compatible two-sided ideal of R , and let A be an F -algebra. Suppose that

$$\phi : \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J) \longrightarrow A$$

is a F -algebra homomorphism such that $\phi(\iota_R^J(r)) \neq 0$ for every $r \in R \setminus \{0\}$. If there exists a group action $\sigma : F^* \longrightarrow \text{Aut}_F(A)$ such that $\phi \circ \tau_t^J = \sigma_t \circ \phi$ for every $t \in F^*$, then ϕ is injective.

Proof. By Theorem 4.7 it is enough to check that $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi(\rho_J(\mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)}^{(n)}))$ is a grading of B . We will do that by showing that $\ker \phi$ is a graded ideal. Assume that $\phi(z_{n_1} + \cdots + z_{n_r}) = 0$, $n_1, \dots, n_r \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n_i \neq n_j$ for $i \neq j$ and $z_{n_i} \in \rho_J(\mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)}^{(n_i)})$ for every $i = 1, \dots, r$. We then have for $t \in F^*$ that

$$0 = \sigma_t(\phi(z_{n_1} + \cdots + z_{n_r})) = \phi(\tau_t^J(z_{n_1} + \cdots + z_{n_r})) = \phi(t^{n_1} z_{n_1} + \cdots + t^{n_r} z_{n_r}).$$

On the other hand we have that $0 = t^{n_r} \phi(z_{n_1} + \cdots + z_{n_r}) = \phi(t^{n_r} z_{n_1} + \cdots + t^{n_r} z_{n_r})$. It follows that

$$0 = \phi((t^{n_r} - t^{n_1})z_{n_1} + \cdots + (t^{n_r} - t^{n_{r-1}})z_{n_{r-1}}),$$

and since F is an infinite field we have that $t^{n_r} - t^{n_i} \neq 0$ for every $i = 1, \dots, r-1$. Repeating this process $r-1$ times we get that $\phi(z_{n_1}) = 0$ as desired. Repeating the same argument we get that $\phi(z_{n_i}) = 0$ for every $i = 1, \dots, r$. This shows that $\ker \phi$ is a graded ideal and thus that $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi(\rho_J(\mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)}^{(n)}))$ is a grading of B . $\square$

If F is a field, R is an F -algebra, (P, Q, ψ) is an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)**, and J is a uniquely maximal faithful ψ -compatible two-sided ideal of R , then we denote by τ^{CP}

the gauge action τ^J of $\mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)} = \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J)$. We then get as a corollary to the previous theorem the following Gauge-Invariant Uniqueness Theorem for Cuntz-Pimsner rings.

Corollary 6.3 (The Gauge-Invariant Uniqueness Theorem for Cuntz-Pimsner Rings, cf. [10, Theorem 4.1]). *Let F be an infinite field, R an (associative) F -algebra and let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)**. Assume that there exists a uniquely maximal faithful ψ -compatible two-sided ideal of R . Let A be an F -algebra. Suppose that*

$$\phi : \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)} \longrightarrow A$$

is an F -algebra homomorphism such that $\phi(p_r) \neq 0$ for every $r \in R \setminus \{0\}$. If there exists a group action $\sigma : F^* \longrightarrow \text{Aut}_F(A)$ such that $\phi \circ \tau_t^{CP} = \sigma_t \circ \phi$ for every $t \in F^*$, then ϕ is injective.

When we specialize to directed graphs, we get a generalization of the Algebraic Gauge-Invariant Uniqueness Theorem [3, Theorem 1.8.] from row finite graphs to all directed graphs.

Corollary 6.4. *Let E be a directed graph, let F be an infinite field and let A be an F -algebra. Suppose that*

$$\phi : L_F(E) \longrightarrow A$$

is a F -algebra homomorphism such that $\phi(p_v) \neq 0$ for every $v \in E^0$. If there exists a group action $\sigma : F^* \longrightarrow \text{Aut}_F(A)$ such that $\phi \circ \tau_t^E = \sigma_t \circ \phi$ for every $t \in F^*$, then ϕ is injective.

Proof. Follows from Example 5.8 and Corollary 6.3. $\square$

7. GRADED COVARIANT REPRESENTATIONS

In Section 3 we classified all surjective, injective and graded covariant representations of an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)**. We will in this section extend this classification to all surjective and graded covariant representations. As a corollary we get a description of all graded two-sided ideals of a relative Cuntz-Pimsner algebra (and therefore of the Toeplitz ring and the Cuntz-Pimsner ring whenever it is defined) of an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)**.

We will proceed as in Section 3 and first describe a family of surjective and graded covariant representations of a given R -system which satisfies condition **(FS)**, and then show that this family contains up to isomorphism all surjective and graded covariant representations. This approach is inspired by the work of Katsura in [14] (notice however that our definition of a T -pair (see Definition 7.5) is different from Katsura's definition).

At the end of the section we will see how our description of the graded two-sided ideals of a Cuntz-Pimsner ring agrees with Tomforde's characterization of the graded ideals of a Leavitt path algebra. We will also show (cf. Proposition 7.26) that if the R -system (P, Q, ψ) satisfies condition **(FS)**, then any quotient of a relative Cuntz-Pimsner ring of (P, Q, ψ) by a graded two-sided ideal is again a relative Cuntz-Pimsner ring (but of a different system).

7.1. The classification of graded covariant representations of an R -system. We begin with some definitions and some notation.

Definition 7.1. Let R be a ring and let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system. A two-sided ideal I of R is said to be ψ -invariant if $\psi(p \otimes xq) \in I$ for every $p \in P$, $q \in Q$ and $x \in I$.

If I is a two-sided ideal of R , then $QI := \text{span}\{qx : q \in Q, x \in I\}$ and $IQ := \text{span}\{xq : q \in Q, x \in I\}$ are I -bimodules. Similarly we define $IP := \text{span}\{xp : p \in P, x \in I\}$ and $PI := \text{span}\{px : p \in P, x \in I\}$ which are also I -bimodules.

Remark 7.2. Observe that if R is a ring, (P, Q, ψ) is an R -system which satisfies condition **(FS)**, and I is ψ -invariant two-sided ideal of R , then $IQ \subseteq QI$ and $PI \subseteq IP$. Indeed, let $x \in I$, then by the **(FS)** condition there exists $\Theta = \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_{q_i, p_i} \in \mathcal{F}_P(Q)$ such that $xq = \Theta(xq) = \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_{q_i, p_i}(xq) = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \psi(p_i \otimes xq) \in QI$ since $\psi(p_i \otimes xq) \in I$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Similarly one can prove that $PI \subseteq IP$.

Definition 7.3. Let R be a ring and let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)**. For a two-sided ideal I of R we define $R_I := R/I$, $Q_I := Q/QI$ and ${}_IP := P/IP$. We let $\wp_I$ be their respective projections.

It follows from Remark 7.2 that if I is a ψ -invariant two-sided ideal of R , then Q_I and ${}_IP$ are R_I -bimodules. We can in this case define a R_I -bimodule homomorphism $\psi_I : {}_IP \otimes Q_I \longrightarrow R_I$ by $\psi_I(\wp_I(p) \otimes \wp_I(q)) = \wp_I(\psi(p \otimes q))$.

Observe that we can also define a projection $\wp_I : \mathcal{L}_P(Q) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{{}_IP}(Q_I)$ such that $\wp_I(T)(\wp_I(q)) = \wp_I(T(q))$ for every $T \in \mathcal{L}_P(Q)$ and $q \in Q$, and then we have that $\wp_I(\mathcal{F}_P(Q)) = \mathcal{F}_{{}_IP}(Q_I)$. We also define a ring homomorphism $\Delta_I : R_I \longrightarrow \text{End}(Q_I)$ by $\Delta_I(\wp_I(r))\wp_I(q) = \wp_I(rq)$ for $r \in R$ and $q \in Q$. We then have that $\Delta_I(\wp_I(r)) = \wp_I(\Delta(r))$ for every $r \in R$.

We then have the following straightforward lemma:

Lemma 7.4. *Let R be a ring, let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)**, and let I be a ψ -invariant two-sided ideal of R . Then the R_I -system $({}_IP, Q_I, \psi_I)$ satisfies condition **(FS)**.*

Definition 7.5 (Cf. [14, Definition 5.6]). Let R be a ring and let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)**. A pair $\omega = (I, J)$ of two-sided ideals of R such that $I \subseteq J$ is said to be a T -pair of (P, Q, ψ) if I is a ψ -invariant ideal and $J_I := \wp_I(J)$ is a faithful ψ_I -compatible two-sided ideal of R_I .

Notice that since $I \subseteq J$, we have that $\wp_I^{-1}(J_I) = J$.

Let R be a ring, let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)**, and let $\omega = (I, J)$ be a T -pair. Then we define the following maps

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_R^\omega &:= \iota_{R_I}^{J_I} \circ \wp_I : R \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{({}_IP, Q_I, \psi_I)}(J_I), \\ \iota_Q^\omega &:= \iota_{Q_I}^{J_I} \circ \wp_I : Q \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{({}_IP, Q_I, \psi_I)}(J_I), \\ \iota_P^\omega &:= \iota_{{}_IP}^{J_I} \circ \wp_I : P \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{({}_IP, Q_I, \psi_I)}(J_I), \end{aligned}$$

where $(\iota_{{}_IP}^{J_I}, \iota_{Q_I}^{J_I}, \iota_{R_I}^{J_I}, \mathcal{O}_{({}_IP, Q_I, \psi_I)}(J_I))$ is the universal Cuntz-Pimsner invariant representation of $({}_IP, Q_I, \psi_I)$ relative to J_I . It is easy to check $(\iota_P^\omega, \iota_Q^\omega, \iota_R^\omega, \mathcal{O}_{({}_IP, Q_I, \psi_I)}(J_I))$ is a surjective and graded covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) . We will in this section show that the family $\{(\iota_P^\omega, \iota_Q^\omega, \iota_R^\omega, \mathcal{O}_{({}_IP, Q_I, \psi_I)}(J_I)) \mid \omega \text{ is a } T\text{-pair of } (P, Q, \psi)\}$ up to isomorphism contains all surjective and graded covariant representations of (P, Q, ψ) .

Definition 7.6. Let R be a ring, let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system that satisfies condition **(FS)** and let (S, T, σ, B) be a covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) . Then we define $I_{(S, T, \sigma, B)}$ as the two-sided ideal $\ker \sigma$ of R .

Lemma 7.7. *Let R be a ring and let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)**. If (S, T, σ, B) is a covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) , then $\ker T = QI_{(S, T, \sigma, B)}$ and $\ker S = I_{(S, T, \sigma, B)}P$.*

Proof. Clearly $QI_{(S, T, \sigma, B)} \subseteq \ker T$. Now let $q \in \ker T$, then for every $p \in P$ we have $0 = S(p)T(q) = \sigma(\psi(p \otimes q))$ and hence $\psi(p \otimes q) \in \ker \sigma = I_{(S, T, \sigma, B)}$ for every $p \in P$. By condition **(FS)** there exists $\Theta = \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_{q_i, p_i}$ such that $\Theta(q) = q$ and therefore $q = \Theta(q) = \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_{q_i, p_i}(q) = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \psi(p_i \otimes q) \in QI_{(S, T, \sigma, B)}$ as desired.

That $\ker S = I_{(S, T, \sigma, B)}P$ can be proved in a similar way. $\square$

Proposition 7.8. *Let R be a ring and let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)**. Let (S, T, σ, B) be a covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) , and let $I_{(S, T, \sigma, B)}$ be as defined in Definition 7.6, and let $J_{(S, T, \sigma, B)}$ be as defined in Definition 3.23. Then the pair $\omega_{(S, T, \sigma, B)} := (I_{(S, T, \sigma, B)}, J_{(S, T, \sigma, B)})$ is a T -pair of (P, Q, ψ) .*

Proof. We let $I := I_{(S, T, \sigma, B)}$ and $J := J_{(S, T, \sigma, B)}$. It is clear that I is a two-sided ideal of R , and it follows from Lemma 3.26 that also J is a two-sided ideal of R . It is clear that $I \subseteq J$.

First we prove that I is ψ -invariant. Indeed, let $x \in I$, $p \in P$ and $q \in Q$. Then $\sigma(\psi(p \otimes xq)) = S(p)\sigma(x)T(q) = 0$, so $\psi(p \otimes xq) \in \ker \sigma = I$.

Now let $x \in J = \sigma^{-1}(\pi_{T, S}(\mathcal{F}_P(Q)))$. Then there exists $\Theta \in \mathcal{F}_P(Q)$ with $\sigma(x) = \pi_{T, S}(\Theta)$. Thus we have for every $q \in Q$ that

$$T(xq) = \sigma(x)T(q) = \pi_{T, S}(\Theta)T(q) = T(\Theta(q)),$$

and it follows from Lemma 7.7 that $xq - \Theta(q) \in \ker T = QI$. Hence $\wp_I(xq) - \wp_I(\Theta(q)) = 0$, so $\wp_I(x)\wp_I(q) = \wp_I(\Theta)(\wp_I(q))$. Since $\wp_I(\Theta) \in \mathcal{F}_{IP}(Q_I)$, it follows that $\Delta_I(\wp_I(x)) \in \mathcal{F}_{IP}(Q_I)$.

Now we check that $J_I \cap \ker \Delta_I = 0$. Let $x \in J$ and assume that $\wp_I(x) \in \ker \Delta_I$. Then $xq \in QI$ for every $q \in Q$. But since $x \in J$, there exists $\Theta = \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_{q_i, p_i} \in \mathcal{F}_P(Q)$ such that $\sigma(x) = \pi_{T, S}(\Theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n T(q_i)S(p_i)$. It then follows from Lemma 7.7 that $xq - \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \psi(p_i \otimes q) \in \ker T = QI$, so $\sum_{i=1}^n q_i \psi(p_i \otimes q) \in QI$ for every $q \in Q$. Now by condition **(FS)** there exist $\Theta_1 = \sum_{j=1}^m \theta_{a_j, b_j} \in \mathcal{F}_P(Q)$ and $\Theta_2 = \sum_{k=1}^l \theta_{c_k, d_k} \in \mathcal{F}_Q(P)$ such that $\Theta_1(q_i) = q_i$ and

$\Theta_2(p_i) = p_i$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^n T(q_i)S(p_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n T(\Theta_1(q_i))S(\Theta_2(p_i)) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n T\left(\sum_{j=1}^m \theta_{a_j, b_j}(q_i)\right) S\left(\sum_{k=1}^l \theta_{c_k, d_k}(p_i)\right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n T\left(\sum_{j=1}^m a_j \psi(b_j \otimes q_i)\right) S\left(\sum_{k=1}^l \psi(p_i \otimes d_k) c_k\right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^l T(a_j) \sigma(\psi(b_j \otimes q_i) \psi(p_i \otimes d_k)) S(c_k) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^l T(a_j) \sigma\left(\psi(b_j \otimes \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \psi(p_i \otimes d_k))\right) S(c_k) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^l T(a_j) \sigma(\psi(b_j \otimes \Theta(d_k))) S(c_k),
\end{aligned}$$

but $\Theta(d_k) \in QI$ for every $k = 1, \dots, l$, and hence $\psi(b_j \otimes \Theta(d_k)) \in I$. So $\sigma(\psi(b_j \otimes \Theta(d_k))) = 0$, from which it follows that $0 = \sum_{i=1}^n T(q_i)S(p_i) = \sigma(x)$, and therefore $x \in \ker \sigma = I$. Thus $\wp_I(x) = 0$. $\square$

Proposition 7.9. *Let R be a ring and let (P, Q, ψ) an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)**. If $\omega = (I, J)$ is a T -pair, then $\omega = \omega_{(\iota_P^\omega, \iota_Q^\omega, \iota_R^\omega, \mathcal{O}_{(I^P, Q_I, \psi_I)}(J_I))}$.*

Proof. First notice that $I_{(\iota_P^\omega, \iota_Q^\omega, \iota_R^\omega, \mathcal{O}_{(I^P, Q_I, \psi_I)}(J_I))} = \ker \iota_R^\omega = \ker(\iota_{R_I}^{J_I} \circ \wp_I) = \ker \wp_I = I$ by injectivity of $\iota_{R_I}^{J_I}$.

Let $x \in J$. Then we have that $\wp_I(x) \in J_I$ and thus that

$$\iota_R^\omega(x) = \iota_{R_I}^{J_I}(\wp_I(x)) = \pi^{J_I}(\Delta_I(\wp_I(x))) \in \pi^{J_I}(\mathcal{F}_{I^P}(Q_I)) = \pi^{J_I}(\wp_I(\mathcal{F}_P(Q))) = \pi_{\iota_Q^\omega, \iota_P^\omega}(\mathcal{F}_P(Q)),$$

and therefore $x \in (\iota_R^\omega)^{-1}(\pi_{\iota_Q^\omega, \iota_P^\omega}(\mathcal{F}_P(Q)))$. This shows that $J \subseteq J_{(\iota_P^\omega, \iota_Q^\omega, \iota_R^\omega, \mathcal{O}_{(I^P, Q_I, \psi_I)}(J_I))}$.

Assume now that $x \in J_{(\iota_P^\omega, \iota_Q^\omega, \iota_R^\omega, \mathcal{O}_{(I^P, Q_I, \psi_I)}(J_I))}$. Then we have

$$\iota_{R_I}^{J_I}(\wp_I(x)) = \iota_R^\omega(x) \in \pi_{\iota_Q^\omega, \iota_P^\omega}(\mathcal{F}_P(Q)) = \pi^{J_I}(\wp_I(\mathcal{F}_P(Q))) = \pi^{J_I}(\mathcal{F}_{I^P}(Q_I)).$$

Since $J_I \subseteq \Delta_I^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_{I^P}(Q_I))$ and $J_I \cap \ker \Delta_I = 0$, it follows from Proposition 3.28 that $\wp_I(x) \in J_I$. Thus $x \in J$ which shows that $J_{(\iota_P^\omega, \iota_Q^\omega, \iota_R^\omega, \mathcal{O}_{(I^P, Q_I, \psi_I)}(J_I))} \subseteq J$. $\square$

Lemma 7.10. *Let R be a ring and (P, Q, ψ) an R -system. Let (S, T, σ, B) be a covariant representation and let I be a ψ -invariant ideal of R . Then we have:*

- (1) *If there is a covariant representation (S_I, T_I, σ_I, B) of (I^P, Q_I, ψ_I) such that $T = T_I \circ \wp_I$, $S = S_I \circ \wp_I$ and $\sigma = \sigma_I \circ \wp_I$, then $I \subseteq I_{(S, T, \sigma, B)}$.*
- (2) *If $I \subseteq I_{(S, T, \sigma, B)}$, then there exists a unique covariant representation (S_I, T_I, σ_I, B) of (I^P, Q_I, ψ_I) such that $T = T_I \circ \wp_I$, $S = S_I \circ \wp_I$ and $\sigma = \sigma_I \circ \wp_I$.*

- (3) If $I \subseteq I_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}$, then the covariant representation (S_I, T_I, σ_I, B) is injective if and only if $I = I_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}$.
- (4) If $I \subseteq I_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}$, then the covariant representation (S_I, T_I, σ_I, B) is surjective and graded if and only if (S, T, σ, B) is.
- (5) If $I \subseteq I_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}$ and (I, J) is a T -pair of (P, Q, ψ) , then the covariant representation (S_I, T_I, σ_I, B) is Cuntz-Pimsner invariant relative to J_I if and only if $J \subseteq J_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}$.

Proof. If there is a covariant representation (S_I, T_I, σ_I, B) of $({}_IP, Q_I, \psi_I)$ such that $T = T_I \circ \wp_I$, $S = S_I \circ \wp_I$ and $\sigma = \sigma_I \circ \wp_I$, then $I \subseteq I_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}$.

Assume now that $I \subseteq I_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}$. It follows from Lemma 7.7 that we can define maps $\sigma_I : R_I \rightarrow B$ by letting $\sigma_I(r + I) = \sigma(r)$ for every $r \in R$, $T_I : Q_I \rightarrow B$ by letting $T_I(q + QI) = T(q)$ for every $q \in Q$ and $S_I : {}_IP \rightarrow B$ by letting $S_I(p + IP) = S(p)$ for every $p \in P$. It is then clear that (S_I, T_I, σ_I, B) is a covariant representation of $({}_IP, Q_I, \psi_I)$ satisfying $T = T_I \circ \wp_I$, $S = S_I \circ \wp_I$ and $\sigma = \sigma_I \circ \wp_I$. It is also clear that (S_I, T_I, σ_I, B) is the unique covariant representation of $({}_IP, Q_I, \psi_I)$ with this property. Finally it is straight forward to check that (S_I, T_I, σ_I, B) is injective if and only if $I = I_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}$, that (S_I, T_I, σ_I, B) is surjective and graded if and only if (S, T, σ, B) is, and that (S_I, T_I, σ_I, B) is Cuntz-Pimsner invariant relative to J_I if and only if $J \subseteq J_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}$. $\square$

Theorem 7.11. *Let R be a ring and (P, Q, ψ) an R -system that satisfies condition **(FS)**. Let (S, T, σ, B) be a covariant representation of and let $\omega = (I, J)$ be a T -pair of (P, Q, ψ) . Then we have:*

- (1) *If there is a ring homomorphism $\eta : \mathcal{O}_{({}_IP, Q_I, \psi_I)}(J_I) \rightarrow B$ such that $\eta \circ \iota_R^\omega = \sigma$, $\eta \circ \iota_Q^\omega = T$ and $\eta \circ \iota_P^\omega = S$, then $I \subseteq I_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}$ and $J \subseteq J_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}$.*
- (2) *If $I \subseteq I_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}$ and $J \subseteq J_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}$, then there exists a unique ring homomorphism $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}^\omega : \mathcal{O}_{({}_IP, Q_I, \psi_I)}(J_I) \rightarrow B$ such that $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}^\omega \circ \iota_R^\omega = \sigma$, $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}^\omega \circ \iota_Q^\omega = T$ and $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}^\omega \circ \iota_P^\omega = S$.*
- (3) *If $I \subseteq I_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}$ and $J \subseteq J_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}$, then $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}^\omega$ is an isomorphism if and only if (S, T, σ, B) is a surjective and graded representation and $\omega = \omega_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}$.*

Proof. It is easy to check that if there exists a ring homomorphism $\eta : \mathcal{O}_{({}_IP, Q_I, \psi_I)}(J_I) \rightarrow B$ such that $\eta \circ \iota_R^\omega = \sigma$, $\eta \circ \iota_Q^\omega = T$ and $\eta \circ \iota_P^\omega = S$, then $I \subseteq I_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}$ and $J \subseteq J_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}$.

Assume now that $I \subseteq I_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}$ and $J \subseteq J_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}$. It follows from Lemma 7.10 that there exists a covariant representation (S_I, T_I, σ_I, B) of $({}_IP, Q_I, \psi_I)$ which is Cuntz-Pimsner invariant relative to J_I such that $T = T_I \circ \wp_I$, $S = S_I \circ \wp_I$ and $\sigma = \sigma_I \circ \wp_I$. It then follows from Theorem 3.18 that there exists a ring homomorphism $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}^\omega : \mathcal{O}_{({}_IP, Q_I, \psi_I)}(J_I) \rightarrow B$ such that $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}^\omega \circ \iota_{R_I}^{J_I} = \sigma_I$, $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}^\omega \circ \iota_{Q_I}^{J_I} = T_I$ and $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}^\omega \circ \iota_{I_P}^{J_I} = S_I$. It follows that $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}^\omega \circ \iota_R^\omega = \sigma$, $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}^\omega \circ \iota_Q^\omega = T$ and $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}^\omega \circ \iota_P^\omega = S$. Since $\mathcal{O}_{({}_IP, Q_I, \psi_I)}(J_I)$ is generated by $\iota_R^\omega(R)$, $\iota_Q^\omega(Q)$ and $\iota_P^\omega(P)$, the uniqueness of $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}^\omega$ follows.

Assume that $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}^\omega$ is an isomorphism. Then (S, T, σ, B) is a surjective and graded, and $\omega_{(\iota_P^\omega, \iota_Q^\omega, \iota_R^\omega, \mathcal{O}_{({}_IP, Q_I, \psi_I)}(J_I))} = \omega_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}$. It therefore follows from Proposition 7.9 that $\omega = \omega_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}$.

Finally assume that (S, T, σ, B) is surjective and graded, and that $\omega = \omega_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}$. Then it follows from Lemma 7.10 that (S_I, T_I, σ_I, B) is surjective, injective and graded, and it is easy to check that $J_I = \wp_I(J_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}) = J_{(S_I, T_I, \sigma_I, B)}$, and hence from Theorem 3.29 we get that $\eta_{(S,T,\sigma,B)}^\omega$ is an isomorphism. $\square$

We now have the promised classification of all surjective and graded covariant representations of a given R -system satisfying condition **(FS)**.

Remark 7.12. Let R be a ring and let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)**. Then it follows from Proposition 7.8 and Theorem 7.11 that every surjective and graded covariant of (P, Q, ψ) is isomorphic to $(\iota_P^\omega, \iota_Q^\omega, \iota_R^\omega, \mathcal{O}_{(I, P, Q, \psi_I)}(J_I))$ for some T -pair $\omega = (I, J)$ of (P, Q, ψ) . It also follows that if $\omega_1 = (I_1, J_1)$ and $\omega_2 = (I_2, J_2)$ are two T -pairs of (P, Q, ψ) , then there is a ring homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{O}_{(I_1, P, Q, \psi_{I_1})}((J_1)_{I_1}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{(I_2, P, Q, \psi_{I_2})}((J_2)_{I_2})$ such that $\phi \circ \iota_R^{\omega_1} = \iota_R^{\omega_2}$, $\phi \circ \iota_P^{\omega_1} = \iota_P^{\omega_2}$ and $\phi \circ \iota_Q^{\omega_1} = \iota_Q^{\omega_2}$ if and only if $I_1 \subseteq I_2$ and $J_1 \subseteq J_2$.

Let R be a ring and let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)**. If (I, J) is a pair of two-sided ideals of R such that $I \subseteq J$, the ideal I is ψ -invariant and $\wp_I(J) \subseteq \Delta_I^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_{IP}(Q_I))$, then $(\iota_{P_I}^{J_I} \circ \wp_I, \iota_{Q_I}^{J_I} \circ \wp_I, \iota_{R_I}^{J_I} \circ \wp_I, \mathcal{O}_{(I, P, Q, \psi_I)}(J_I))$ is a surjective and graded covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) , even though $\wp_I(J) \cap \ker \Delta_I \neq 0$, and it then follows from the previous remark that this representation is isomorphic to $(\iota_P^{\omega'}, \iota_Q^{\omega'}, \iota_R^{\omega'}, \mathcal{O}_{(I', P, Q, \psi_{I'})}(J'_{I'}))$ for some T -pair $\omega' = (I', J')$. We will now describe this T -pair in terms of the pair (I, J) . We will begin with the case where $I = \{0\}$, but first a lemma:

Lemma 7.13. *Let R be a ring and let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)**. If $x \in \Delta^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_P(Q))$, $q \in Q^{\otimes n}$ and $p \in P^{\otimes n}$, then $\theta_{qx, p} \otimes 1_Q \in \mathcal{F}_{P^{\otimes n+1}}(Q^{\otimes n+1})$ and*

$$(7.1) \quad \pi(\theta_{qx, p} \otimes 1_Q) = \iota_Q^n(q) \pi(\Delta(x)) \iota_P^n(p).$$

Proof. Choose $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k \in Q$ and $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k \in P$ such that $\Delta(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k \theta_{q_i, p_i}$. Then we have for $q^n \in Q^{\otimes n}$ and $q^1 \in Q$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{qx, p} \otimes 1_Q(q^{\otimes n} \otimes q^1) &= q \otimes x \psi_n(p \otimes q^n) q^1 = \sum_{i=1}^k q \otimes q_i \psi(p_i \otimes \psi_n(p \otimes q^n) q^1) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k q \otimes q_i \psi_{n+1}((p_i \otimes p) \otimes (q^n \otimes q^1)) = \sum_{i=1}^k \theta_{q \otimes q_i, p_i \otimes p}(q^n \otimes q^1). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\theta_{qx, p} \otimes 1_Q = \sum_{i=1}^k \theta_{q \otimes q_i, p_i \otimes p} \in \mathcal{F}_{P^{\otimes n+1}}(Q^{\otimes n+1})$ and that

$$\pi(\theta_{qx, p} \otimes 1_Q) = \sum_{i=1}^k \iota_Q^n(q) \iota_Q(q_i) \iota_P(p_i) \iota_P^n(p) = \iota_Q^n(q) \pi(\Delta(x)) \iota_P^n(p).$$

□

Let R be a ring and let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system. For every $x \in R$ we define $\Delta^n(x) \in \mathcal{L}_{P^{\otimes n}}(Q^{\otimes n})$ inductively by letting $\Delta^1(x) = \Delta(x)$ and $\Delta^n(x) = \Delta^{n-1}(x) \otimes 1_Q$ for $n \geq 2$.

Lemma 7.14. *Let R be a ring, let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)**, and let J be a two-sided ideal of R such that $J \subseteq \Delta^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_P(Q))$. If we let*

$$I = \{x \in J \mid \forall m \in \mathbb{N} : \Delta^m(x)(Q^{\otimes m}) \subseteq Q^{\otimes m} J \wedge \exists n \in \mathbb{N} : \Delta^n(x) = 0\},$$

then $I = I_{(\iota_P^J, \iota_Q^J, \iota_R^J, \mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(J))}$ and $J = J_{(\iota_P^J, \iota_Q^J, \iota_R^J, \mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(J))}$.

Proof. Let $x \in I_{(\iota_P^J, \iota_Q^J, \iota_R^J, \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J))}$. Then $\iota_R(x) \in \mathcal{T}(J)$. It follows from Lemma 3.21 that $\iota_R(x) = \mathcal{P}_{(0,0)}(\iota_R(x)) \in \iota_R(J)$ and that there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\iota_Q^n(xq) = \iota_R(x)\iota_Q^n(q) = 0$ for every $q \in Q^{\otimes n}$. Since ι_R and ι_Q^n are injective (cf. Theorem 1.7 and Lemma 3.9) it follows that $x \in J$ and that $\Delta^n(x) = 0$. It also follows from Lemma 3.21 that

$$\iota_R(x) = \iota_R(x) - \pi(\Delta(x)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} \iota_Q^i(q_j^i) (\iota_R(x_j^i) - \pi(\Delta(x_j^i))) \iota_P(p_j^i)$$

for some $x_j^i \in J$, $q_j^i \in Q^{\otimes i}$, $p_j^i \in P^{\otimes i}$. We will by induction show that

$$(7.2) \quad \Delta^i(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} \theta_{q_j^i x_j^i, p_j^i}$$

for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$. It will then follow that $\Delta^i(x)(q) = \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} q_j^i x_j^i \psi_j(p_j^i \otimes q) \in Q^{\otimes i} J$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ and every $q \in Q^{\otimes i}$, and thus that $x \in I$.

For $i = 1$ we have

$$0 = \mathcal{P}_{(1,1)}(\iota_R(x)) = -\pi(\Delta(x)) + \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \iota_Q(q_j^1) \iota_R(x_j^1) \iota_P(p_j^1).$$

Thus we have

$$\pi(\Delta(x)) = \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \iota_Q(q_j^1) \iota_R(x_j^1) \iota_P(p_j^1) = \pi \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \theta_{q_j^1 x_j^1, p_j^1} \right)$$

and since π is injective (cf. Proposition 3.11), it follows that Equation (7.2) holds for $i = 1$.

Let $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-2\}$ and assume that Equation (7.2) holds for $i = k$. We have that

$$0 = \mathcal{P}_{(k+1,k+1)}(\iota_R(x)) = -\sum_{j=1}^{m_k} \iota_Q^k(q_j^k) \pi(\Delta(x_j^k)) \iota_P^k(p_j^k) + \sum_{j=1}^{m_{k+1}} \iota_Q(q_j^{k+1}) \iota_R(x_j^{k+1}) \iota_P(p_j^{k+1}).$$

It follows that if $q_k \in Q^{\otimes k}$ and $q_1 \in Q$, then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_Q^{k+1}(\Delta^{k+1}(x)(q_k \otimes q_1)) &= \pi(\Delta^k(x)) \iota_Q^k(q_k) \iota_Q(q_1) = \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} \iota_Q(q_j^k) \iota_R(x_j^k) \iota_P^k(p_j^k) \iota_Q^k(q_k) \iota_Q(q_1) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} \iota_Q^k(q_j^k) \pi(\Delta(x_j^k)) \iota_P^k(p_j^k) \iota_Q^k(q_k) \iota_Q(q_1) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{m_{k+1}} \iota_Q^{k+1}(q_j^{k+1}) \iota_R(x_j^{k+1}) \iota_P^{k+1}(p_j^{k+1}) \iota_Q(q_k) \iota_Q(q_1) \\ &= \iota_Q^{k+1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m_{k+1}} \theta_{q_j^{k+1} x_j^{k+1}, p_j^{k+1}}(q_k \otimes q_1) \right), \end{aligned}$$

and since ι_Q^{k+1} is injective and $Q^{k+1} = \text{span}\{q_k \otimes q_1 \mid q_k \in Q^{\otimes k}, q_1 \in Q\}$, it follows that Equation (7.2) holds for $i = k+1$. Hence Equation (7.2) holds for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$. We have thus proved that $I_{(\iota_P^J, \iota_Q^J, \iota_R^J, \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J))} \subseteq I$.

Let $x \in J$ and assume that $\Delta^m(x)(Q^{\otimes m}) \subseteq Q^{\otimes m}J$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and that there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\Delta^n(x) = 0$. We will by induction show that there for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ exist $x_j^i \in J$, $q_j^i \in Q^{\otimes i}$, $p_j^i \in P^{\otimes i}$ such that

$$(7.3) \quad \Delta^i(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} \theta_{q_j^i x_j^i, p_j^i}$$

and such that $\Delta^{i+1}(x) \in \mathcal{F}_{P^{\otimes i+1}}(Q^{\otimes i+1})$ and

$$(7.4) \quad \pi(\Delta^{i+1}(x)) = \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} \iota_Q^i(q_j^i) \pi(\Delta(x_j^i)) \iota_P^i(p_j^i).$$

It will then follow that we have

$$\iota_R(x) = \iota_R(x) - \pi(\Delta(x)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} \iota_Q^i(q_j^i) (\iota_R(x_j^i) - \pi(\Delta(x_j^i))) \iota_P^i(p_j^i) \in \mathcal{T}(J),$$

and thus that $x \in I_{(\iota_P^J, \iota_Q^J, \iota_R^J, \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J))}$.

Choose $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k \in Q$, $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k \in P$ such that $\Delta(x) = \sum_{j=1}^k \theta_{q_j, p_j}$. It follows from condition **(FS)** that there exist $q'_1, q'_2, \dots, q'_h \in Q$ and $p'_1, p'_2, \dots, p'_h \in P$ such that $\sum_{l=1}^h \theta_{p'_l, q'_l}(p_j) = p_j$ for every $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. We then have that

$$\Delta(x) = \sum_{j=1}^k \theta_{q_j, p_j} = \sum_{j=1}^k \theta_{q_j, \sum_{l=1}^h \psi(p_j \otimes q'_l) p'_l} = \sum_{l=1}^h \theta_{\Delta(x) q'_l, p'_l}.$$

Since $\Delta(x) q'_l \in QJ$ for each $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, h\}$, it follows that there exist $x_j^1 \in J$, $q_j^1 \in Q$, $p_j^1 \in P$ such that Equation (7.3) holds for $i = 1$. It then follows from Lemma 7.13 that also Equation (7.4) holds for $i = 1$.

Assume then that $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ and that there exist $x_j^k \in J$, $q_j^k \in Q^{\otimes k}$, $p_j^k \in P^{\otimes k}$ such that Equation (7.4) holds for $i = k$. For each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m_k\}$ choose $q_{(j,1)}, q_{(j,2)}, \dots, q_{(j,n_j)} \in Q$ and $p_{(j,1)}, p_{(j,2)}, \dots, p_{(j,n_j)} \in P$ such that $\Delta(x_j^k) = \sum_{h=1}^{n_j} \theta_{q_{(j,h)}, p_{(j,h)}}$. If $q^k \in Q^{\otimes k}$ and $q^1 \in Q$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_Q^{k+1}(\Delta^{k+1}(x)(q^k \otimes q^1)) &= \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} \iota_Q^k(q_j^k) \iota_R(x_j^k) \iota_P^k(p_j^k) \iota_Q^k(q_k) \iota_Q(q^1) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} \iota_Q^k(q_j^k) \iota_Q(x_j^k \psi(p_j^k \otimes q_k) q^1) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} \iota_Q^k(q_j^k) \pi(\Delta(x_j^k)) \iota_Q(\psi(p_j^k \otimes q_k) q^1) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} \iota_Q^k(q_j^k) \left(\sum_{h=1}^{n_j} \iota_Q(q_{(j,h)}) \iota_P(p_{(j,h)}) \right) \iota_Q(\psi(p_j^k \otimes q_k) q^1) \\ &= \iota_Q^{k+1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m_k} \sum_{h=1}^{n_j} \theta_{q_j^k \otimes q_{(j,h)}, p_{(j,h)} \otimes p_j^k} (q^k \otimes q^1) \right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\Delta^{k+1}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} \sum_{h=1}^{n_j} \theta_{q_j^k \otimes q_{(j,h)}, p_{(j,h)} \otimes p_j^k}$. By condition **(FS)** there exist $q'_1, q'_2, \dots, q'_r \in Q^{\otimes k+1}$ and $p'_1, p'_2, \dots, p'_r \in P^{\otimes k+1}$ such that $\sum_{l=1}^r \theta_{p'_l, q'_l}(p_{(j,h)} \otimes p_j^k) = p_{(j,h)} \otimes p_j^k$ for every $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m_k\}$ and every $h \in \{1, 2, \dots, n_j\}$. We then have that

$$\Delta^{k+1}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} \sum_{h=1}^{n_j} \theta_{q_j^k \otimes q_{(j,h)}, p_{(j,h)} \otimes p_j^k} = \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} \sum_{h=1}^{n_j} \theta_{q_j^k \otimes q_{(j,h)}, \sum_{l=1}^r \psi_{k+1}((p_{(j,h)} \otimes p_j^k) \otimes q'_l) p'_l} = \sum_{l=1}^r \theta_{\Delta^{k+1}(x) q'_l, p'_l}.$$

Since $\Delta^{k+1}(x) q'_l \in Q^{k+1} J$ for each $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$, it follows that there exist $x_j^{k+1} \in J$, $q_j^{k+1} \in Q^{\otimes k+1}$, $p_j^{k+1} \in P^{\otimes k+1}$ such that Equation (7.3) holds for $i = k + 1$. It then follows from Lemma 7.13 that also Equation (7.4) holds for $i = k + 1$.

Thus there exist for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n - 1\}$ elements $x_j^i \in J$, $q_j^i \in Q^{\otimes i}$, $p_j^i \in P^{\otimes i}$ such that Equation (7.3) and (7.4) hold, and $x \in I_{(\iota_P^J, \iota_Q^J, \iota_R^J, \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J))}$. This shows that $I \subseteq I_{(\iota_P^J, \iota_Q^J, \iota_R^J, \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J))}$, and so we have proved that $I = I_{(\iota_P^J, \iota_Q^J, \iota_R^J, \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J))}$.

We will now show that $J = J_{(\iota_P^J, \iota_Q^J, \iota_R^J, \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J))}$. If $x \in J$, then $\iota_R(x) - \pi(\Delta(x)) \in \mathcal{T}(J)$, so $\iota_R^J(x) = \pi^J(\Delta(x))$ and $x \in J_{(\iota_P^J, \iota_Q^J, \iota_R^J, \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J))}$. In the other direction, if $x \in J_{(\iota_P^J, \iota_Q^J, \iota_R^J, \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J))}$, then it follows from Lemma 3.24 that $\iota_R^J(x) = \pi^J(\Delta(x))$ and so $\iota_R(x) - \pi(\Delta(x)) \in \mathcal{T}(J)$. It then follows from Lemma 3.21 that $\iota_R(x) = \mathcal{P}_{(0,0)}(\iota_R(x) - \pi(\Delta(x))) \in \iota_R(J)$, and since ι_R is injective, we have $x \in J$. $\square$

Proposition 7.15. *Let R be a ring, let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)**. Let (I, J) be a pair of two-sided ideals of R such that $I \subseteq J$, the ideal I is ψ -invariant and $\wp_I(J) \subseteq \Delta_I^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_{IP}(Q_I))$. If we let*

$$I' = \{x \in J \mid \forall m \in \mathbb{N} : \Delta_I^m(x)(Q_I^{\otimes m}) \subseteq Q_I^{\otimes m} J_I \wedge \exists n \in \mathbb{N} : \Delta_I^n(x) = 0\},$$

then $I' = I_{(\iota_{P_I}^{J_I} \circ \wp_I, \iota_{Q_I}^{J_I} \circ \wp_I, \iota_{R_I}^{J_I} \circ \wp_I, \mathcal{O}_{(I'P, Q_I, \psi_I)}(J_I))}$ and $J = J_{(\iota_{P_I}^{J_I} \circ \wp_I, \iota_{Q_I}^{J_I} \circ \wp_I, \iota_{R_I}^{J_I} \circ \wp_I, \mathcal{O}_{(IP, Q_I, \psi_I)}(J_I))}$.

Proof. It is clear that we have

$$I_{(\iota_{P_I}^{J_I} \circ \wp_I, \iota_{Q_I}^{J_I} \circ \wp_I, \iota_{R_I}^{J_I} \circ \wp_I, \mathcal{O}_{(I'P, Q_I, \psi_I)}(J_I))} = \wp_I^{-1}(I_{(\iota_{P_I}^{J_I}, \iota_{Q_I}^{J_I}, \iota_{R_I}^{J_I}, \mathcal{O}_{(IP, Q_I, \psi_I)}(J_I))})$$

$$J_{(\iota_{P_I}^{J_I} \circ \wp_I, \iota_{Q_I}^{J_I} \circ \wp_I, \iota_{R_I}^{J_I} \circ \wp_I, \mathcal{O}_{(IP, Q_I, \psi_I)}(J_I))} = \wp_I^{-1}(J_{(\iota_{P_I}^{J_I}, \iota_{Q_I}^{J_I}, \iota_{R_I}^{J_I}, \mathcal{O}_{(IP, Q_I, \psi_I)}(J_I))}),$$

and the result then follows from Lemma 7.14. $\square$

7.2. Products and coproducts in $\mathcal{C}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$. We will show that if R is a ring and (P, Q, ψ) is an R -system, then $\mathcal{C}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$ has products and coproducts, and we will, in the case where (P, Q, ψ) satisfies condition **(FS)**, show how the product and coproduct are related to T -pairs of (P, Q, ψ) .

Proposition 7.16. *Let R be a ring, let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system and let $((S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda))_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a family of surjective covariant representations of (P, Q, ψ) .*

Then the product of $((S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda))_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ in $\mathcal{C}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$ exists; i.e., there exists a surjective covariant representation $(S_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}, T_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}, \sigma_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}, B_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)})$ of (P, Q, ψ) and a family $(\phi_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of ring homomorphisms $\phi_\lambda : B_{\prod_{j \in \Lambda} (S_j, T_j, \sigma_j, B_j)} \longrightarrow B_\lambda$ satisfying $\phi_\lambda \circ S_{\prod_{j \in \Lambda} (S_j, T_j, \sigma_j, B_j)} = S_\lambda$, $\phi_\lambda \circ T_{\prod_{j \in \Lambda} (S_j, T_j, \sigma_j, B_j)} = T_\lambda$ and $\phi_\lambda \circ \sigma_{\prod_{j \in \Lambda} (S_j, T_j, \sigma_j, B_j)} = \sigma_\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, with the following property:

(PR) If (S, T, σ, B) is a surjective covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) and there for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ exists a ring homomorphism $\psi_\lambda : B \longrightarrow B_\lambda$ such that $\psi_\lambda \circ T = T_\lambda$, $\psi_\lambda \circ S = S_\lambda$ and $\psi_\lambda \circ \sigma = \sigma_\lambda$, then there exists a unique ring homomorphism $\tau : B \longrightarrow B_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}$ such that $\tau \circ S = S_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}$, $\tau \circ T = T_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}$ and $\tau \circ \sigma = \sigma_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}$, and such that $\phi_\lambda \circ \tau = \psi_\lambda$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

We furthermore have that the surjective covariant representation

$$(S_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}, T_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}, \sigma_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}, B_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)})$$

and the family $(\phi_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ are, up to isomorphism, the unique pair which possesses property **(PR)**; in fact if (S, T, σ, B) is a surjective covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) and $(\psi_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a family of ring homomorphisms $\psi_\lambda : B \longrightarrow B_\lambda$ satisfying $\psi_\lambda \circ S = S_\lambda$, $\psi_\lambda \circ T = T_\lambda$ and $\psi_\lambda \circ \sigma = \sigma_\lambda$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, and $\varphi : B_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)} \longrightarrow B$ is a ring homomorphism such that $\varphi \circ S_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)} = S$, $\varphi \circ T_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)} = T$ and $\varphi \circ \sigma_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)} = \sigma$, then φ is an isomorphism.

Moreover, $x \in B_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}$ is zero if and only if $\phi_\lambda(x) = 0$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Proof. Let $H = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \ker \eta_{(S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}$ where for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ the homomorphism $\eta_{(S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)} : \mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)} \longrightarrow B_\lambda$ is the homomorphism given by Theorem 1.7. If the family $((S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda))_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is empty, then we let $H = \mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}$. Let $\wp_H : \mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}/H$ be the corresponding quotient map, and let $S_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)} = \wp_H \circ \iota_P$, $T_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)} = \wp_H \circ \iota_Q$, $\sigma_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)} = \wp_H \circ \iota_R$ and $B_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)} = \mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}/H$. We then have that

$$(S_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}, T_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}, \sigma_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}, B_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)})$$

is a surjective covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) . We also have that there for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ is a ring homomorphism $\phi_\lambda : B_{\prod_{j \in \Lambda} (S_j, T_j, \sigma_j, B_j)} \longrightarrow B_\lambda$ satisfying $\phi_\lambda \circ S_{\prod_{j \in \Lambda} (S_j, T_j, \sigma_j, B_j)} = S_\lambda$, $\phi_\lambda \circ T_{\prod_{j \in \Lambda} (S_j, T_j, \sigma_j, B_j)} = T_\lambda$ and $\phi_\lambda \circ \sigma_{\prod_{j \in \Lambda} (S_j, T_j, \sigma_j, B_j)} = \sigma_\lambda$, and we have that $x \in B_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}$ is zero if and only if $\phi_\lambda(x) = 0$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

If (T, S, σ, B) is a surjective covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) and there for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ exists a ring homomorphism $\psi_\lambda : B \longrightarrow B_\lambda$ such that $\psi_\lambda \circ S = S_\lambda$, $\psi_\lambda \circ T = T_\lambda$ and $\psi_\lambda \circ \sigma = \sigma_\lambda$, then $\ker \eta_{(S, T, \sigma, B)} \subseteq H$ where $\eta_{(S, T, \sigma, B)} : \mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)} \longrightarrow B$ is the homomorphism given by Theorem 1.7, and it follows that there is a unique ring homomorphism $\tau : B \longrightarrow B_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}$ such that $\tau \circ S = S_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}$, $\tau \circ T = T_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}$ and $\tau \circ \sigma = \sigma_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}$, and such that $\phi_\lambda \circ \tau = \psi_\lambda$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$. If there in addition is a ring homomorphism $\varphi : B_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)} \longrightarrow B$ such that $\varphi \circ S_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)} = S$, $\varphi \circ T_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)} = T$ and $\varphi \circ \sigma_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)} = \sigma$, then τ is an inverse of φ , and it follows that φ is an isomorphism. $\square$

Proposition 7.17. Let R be a ring, let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system and let $((S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda))_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a family of surjective covariant representations of (P, Q, ψ) .

Then the coproduct of $((S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda))_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ in $\mathcal{C}_{(P, Q, \psi)}$ exists; i.e., there exists a surjective covariant representation $(S_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}, T_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}, \sigma_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}, B_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)})$ of (P, Q, ψ) and a family $(\phi_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of ring homomorphisms $\phi_\lambda : B_\lambda \longrightarrow B_{\coprod_{j \in \Lambda} (S_j, T_j, \sigma_j, B_j)}$ satisfying $\phi_\lambda \circ S_\lambda = S_{\coprod_{j \in \Lambda} (S_j, T_j, \sigma_j, B_j)}$, $\phi_\lambda \circ T_\lambda = T_{\coprod_{j \in \Lambda} (S_j, T_j, \sigma_j, B_j)}$ and $\phi_\lambda \circ \sigma_\lambda = \sigma_{\coprod_{j \in \Lambda} (S_j, T_j, \sigma_j, B_j)}$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, with the following property:

(CO) If (S, T, σ, B) is a surjective covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) and there for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ exists a ring homomorphism $\psi_\lambda : B_\lambda \longrightarrow B$ such that $\psi_\lambda \circ S_\lambda = S$, $\psi_\lambda \circ T_\lambda = T$

and $\psi_\lambda \circ \sigma_\lambda = \sigma$, then there exists a unique ring homomorphism $\tau : B_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)} \longrightarrow B$ such that $\tau \circ S_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)} = S$, $\tau \circ T_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)} = T$ and $\tau \circ \sigma_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)} = \sigma$, and such that $\tau \circ \phi_\lambda = \psi_\lambda$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

We furthermore have that the surjective covariant representation

$$(S_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}, T_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}, \sigma_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}, B_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)})$$

and the family $(\phi_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ are, up to isomorphism, the unique pair which possesses property **(CO)**; in fact if (S, T, σ, B) is a surjective covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) and $(\psi_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a family of ring homomorphisms $\psi_\lambda : B_\lambda \longrightarrow B$ satisfying $\psi_\lambda \circ S_\lambda = S$, $\psi_\lambda \circ T_\lambda = T$ and $\psi_\lambda \circ \sigma_\lambda = \sigma$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, and $\varphi : B \longrightarrow B_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}$ is a ring homomorphism such that $\varphi \circ S = S_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}$, $\varphi \circ T = T_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}$ and $\varphi \circ \sigma = \sigma_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}$, then φ is an isomorphism.

Moreover, if each $(S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)$ is graded, then the surjective covariant representation $(S_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}, T_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}, \sigma_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}, B_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)})$ is also graded.

Proof. Let H be the smallest two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}$ which contains $\cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \ker \eta_{(S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}$ where for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ the homomorphism $\eta_{(S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)} : \mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)} \longrightarrow B_\lambda$ is the homomorphism given by Theorem 1.7. Let $\wp_H : \mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)} / H$ be the corresponding quotient map, and let $S_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)} = \wp_H \circ \iota_P$, $T_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)} = \wp_H \circ \iota_Q$, $\sigma_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)} = \wp_H \circ \iota_R$ and $B_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)} = \mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)} / H$. We then have that

$$(S_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}, T_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}, \sigma_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}, B_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)})$$

is a surjective covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) . We also have that there for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ is a ring homomorphism $\phi_\lambda : B_\lambda \longrightarrow B_{\coprod_{j \in \Lambda} (S_j, T_j, \sigma_j, B_j)}$ satisfying $\phi_\lambda \circ S_\lambda = S_{\coprod_{j \in \Lambda} (S_j, T_j, \sigma_j, B_j)}$, $\phi_\lambda \circ T_\lambda = T_{\coprod_{j \in \Lambda} (S_j, T_j, \sigma_j, B_j)}$ and $\phi_\lambda \circ \sigma_\lambda = \sigma_{\coprod_{j \in \Lambda} (S_j, T_j, \sigma_j, B_j)}$.

If $(S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)$ is graded, then $\ker \eta_{(S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}$ is a graded two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}$. It easily follows that if each $(S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)$ is graded, then H is a two-sided graded ideal of $\mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}$, and thus that $(S_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}, T_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}, \sigma_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}, B_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)})$ is also graded.

If (S, T, σ, B) is a surjective covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) and there for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ exists a ring homomorphism $\psi_\lambda : B_\lambda \longrightarrow B$ such that $\psi_\lambda \circ S_\lambda = S$, $\psi_\lambda \circ T_\lambda = T$ and $\psi_\lambda \circ \sigma_\lambda = \sigma$, then $H \subseteq \ker \eta_{(S, T, \sigma, B)}$ where $\eta_{(S, T, \sigma, B)} : \mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)} \longrightarrow B$ is the homomorphism given by Theorem 1.7, and it follows that there is a unique ring homomorphism $\tau : B_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)} \longrightarrow B$ such that $\tau \circ S_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)} = S$, $\tau \circ T_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)} = T$ and $\tau \circ \sigma_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)} = \sigma$, and such that $\tau \circ \phi_\lambda = \psi_\lambda$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$. If there in addition is a ring homomorphism $\varphi : B \longrightarrow B_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}$ such that $\varphi \circ S = S_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}$, $\varphi \circ T = T_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}$ and $\varphi \circ \sigma = \sigma_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}$, then τ is an inverse of φ , and it follows that φ is an isomorphism. $\square$

Lemma 7.18. *Let R be a ring and let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system. If $(S_1, T_1, \sigma_1, B_1)$ and $(S_2, T_2, \sigma_2, B_2)$ are two covariant representations of (P, Q, ψ) and $\phi : B_1 \longrightarrow B_2$ is a ring homomorphism satisfying $\phi \circ T_1 = T_2$, $\phi \circ S_1 = S_2$ and $\phi \circ \sigma_1 = \sigma_2$, then the following holds:*

- (1) *If $(S_2, T_2, \sigma_2, B_2)$ is injective, then so is $(S_1, T_1, \sigma_1, B_1)$.*
- (2) *If ϕ is surjective and $(S_2, T_2, \sigma_2, B_2)$ is surjective and graded, then so is $(S_1, T_1, \sigma_1, B_1)$.*

Proof. That (1) holds is obvious. If ϕ is surjective and $(S_2, T_2, \sigma_2, B_2)$ is surjective and graded, then it follows from Proposition 3.2 that $\oplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \eta_{(S_2, T_2, \sigma_2, B_2)}(\mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}^{(n)})$ is a grading of B_2 . It follows that $\oplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \eta_{(S_1, T_1, \sigma_1, B_1)}(\mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}^{(n)})$ is a grading of B_1 , and thus that $(S_1, T_1, \sigma_1, B_1)$ is graded. $\square$

Proposition 7.19. *Let R be a ring, let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)** and let $\Omega = (\omega_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda} = ((I_\lambda, J_\lambda))_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a non-empty family of T -pairs of (P, Q, ψ) . For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ denote by Γ_λ the covariant representation $(\iota_P^{\omega_\lambda}, \iota_Q^{\omega_\lambda}, \iota_R^{\omega_\lambda}, \mathcal{O}_{(I_\lambda, P, Q, I_\lambda, R_{I_\lambda})}((J_\lambda)_{I_\lambda}))$. Then we have:*

- (1) *If we let $I_{\prod \Omega} = \cap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_\lambda$ and $J_{\prod \Omega} = \cap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} J_\lambda$, then the pair $\omega_{\prod \Omega} = (I_{\prod \Omega}, J_{\prod \Omega})$ is a T -pair of (P, Q, ψ) , and the covariant representation*

$$(S_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}, T_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}, \sigma_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}, B_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda})$$

is surjective and graded, and it is isomorphic to

$$\left(\iota_P^{\omega_{\prod \Omega}}, \iota_Q^{\omega_{\prod \Omega}}, \iota_R^{\omega_{\prod \Omega}}, \mathcal{O}_{(I_{\prod \Omega}, P, Q, I_{\prod \Omega}, \psi_{I_{\prod \Omega}})}((J_{\prod \Omega})_{I_{\prod \Omega}}) \right).$$

- (2) *If we let I be the smallest two-sided ideal of R containing $\cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_\lambda$, $J_{\sqcup \Omega}$ be the smallest two-sided ideal of R containing $\cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} J_\lambda$ and $I_{\sqcup \Omega} = \{x \in J_{\sqcup \Omega} \mid \forall m \in \mathbb{N} : \Delta_I^m(x)(Q_I^{\otimes m}) \subseteq Q_I^{\otimes m}(J_{\sqcup \Omega})_I \wedge \exists n \in \mathbb{N} : \Delta_I^n(x) = 0\}$, then the pair $\omega_{\sqcup \Omega} = (I_{\sqcup \Omega}, J_{\sqcup \Omega})$ is a T -pair of (P, Q, ψ) , and the covariant representation*

$$(S_{\sqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}, T_{\sqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}, \sigma_{\sqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}, B_{\sqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda})$$

is surjective and graded, and it is isomorphic to

$$\left(\iota_P^{\omega_{\sqcup \Omega}}, \iota_Q^{\omega_{\sqcup \Omega}}, \iota_R^{\omega_{\sqcup \Omega}}, \mathcal{O}_{(I_{\sqcup \Omega}, P, Q, I_{\sqcup \Omega}, \psi_{I_{\sqcup \Omega}})}((J_{\sqcup \Omega})_{I_{\sqcup \Omega}}) \right).$$

Proof. (1): It follows from Lemma 7.18 that the surjective covariant representation

$$(S_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}, T_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}, \sigma_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}, B_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda})$$

is graded. It therefore follows from Proposition 7.8 and Theorem 7.11 that

$$(S_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}, T_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}, \sigma_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}, B_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda})$$

is isomorphic to $(\iota_P^\omega, \iota_Q^\omega, \iota_R^\omega, \mathcal{O}_{(I, P, Q, I, \psi_I)}(J_I))$ for some T -pair $\omega = (I, J)$ of (P, Q, ψ) . It follows from Lemma 3.24 and Proposition 7.16 that we have

$$x \in I \iff \sigma_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}(x) = 0 \iff \forall \lambda \in \Lambda : \iota_R^{\omega_\lambda}(x) = 0 \iff x \in \cap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_\lambda = I_{\prod \Omega}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} x \in J &\iff \sigma_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}(x) = \pi_{T_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}, S_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}}(\Delta(x)) \\ &\iff \forall \lambda \in \Lambda : \iota_R^{\omega_\lambda}(x) = \pi_{\iota_Q^\omega, \iota_P^\omega}(\Delta(x)) \iff x \in \cap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} J_\lambda = J_{\prod \Omega} \end{aligned}$$

from which (1) follows.

- (2): It follows from Proposition 7.17 that the representation

$$(S_{\sqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}, T_{\sqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}, \sigma_{\sqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}, B_{\sqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda})$$

is surjective and graded.

It is easy to check that $I \subseteq J_{\coprod \Omega}$, that I is ψ -invariant and that $\wp_I(J_{\coprod \Omega}) \subseteq \Delta_I^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_{IP}(Q_I))$. It therefore follows from Proposition 7.8 and 7.15 that $(I_{\coprod \Omega}, J_{\coprod \Omega})$ is a T -pair of (P, Q, ψ) .

We have for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ that $I_\lambda \subseteq I_{\coprod \Omega}$ and $J_\lambda \subseteq J_{\coprod \Omega}$ so it follows from Proposition 7.9 and Theorem 7.11 (2) that there exists a ring homomorphism

$$\psi_\lambda : \mathcal{O}_{(I_\lambda P, Q_{I_\lambda}, R_{I_\lambda})}((J_\lambda)_{I_\lambda}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{(I_{\coprod \Omega} P, Q_{I_{\coprod \Omega}}, R_{I_{\coprod \Omega}})}((J_{\coprod \Omega})_{I_{\coprod \Omega}})$$

such that $\psi_\lambda \circ \iota_R^{\omega_\lambda} = \iota_R^{\omega_{\coprod \Omega}}$, $\psi_\lambda \circ \iota_Q^{\omega_\lambda} = \iota_Q^{\omega_{\coprod \Omega}}$ and $\psi_\lambda \circ \iota_P^{\omega_\lambda} = \iota_P^{\omega_{\coprod \Omega}}$.

We will show that there exists a ring homomorphism

$$\phi : \mathcal{O}_{(I_{\coprod \Omega} P, Q_{I_{\coprod \Omega}}, R_{I_{\coprod \Omega}})}((J_{\coprod \Omega})_{I_{\coprod \Omega}}) \longrightarrow B_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}$$

such that $\phi \circ \iota_R^{\omega_{\coprod \Omega}} = \sigma_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}$, $\phi \circ \iota_Q^{\omega_{\coprod \Omega}} = T_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}$ and $\phi \circ \iota_P^{\omega_{\coprod \Omega}} = S_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}$. It will then follow from Proposition 7.17 that the two representations

$$(S_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}, T_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}, \sigma_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}, B_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda})$$

and

$$(\iota_P^{\omega_{\coprod \Omega}}, \iota_Q^{\omega_{\coprod \Omega}}, \iota_R^{\omega_{\coprod \Omega}}, \mathcal{O}_{(I_{\coprod \Omega} P, Q_{I_{\coprod \Omega}}, \psi_{I_{\coprod \Omega}})}((J_{\coprod \Omega})_{I_{\coprod \Omega}}))$$

are isomorphic.

We have for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ that there is a ring homomorphism $\phi_\lambda : \mathcal{O}_{(I_\lambda P, Q_{I_\lambda}, R_{I_\lambda})}((J_\lambda)_{I_\lambda}) \longrightarrow B_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}$ such that $\phi_\lambda \circ \iota_R^{\omega_\lambda} = \sigma_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}$, $\phi_\lambda \circ \iota_Q^{\omega_\lambda} = T_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}$ and $\phi_\lambda \circ \iota_P^{\omega_\lambda} = S_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}$. It follows from Theorem 7.11 that we have

$$I_\lambda \subseteq I_{(S_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}, T_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}, \sigma_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}, B_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda})}$$

and

$$J_\lambda \subseteq J_{(S_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}, T_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}, \sigma_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}, B_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda})}.$$

We therefore have that

$$I \subseteq I_{(S_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}, T_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}, \sigma_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}, B_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda})}$$

and

$$(7.5) \quad J_{\coprod \Omega} \subseteq J_{(S_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}, T_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}, \sigma_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}, B_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda})}.$$

It then follows from Lemma 7.10 that there exists a covariant representation $(S, T, \sigma, B_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda})$ of (IP, Q_I, ψ_I) such that $S \circ \wp_I = S_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}$, $T \circ \wp_I = T_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}$ and $\sigma \circ \wp_I = \sigma_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}$. It follows from Equation (7.5) that this representation is Cuntz-Pimsner invariant relative to $(J_{\coprod \Omega})_I$, and it then follows from Theorem 3.18 that there is a ring homomorphism $\eta : \mathcal{O}_{(IP, Q_I, \psi_I)}((J_{\coprod \Omega})_I) \longrightarrow B_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}$ such that $\eta \circ \iota_{R_I}^{(J_{\coprod \Omega})_I} = \sigma$, $\eta \circ \iota_{Q_I}^{(J_{\coprod \Omega})_I} = T$ and $\eta \circ \iota_{I_P}^{(J_{\coprod \Omega})_I} = S$. It follows from Proposition 7.15 that the two representations

$$(\iota_P^{\omega_{\coprod \Omega}}, \iota_Q^{\omega_{\coprod \Omega}}, \iota_R^{\omega_{\coprod \Omega}}, \mathcal{O}_{(I_{\coprod \Omega} P, Q_{I_{\coprod \Omega}}, \psi_{I_{\coprod \Omega}})}((J_{\coprod \Omega})_{I_{\coprod \Omega}}))$$

and

$$(\iota_{I_P}^{(J_{\coprod \Omega})_I} \circ \wp_I, \iota_{Q_I}^{(J_{\coprod \Omega})_I} \circ \wp_I, \iota_{R_I}^{(J_{\coprod \Omega})_I} \circ \wp_I, \mathcal{O}_{(IP, Q_I, \psi_I)}((J_{\coprod \Omega})_I))$$

are isomorphic, and it follows that there exists a ring homomorphism

$$\phi : \mathcal{O}_{(I_{\coprod \Omega} P, Q_{I_{\coprod \Omega}}, R_{I_{\coprod \Omega}})}((J_{\coprod \Omega})_{I_{\coprod \Omega}}) \longrightarrow B_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}$$

such that $\phi \circ \iota_R^{\omega_{\coprod \Omega}} = \sigma_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}$, $\phi \circ \iota_Q^{\omega_{\coprod \Omega}} = T_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}$ and $\phi \circ \iota_P^{\omega_{\coprod \Omega}} = S_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma_\lambda}$. □

Remark 7.20. Let R be a ring, let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system and let $((S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda))_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a family of injective and surjective covariant representations of (P, Q, ψ) . Then the product $(S_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}, T_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}, \sigma_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}, B_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)})$ is also injective and surjective, but the coproduct

$$(S_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}, T_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}, \sigma_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}, B_{\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)})$$

is not necessarily injective. Example 4.11 gives us an example of this phenomena.

7.3. Graded ideals of $\mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(J)$. Let R be a ring and (P, Q, ψ) an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)**. We will now show how the classification of surjective and graded representations of (P, Q, ψ) can be used to describe the graded two-sided ideals of $\mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(J)$ for any faithful ψ -compatible two-sided ideal J of R , and in particular of $\mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}$ (if it exists).

Definition 7.21. Let R be a ring, let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)** and let K be a two-sided ideal of R such that $K \subseteq \Delta^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_P(Q))$ and $K \cap \ker \Delta = 0$. For a two-sided ideal H of $\mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K)$ we define two two-sided ideals I_H^K and J_H^K of R by

$$I_H^K := \{x \in R \mid \iota_R^K(x) \in H\} \quad \text{and} \quad J_H^K := \{x \in R \mid \iota_R^K(x) \in H + \mathcal{F}_P(Q)\}.$$

We set $\omega_H^K = (I_H^K, J_H^K)$.

Proposition 7.22. Let R be a ring, let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)** and let K be a two-sided ideal of R such that $K \subseteq \Delta^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_P(Q))$ and $K \cap \ker \Delta = 0$. For a two-sided ideal H of $\mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K)$, denote by $\wp_H$ the projection from $\mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K)$ to $\mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K)/H$. If we consider the covariant representation

$$(S_H, T_H, \sigma_H, \mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K)/H) := (\wp_H \circ \iota_P^K, \wp_H \circ \iota_Q^K, \wp_H \circ \iota_R^K, \mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K)/H),$$

then we have that $\omega_H^K = \omega_{(S_H, T_H, \sigma_H, \mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K)/H)}$. Hence ω_H^K is a T -pair satisfying $K \subseteq J_H^K$.

We furthermore have that the representation $(S_H, T_H, \sigma_H, \mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K)/H)$ is graded if and only if H is graded.

Proof. By using that $\wp_H \circ \iota_R^K = \sigma_H$ and $\wp_H \circ \pi^K = \pi_{T_H, S_H}$, it is straightforward to check that $I_H^K = I_{(S_H, T_H, \sigma_H, \mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K)/H)}$ and $J_H^K = J_{(S_H, T_H, \sigma_H, \mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K)/H)}$, and thus that $\omega_H^K = \omega_{(S_H, T_H, \sigma_H, \mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K)/H)}$. It is also easy to check that $K \subseteq J_H^K$. That $\omega_{(S_H, T_H, \sigma_H, \mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K)/H)}$ is a T -pair follows from Proposition 7.8.

Assume that H is graded. If $x = \sum_{i=1}^m x^{n_i} \in H$ where each $x^{n_i} \in \wp_K(\mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}^{(n_i)})$, then each $x^{n_i} \in H$. This shows that $\oplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \wp_H(\wp_K(\mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}^{(n)}))$ is a grading of $\mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K)/H$, and it follows that $(S_H, T_H, \sigma_H, \mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K)/H)$ is graded.

If $(S_H, T_H, \sigma_H, \mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K)/H)$ is graded and $x = \sum_{i=1}^m x^{n_i} \in H$ where each $x^{n_i} \in \wp_K(\mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}^{(n_i)})$, then each $\wp_H(x^{(n_i)}) = 0$ which shows that $H = \oplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (\wp_K(\mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}^{(n)}) \cap H)$, and thus that H is graded. $\square$

Lemma 7.23. Let R be a ring, let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)** and let K be a faithful ψ -compatible two-sided ideal of R . If $\omega = (I, J)$ is a T -pair such that $K \subseteq J$, then there exists a unique surjective and graded ring homomorphisms $\Psi_\omega^K : \mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{(I P, Q_I, \psi_I)}(J_I)$ such that $\Psi_\omega^K \circ \iota_R^K = \iota_R^K$, $\Psi_\omega^K \circ \iota_Q^K = \iota_Q^K$ and $\Psi_\omega^K \circ \iota_P^K = \iota_P^K$.

Proof. We have that $(\iota_P^\omega, \iota_Q^\omega, \iota_R^\omega, \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J_I))$ is a surjective and graded covariant representation of (P, Q, ψ) , and since $K \subseteq J$, this representation is Cuntz-Pimsner invariant relative to K . The uniqueness and existence of Ψ_ω^K then follows from Theorem 3.18. It is easy to check that Ψ_ω^K is graded. $\square$

Definition 7.24. Let R be a ring, let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)** and let K be a faithful ψ -compatible two-sided ideal of R . Given a T -pair $\omega = (I, J)$ such that $K \subseteq J$. We define H_ω^K to be the two-sided ideal $\ker \Psi_\omega^K$ of R where Ψ_ω^K is as in Lemma 7.23.

Lemma 7.25. Let R be a ring, let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)** and let K be a faithful ψ -compatible two-sided ideal of R . If $\omega = (I, J)$ is a T -pair such that $K \subseteq J$, then H_ω^K is a graded two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(K)$ and satisfies $\omega_{H_\omega^K} = \omega$.

Proof. Let Ψ_ω^K be the homomorphism from Lemma 7.23. That H_ω^K is a graded two-sided ideal follows from the fact that Ψ_ω^K is graded.

To show $\omega_{H_\omega^K} = \omega$ we have to show that $I = (\iota_R^K)^{-1}(\ker \Psi_\omega^K)$ and that $J = (\iota_R^K)^{-1}(\ker \Psi_\omega^K + \pi^K(\mathcal{F}_P(Q)))$. If $x \in I$, then $\Psi_\omega^K(\iota_R^K(x)) = \iota_{R_I}^{J_I}(\wp_I(x)) = 0$. Thus $I \subseteq (\iota_R^K)^{-1}(\ker \Psi_\omega^K)$. If $x \in R$ and $\Psi_\omega^K(\iota_R^K(x)) = 0$, then $\iota_{R_I}^{J_I}(\wp_I(x)) = 0$, and since $\iota_{R_I}^{J_I}$ is injective, it follows that $x \in \ker \wp_I = I$. Thus $I = (\iota_R^K)^{-1}(\ker \Psi_\omega^K)$.

Let $x \in J$. Then $\wp_I(x) \in J_I$, so we have

$$\Psi_\omega^K(\iota_R^K(x)) = \iota_{R_I}^{J_I}(\wp_I(x)) = \pi^{J_I}(\Delta_I(\wp_I(x))).$$

Thus there exist $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n \in Q$ and $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n \in P$ such that

$$\Psi_\omega^K(\iota_R^K(x)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \iota_{Q_I}^{J_I}(\wp_I(q_i)) \iota_{P_I}^{J_I}(\wp_I(p_i)).$$

We then have that $\iota_R^K(x) - \sum_{i=1}^n \iota_Q^K(q_i) \iota_P^K(p_i) \in \ker \Psi_\omega^K$, which shows that $J \subseteq (\iota_R^K)^{-1}(\ker \Psi_\omega^K + \pi^K(\mathcal{F}_P(Q)))$.

Let $\sigma_\omega := \iota_{Q_I}^{J_I} \circ \wp_I$, $T_\omega := \iota_{Q_I}^{J_I} \circ \wp_I$ and $S_\omega := \iota_{P_I}^{J_I} \circ \wp_I$. It follows from Proposition 7.9 that $\sigma_\omega^{-1}(\pi_{T_\omega, S_\omega}(\mathcal{F}_P(Q))) = J$. If $x \in R$, $y \in \ker \Psi_\omega^K$, $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n \in Q$, $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n \in P$ and $\iota_R^K(x) = y + \sum_{i=1}^n \iota_Q^K(q_i) \iota_P^K(p_i)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_\omega(x) &= \iota_{R_I}^{J_I}(\wp_I(x)) = \Psi_\omega^K(\iota_R^K(x)) = \Psi_\omega^K\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \iota_Q^K(q_i) \iota_P^K(p_i)\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \iota_{Q_I}^{J_I}(\wp_I(q_i)) \iota_{P_I}^{J_I}(\wp_I(p_i)) = \pi_{T_\omega, S_\omega}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \theta_{q_i, p_i}\right) \in \pi_{T_\omega, S_\omega}(\mathcal{F}_P(Q)), \end{aligned}$$

so $x \in J$. Thus $J = (\iota_R^K)^{-1}(\ker \Psi_\omega^K + \pi^K(\mathcal{F}_P(Q)))$. $\square$

Proposition 7.26. Let R be a ring, let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)** and let K be a faithful ψ -compatible two-sided ideal of R . Let H be a two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(K)$ and let $\omega = (I, J)$ be a T -pair of (P, Q, ψ) . Let $\wp_H$ denote the quotient map from $\mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(K)$ to $\mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(K)/H$. Then we have:

- (1) If there exists a ring homomorphism $\Upsilon : \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(J_I) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(K)/H$ such that $\Upsilon \circ \iota_R^\omega = \wp_H \circ \iota_R^K$, $\Upsilon \circ \iota_Q^\omega = \wp_H \circ \iota_Q^K$ and $\Upsilon \circ \iota_P^\omega = \wp_H \circ \iota_P^K$, then $I \subseteq I_H^K$ and $J \subseteq J_H^K$.

- (2) If $I \subseteq I_H^K$ and $J \subseteq J_H^K$, then there exists a unique ring homomorphism $\Upsilon : \mathcal{O}_{(I^P, Q_I, \psi_I)}(J_I) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K)/H$ such that $\Upsilon \circ \iota_R^\omega = \wp_H \circ \iota_R^K$, $\Upsilon \circ \iota_Q^\omega = \wp_H \circ \iota_Q^K$ and $\Upsilon \circ \iota_P^\omega = \wp_H \circ \iota_P^K$.
- (3) If $I \subseteq I_H^K$ and $J \subseteq J_H^K$, then the ring homomorphism Υ is an isomorphism if and only if H is graded and $\omega_H^K = \omega$.

Proof. (1): Assume that there exists a ring homomorphism $\Upsilon : \mathcal{O}_{(I^P, Q_I, \psi_I)}(J_I) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K)/H$ such that $\Upsilon \circ \iota_R^\omega = \wp_H \circ \iota_R^K$, $\Upsilon \circ \iota_Q^\omega = \wp_H \circ \iota_Q^K$ and $\Upsilon \circ \iota_P^\omega = \wp_H \circ \iota_P^K$. If $x \in I$, then it follows from Proposition 7.9 that $\wp_H(\iota_R^K(x)) = \Upsilon(\iota_R^\omega(x)) = 0$, so $x \in I_H^K$. If $x \in J$, then it follows from Lemma 3.24 and Proposition 7.9 that

$$\wp_H(\iota_R^K(x)) = \Upsilon(\iota_R^\omega(x)) = \Upsilon(\pi_{\iota_Q^\omega, \iota_P^\omega}(\Delta(x))) = \wp_H(\pi^K(\Delta(x))),$$

so $x \in J_H^K$.

(2): Assume that $I \subseteq I_H^K$ and $J \subseteq J_H^K$. Let $(S_H, T_H, \sigma_H, \mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K)/H)$ be as in Proposition 7.22. Then we have $(I, J) \subseteq \omega_H^K = \omega_{(S_H, T_H, \sigma_H)}$, so the existence and uniqueness of Υ follows from Theorem 7.11.

(3): It also follows from Theorem 7.11 that Υ is an isomorphism if and only if the representation $(S_H, T_H, \sigma_H, \mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K)/H)$ is surjective and graded and $\omega = \omega_{(S_H, T_H, \sigma_H)} = \omega_H^K$. The representation $(S_H, T_H, \sigma_H, \mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K)/H)$ is always surjective, and it follows from Proposition 7.22 that it is graded if and only if H is graded, and the desired result follows. $\square$

Theorem 7.27. *Let R be a ring and let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)**. Let K be a faithful ψ -compatible two-sided ideal of R . Then*

$$H \longmapsto \omega_H^K, \quad \omega \longmapsto H_w^K$$

is a bijective correspondence between the set of all the graded two-sided ideals H of $\mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K)$ and the set of all T -pairs $\omega = (I, J)$ of (P, Q, ψ) satisfying $K \subseteq J$. This bijection preserves inclusion, and if $(H_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a non-empty family of graded two-sided ideals of $\mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K)$ and $\Omega = (\omega_{H_\lambda}^K)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$, then $H_{\omega_{\Pi \Omega}}^K = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} H_\lambda$ and $H_{\omega_{\Pi \Omega}}^K$ is the smallest two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K)$ containing $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} H_\lambda$.

Proof. If $\omega = (I, J)$ is a T -pair of (P, Q, ψ) satisfying $K \subseteq J$, then it follows from Lemma 7.25 that H_w^K is a graded two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K)$, and that $\omega_{H_w^K} = \omega$.

If H is a graded two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K)$, then it follows from Proposition 7.22 that ω_H^K is a T -pair of (P, Q, ψ) satisfying $K \subseteq J_H^K$. Let Ψ_w^K be the unique ring homomorphism $\Psi_w^K : \mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{(I^P, Q_I, \psi_I)}(J_I)$ satisfying $\Psi_w^K \circ \iota_R^K = \iota_R^\omega$, $\Psi_w^K \circ \iota_Q^K = \iota_Q^\omega$ and $\Psi_w^K \circ \iota_P^K = \iota_P^\omega$. Let $(I, J) = \omega = \omega_H^K$. Then it follows from Proposition 7.26 that there is a ring isomorphism $\Upsilon : \mathcal{O}_{(I^P, Q_I, \psi_I)}(J_I) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K)/H$ such that $\Upsilon \circ \iota_R^\omega = \wp_H \circ \iota_R^K$, $\Upsilon \circ \iota_Q^\omega = \wp_H \circ \iota_Q^K$ and $\Upsilon \circ \iota_P^\omega = \wp_H \circ \iota_P^K$. We then have that $\Upsilon \circ \Psi_w^K$ is the quotient map from $\mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K)$ to $\mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K)/H$, and it follows that $H_{\omega_H^K}^K = \ker \Psi_w^K = H$.

Thus $H \longmapsto \omega_H^K$ and $\omega \longmapsto H_w^K$ is a bijective correspondence between the set of all the graded two-sided ideals of $\mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K)$ and the set of all the T -pairs $\omega = (I, J)$ of (P, Q, ψ) satisfying $K \subseteq J$. It is easy to check that the correspondence preserve inclusion.

Let $(H_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a non-empty family of graded two-sided ideals of $\mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K)$ and let $\Omega = (\omega_{H_\lambda}^K)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$. For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ let $(S_{H_\lambda}, T_{H_\lambda}, \sigma_{H_\lambda}, \mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K)/H_\lambda)$ be as in Proposition 7.22. It follows from Proposition 7.26 that $(S_{H_\lambda}, T_{H_\lambda}, \sigma_{H_\lambda}, \mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K)/H_\lambda)$ is isomorphic to the

covariant representation

$$\left(\begin{matrix} \omega_{H_\lambda}^K, \omega_{H_\lambda}^K, \omega_{H_\lambda}^K \\ \iota_P^K, \iota_Q^K, \iota_R^K \end{matrix}, \mathcal{O}_{(I_{H_\lambda}^K, P, Q, I_{H_\lambda}^K, R, I_{H_\lambda}^K)}((J_{H_\lambda}^K)_{I_{H_\lambda}^K}) \right).$$

It therefore follows from Proposition 7.19 that there exists a ring isomorphism

$$\phi : B_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{(I_{\prod \Omega}^K, P, Q, I_{\prod \Omega}^K, \psi_{I_{\prod \Omega}^K})}((J_{\prod \Omega})_{I_{\prod \Omega}^K})$$

satisfying $\phi \circ \sigma_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)} = \iota_R^{\omega_{\prod \Omega}^K}$, $\phi \circ T_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)} = \iota_Q^{\omega_{\prod \Omega}^K}$ and $\phi \circ S_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)} = \iota_P^{\omega_{\prod \Omega}^K}$.

If $x \in K$, then we have for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ that $\sigma_{H_\lambda}(x) - \pi_{T_H, S_H}(\Delta(x)) = 0$, and it thus follows from Proposition 7.16 that $\sigma_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}(x) = \pi_{T_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}, S_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}}(\Delta(x))$. Thus the covariant representation

$$(S_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}, T_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}, \sigma_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}, B_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)})$$

of (P, Q, ψ) is Cuntz-Pimsner invariant relative to K . It therefore follows from Theorem 3.18 that there exists a ring homomorphism $\eta : \mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K) \longrightarrow B_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}$ such that $\eta \circ \iota_R^K = \sigma_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}$, $\eta \circ \iota_Q^K = T_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}$ and $\eta \circ \iota_P^K = S_{\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda, T_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, B_\lambda)}$. We then have that

$$\phi \circ \eta : \mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{(I_{\prod \Omega}^K, P, Q, I_{\prod \Omega}^K, \psi_{I_{\prod \Omega}^K})}((J_{\prod \Omega})_{I_{\prod \Omega}^K})$$

is a ring homomorphism satisfying $\phi \circ \eta \circ \iota_R^K = \iota_R^{\omega_{\prod \Omega}^K}$, $\phi \circ \eta \circ \iota_Q^K = \iota_Q^{\omega_{\prod \Omega}^K}$ and $\phi \circ \eta \circ \iota_P^K = \iota_P^{\omega_{\prod \Omega}^K}$. It therefore follows that $H_{\omega_{\prod \Omega}^K}^K = \ker(\phi \circ \eta) = \ker \eta$, and since it follows from Proposition 7.16 that $\ker \eta = \cap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} H_\lambda$, we can conclude that $H_{\omega_{\prod \Omega}^K}^K = \cap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} H_\lambda$.

It follows from Proposition 7.17, 7.19 and 7.26 that there for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ exists a ring homomorphism $\psi_\lambda : \mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K)/H_\lambda \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K)/H_{\omega_{\prod \Omega}^K}^K$ such that $\psi_\lambda \circ \sigma_{H_\lambda} = \sigma_{H_{\omega_{\prod \Omega}^K}^K}$, $\psi_\lambda \circ T_{H_\lambda} = T_{H_{\omega_{\prod \Omega}^K}^K}$ and $\psi_\lambda \circ S_{H_\lambda} = S_{H_{\omega_{\prod \Omega}^K}^K}$. It follows that $\psi_\lambda \circ \wp_{H_\lambda} = \wp_{H_{\omega_{\prod \Omega}^K}^K}$, and thus that $H_\lambda \subseteq H_{\omega_{\prod \Omega}^K}^K$.

Let H be a two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K)$ containing $\cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} H_\lambda$. Then we have for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ that there exists a ring homomorphism $\psi_\lambda : \mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K)/H_\lambda \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K)/H$ such that $\psi_\lambda \circ \sigma_{H_\lambda} = \sigma_H$, $\psi_\lambda \circ T_{H_\lambda} = T_H$ and $\psi_\lambda \circ S_{H_\lambda} = S_H$. It therefore follows from Proposition 7.17 and 7.19 that there exists a ring homomorphism

$$\tau : \mathcal{O}_{(I_{\prod \Omega}^K, P, Q, I_{\prod \Omega}^K, \psi_{I_{\prod \Omega}^K})}((J_{\prod \Omega})_{I_{\prod \Omega}^K}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K)/H$$

satisfying $\tau \circ \iota_R^{\omega_{\prod \Omega}^K} = \wp_H \circ \iota_R^K$, $\tau \circ \iota_Q^{\omega_{\prod \Omega}^K} = \wp_H \circ \iota_Q^K$ and $\tau \circ \iota_P^{\omega_{\prod \Omega}^K} = \wp_H \circ \iota_P^K$. It then follows that $\tau \circ \Psi_{\omega_{\prod \Omega}^K}^K = \wp_H$, and thus that $H_{\omega_{\prod \Omega}^K}^K = \ker \Psi_{\omega_{\prod \Omega}^K}^K \subseteq H$. Hence $H_{\omega_{\prod \Omega}^K}^K$ is the smallest two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{(P, Q, \psi)}(K)$ containing $\cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} H_\lambda$. $\square$

Corollary 7.28. *Let R be a ring, let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)**. Then*

$$H \longmapsto \omega_H^{\{0\}}, \quad \omega \longmapsto H_\omega^{\{0\}}$$

is a bijective correspondence between the set of all the graded two-sided ideals H of $\mathcal{T}_{(P, Q, \psi)}$ and the set of all T -pairs $\omega = (I, J)$ of (P, Q, ψ) . This bijection preserves inclusion, and if

$(H_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a non-empty family of graded two-sided ideals of $\mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$ and $\Omega = (\omega_{H_\lambda}^{\{0\}})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$, then $H_{\omega_{\Pi\Omega}^{\{0\}}} = \cap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} H_\lambda$ and $H_{\omega_{\Pi\Omega}^{\{0\}}}$ is the smallest two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{T}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$ containing $\cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} H_\lambda$.

Corollary 7.29. *Let R be a ring, let (P, Q, ψ) be an R -system satisfying condition **(FS)** and assume that there exists a uniquely maximal faithful ψ -compatible two-sided ideal K of R . Then*

$$H \longmapsto \omega_H^K, \quad \omega \longmapsto H_\omega^K$$

is a bijective correspondence between the set of all the graded two-sided ideals H of $\mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$ and the set of all T -pairs $\omega = (I, J)$ of (P, Q, ψ) satisfying $K \subseteq J$. This bijection preserves inclusion, and if $(H_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a non-empty family of graded two-sided ideals of $\mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$ and $\Omega = (\omega_{H_\lambda}^K)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$, then $H_{\omega_{\Pi\Omega}^K} = \cap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} H_\lambda$ and $H_{\omega_{\Pi\Omega}^K}$ is the smallest two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$ containing $\cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} H_\lambda$.

Example 7.30. Let us once again return to Example 1.9. We saw in Example 5.5 that if R is a ring with local units, $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(R)$, $P = R_\varphi$, $Q = R_{\varphi^{-1}}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : P \otimes_R Q &\longrightarrow R \\ p \otimes q &\longmapsto p\varphi(q), \end{aligned}$$

then (P, Q, ψ) is a R -system which satisfies condition **(FS)**, $\ker \Delta = \{0\}$, $\Delta^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_P(Q)) = R$, and $\mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)} = \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}(R)$ is the universal ring generated by elements $\{[r, k] : r \in R, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ satisfying $[r_1, k] + [r_2, k] = [r_1 + r_2, k]$ and $[r_1, k_1][r_2, k_2] = [r_1\varphi^{k_1}(r_2), k_1 + k_2]$.

It is easy to see that a two-sided ideal I of R is ψ -invariant if and only if $\varphi(I) \subseteq I$. It is also easy to see that if I is a ψ -invariant ideal, then $\ker \Delta_I = \varphi^{-1}(I) + I$. Thus (I, R) is a T -pair if and only if I is a two-sided ideal of R such that $\varphi(I) = I$. It therefore follows from Corollary 7.29 that we have a bijective correspondence between φ -invariant ideals of R and graded two-sided ideals of $\mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$ which takes a ψ -invariant ideal I to the graded two-sided ideal $\{[x, k] \in \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)} : x \in I, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, which is isomorphic to the crossed product $I \rtimes_\varphi \mathbb{Z}$.

It is easy to see that if we by φ_I denote the automorphism of $R_I = R/I$ induced by φ , then ${}_I P = (R/I)_{\varphi_I}$ and $Q_I = (R/I)_{\varphi_I^{-1}}$. It follows from Proposition 7.26 that the quotient of $\mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$ by the ideal $\{[x, k] \in \mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)} : x \in I, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{({}_I P, Q_I, \psi_I)}(R_I) = \mathcal{O}_{({}_I P, Q_I, \psi_I)}$ and thus to the crossed product $(R/I) \rtimes_{\varphi_I} \mathbb{Z}$.

Example 7.31. Let $E = (E^0, E^1)$ be an oriented graph and F a commutative unital ring. Let R be the ring and (P, Q, ψ) the R -system associated with E in Example 1.10 and Example 5.8. For an ideal I of R , let $H = \{v \in E^0 : \mathbf{1}_v \in I\}$. We then have that $I = \text{span}_F\{\mathbf{1}_v : v \in I\}$. We may identify R_I with $\text{span}_F\{\wp_I(\mathbf{1}_v) : v \in E^0 \setminus H\}$. It is easy to see that I is ψ -invariant if and only if the set of vertices H is *hereditary*, i.e. whenever $e \in E^1$ with $s(e) \in H$ then $r(e) \in H$. In that case we have

$$IP = \text{span}_F\{\mathbf{1}_{\bar{e}} : e \in E^1, r(e) \in H\} \quad \text{and} \quad QI = \text{span}_F\{\mathbf{1}_e : e \in E^1, r(e) \in H\},$$

so we may, and will, identify ${}_I P$ with $\text{span}_F\{\wp_I(\mathbf{1}_{\bar{e}}) : e \in E^1, r(e) \notin H\}$ and Q_I with $\text{span}_F\{\wp_I(\mathbf{1}_e) : e \in E^1, r(e) \notin H\}$. We then have that that

$$\ker \Delta_I = \text{span}\{\wp_I(\mathbf{1}_v) : v \in \partial H \text{ or } s^{-1}(v) = \emptyset\} \subseteq \ker \Delta$$

where $\partial H := \{v \in E^0 : 0 < |s^{-1}(v)| < \infty \text{ and } r(s^{-1}(v)) \subseteq H\}$. The set H is called *saturated* if $\partial H \subseteq H$. We define the set of *breaking vertices* of H to be

$$B_H := \{v \in E_{inf}^0 \setminus H : 0 < |s^{-1}(v) \cap r^{-1}(E^0 \setminus H)| < \infty\}$$

where $E_{inf}^0 = \{v \in E^0 : |s^{-1}(v)| = \infty\}$. We then have that

$$\Delta_I^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_{IP}(Q_I)) = \text{span}\{\wp_I(\mathbf{1}_v) : v \in E_{reg}^0 \setminus H \text{ or } v \in B_H\}$$

where $E_{reg}^0 := \{v \in E^0 : 0 \leq |s^{-1}(v)| < \infty\}$.

Let J be an ideal of R . Then $I \cup \Delta^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_P(Q)) \subseteq J$ if and only if we for all $v \in H$ and all $v \in E^0$ with $0 < |s^{-1}(v)| < \infty$ have that $\mathbf{1}_v \in J$, and we have that $\wp_I(J) \subseteq \Delta_I^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_{IP}(Q_I)) \cap (\ker \Delta_I)^\perp$ if and only if we for $v \in E^0 \setminus H$ with $\mathbf{1}_v \in J$ have that $v \in E_{reg}^0 \cup B_H$, $v \notin \partial H$ and $s^{-1}(v) \neq \emptyset$. So if H is not saturated, then there does not exist any ideal J of R such that $I \cup \Delta^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_P(Q)) \subseteq J$ and $\wp_I(J) \subseteq \Delta_I^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_{IP}(Q_I)) \cap (\ker \Delta_I)^\perp$; and if H is saturated, then there is a bijective correspondence between ideals J of R such that $I \cup \Delta^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_P(Q)) \subseteq J$ and $\wp_I(J) \subseteq \Delta_I^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_{IP}(Q_I)) \cap (\ker \Delta_I)^\perp$, and subsets of B_H . This correspondence takes a subset S of B_H to the ideal $\text{span}_F\{\mathbf{1}_v : v \in H \cup S \text{ or } 0 < |s^{-1}(v)| < \infty\}$.

So it follows from Corollary 7.29 that there is a bijective correspondence between pairs (H, S) where H is a hereditary and saturated subset of E^0 and S is a subset of B_H , and graded ideals of $\mathcal{O}_{(P,Q,\psi)}$. This correspondence takes a graded ideal K to (H, S) where

$$H = \{v \in E^0 : p_v \in K\}$$

and

$$S = \{v \in B_H : p_v - \sum_{e \in s^{-1}(v) \cap r^{-1}(E^0 \setminus H)} x_e y_e \in K\}.$$

It takes a pair (H, S) to the graded ideal generated by

$$\{p_v : v \in H\} \cup \{p_v - \sum_{e \in s^{-1}(v), r(e) \notin H} x_e y_e : v \in S\}.$$

Thus we recover the result of [22, Theorem 5.7(1)].

REFERENCES

- [1] G. ABRAMS, G. ARANDA PINO, The Leavitt path algebra of a graph, J. Algebra **293** (2005), 319–334.
- [2] G. ABRAMS, G. ARANDA PINO, The Leavitt path algebras of arbitrary graphs, Houston J. Math **34** (2008), no. 2, 423–442.
- [3] G. ABRAMS, P.N. ÁNH, A. LOULY AND E. PARDO, The Classification Question for Leavitt Path Algebras, J. Algebra **320** (2008), 1983–2026.
- [4] P. ARA, M. BRUSTENGA, K_1 of corner skew Laurent polynomial rings and applications. Comm. Algebra **33** (2005), no. 7, 2231–2252.
- [5] P. ARA, M.A. MORENO, E. PARDO, Nonstable K-Theory for graph algebras, Algebra Rep. Th. **10** (2007), 157–178.
- [6] P. ARA, M.A. GONZÁLEZ-BARROSO, K.R. GOODEARL, E. PARDO, Fractional skew monoid rings. J. Algebra **278** (2004), no. 1, 104–126.
- [7] J. CUNTZ, Simple C*-algebras generated by isometries, Comm. Math. Phys. **57** (1977), 173–185.
- [8] J. CUNTZ, W. KRIEGER, A class of C*-algebras and topological Markov chains, Invent. Math. **63** (1981) 25–40.
- [9] N. FOWLER, Discrete product systems of Hilbert bimodules, Pacific J. Math. **(204)** (2002), no. 2, 335–375.

- [10] N. FOWLER, P. MUHLY, I. RAEBURN, Representations of Cuntz-Pimsner algebras, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **52** (2003), no. 3, 569–605.
- [11] E.L. GREEN, The representation theory of tensor algebras, *J. Algebra* **34** (1975), 136–171.
- [12] I. HIRSHBERG, C^* -algebras of Hilbert module product systems, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **(570)** (2004), 131–142.
- [13] T. KATSURA, On C^* -algebras associated with C^* -correspondences, *J. Funct. Anal.* **217** (2004), no. 2, 366–401.
- [14] T. KATSURA, Ideal Structure of C^* -algebras associated with C^* -correspondences, *Pacific J. Math.* **230** (2007), no. 1, 107–145.
- [15] T. KAJIWARA, C. PINZARI, Y. WATATANI, Ideal structure and simplicity of the C^* -algebras generated by Hilbert bimodules, *J. Funct. Anal.* **159** (1998), no. 2, 295–322.
- [16] A. KUMJIAN, D. PASK, I. RAEBURN, J. RENAULT, Graphs, groupoids, and Cuntz-Krieger algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **144** (1997), no. 2, 505–541.
- [17] W.G. LEAVITT, The module type of a ring, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **42** (1962), 113–130.
- [18] P. MUHLY, B. SOLEL, Tensor algebras over C^* -correspondences: representations, dilations, and C^* -envelopes. *J. Funct. Anal.* **158** (1998), no. 2, 389–457.
- [19] W.L. PASCHKE, The crossed product of a C^* -algebra by an endomorphism, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **80** (1980), 113–118.
- [20] M. V. PIMSNER, A class of C^* -algebras generalizing both Cuntz-Krieger algebras and crossed products by $\mathbb{Z}$, *Fields Institute Comm.* **12** (1997), 189–212.
- [21] A. SIMS, T. YEEND, C^* -algebras associated to product systems of Hilbert bimodules, preprint [arXiv:0712.3073](https://arxiv.org/abs/0712.3073), 24 pp.
- [22] M. TOMFORDE, Uniqueness theorems and ideal structure for Leavitt path algebras. *J. Algebra* **318** (2007), no. 1, 270–299.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NTNU, NO-7491 TRONDHEIM, NORWAY

E-mail address: `Toke.Meier.Carlsen@math.ntnu.no`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN DENMARK, CAMPUSVEJ 55, DK-5230 ODENSE M, DENMARK

E-mail address: `ortega@imada.sdu.dk`